Programme assessment sheet - Implementation year 2016

Member State:

Disease: Zoonotic Salmonella – Control programme in fattening turkeys flocks

NB: Regulation (EU) No 1190/2012 is thereafter called the "Turkeys Regulation"

Programme element and relevant criteria	Relev ant part of Annex	Asses sment	Additional elements / information to request to the CA Changes and/or additions to the programme that should be required to the CA
	II ⁱ		required to the CA

	Programme element and relevant criteria	Relev ant part of Annex II ⁱ	Asses sment ii	Additional elements / information to request to the CA Changes and/or additions to the programme that should be required to the CA
1.	Do the objectives of the programme clearly include the Union target ⁱⁱⁱ ?	A.1		
2.	Does the programme present an improvement of the situation (or a similarly good situation) compared to the previous years?	С		
3.	For a multiannual programme, do the objectives plan the improvement of the situation during the implementation period?	С		
4.	Is the management of the programme clearly defined and described? Central control, available resources, monitoring of implementation of described measures (sampling, eradication, etc), respective responsibilities of competent authorities (CAs) and food and feed business operators	В		
5.	Does the programme indicate clearly: the number of holdings under the programme, the number of holdings with more than 500 birds, the number of holdings where official samples will be taken, the number of flock visits for taking official samples?	A.3		
6.	Does the programme cover all fattening turkeys' flocks ^{iv} ?	A.3		
7.	Is the testing scheme (FBO sampling) of the programme compliant with EU legislation v?	A.6		
8.	Is the testing scheme (official sampling) of the programme compliant with the Turkeys Regulation (Annex of the Turkeys	A.13. b		

	Programme element and relevant criteria	Relev ant part of Annex II ⁱ	Asses sment	Additional elements / information to request to the CA Changes and/or additions to the programme that should be required to the CA
	Regulation - at least one flock of broilers on 10% of holdings with more than 500 fattening turkeys)?			
9.	In case a targeted <i>Salmonella</i> serovar is detected on a flock on a FBO sample, is the procedure followed by the Competent Authority (CA) clearly described ^{vi} ?	A.13.		
10.	In case a target <i>Salmonella</i> serovar is detected on a flock, are measures implemented at holding level to prevent the spread of the contamination appropriate (if described)?			
11.	In case a targeted <i>Salmonella</i> serovar is detected on a flock, is the procedure followed by the CA clearly described?			
12.	Are measures described to ensure that fresh poultry meat placed on the market for human consumption deriving from a <i>Salmonella</i> -positive flock complies with EU bacteriological requirements (food chain information, micro criteria) ^{vii} ? Are they relevant?	A.8		
13.	Will testing of antimicrobials or bacterial growth inhibitors be done when relevant viii? Are the consequences of a positive result described? In line with EU requirements?	A.13.		
14.	Are official checks described concerning the correct use of antimicrobials ^{ix} ?	A.13. d		
15.	Will laboratory analysis be carried out in compliance with point 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 of Annex to the broilers Regulation?	A.10		
16.	Will analysis be performed in laboratories approved by the CA	A.9		

	Programme element and relevant criteria	Relev ant part of Annex II ⁱ	Asses sment ii	Additional elements / information to request to the CA Changes and/or additions to the programme that should be required to the CA
	and accredited to ISO 17025 for the Salmonella tests?			
17.	Biosecurity and hygiene management measures at farms: Are they part of the programme? Are they fit for purpose? Are they regularly checked / enforced by the CA?	A.5 A.13. a		
18.	Are the proposed measures described in the programme the most (cost) efficient and (cost) effective given the specific circumstances, such as disease situation and resources?			

Overall assessment of the programme and grade i (poor / fair / good / very good):							
List of clarifications and amendments to be required to the Member state concerning the submitted programme to enable its complete final assessment (to be performed by the Commission):							
Individual assessment	Consensus ass	essment					
Expert name: Date Signature	Rapporteur name: Date	Signature					
	Expert name:						
	Date	Signature					

Expert name:

Signature

Date

ⁱ Annex II is the programme submitted by the member state.
ⁱⁱ Definitions grades to be given to the programmes (overall and separate elements)

Poor	Relevant information required by Commission Decision 2008/425/EC is missing				
	• Information necessary to assess the validity of a proposed measure is missing				
	Contradictory information is provided in the programme				
	Incompliance with the EU legislation identified				
Fair	Globally compliant with the requirements and acceptably clear for the assessor but				
	still clarifications, modifications or additional information is needed				
Good	Fully compliant and clear or very minor clarifications needed				
Very good	• The quality and precision of the programme or measure deserve a special mention				

iii Article 1.1 (a) of the Turkeys Regulation (maximum percentage, 2 targeted serovars including monophasic ST)

iv Except flocks for private use or for local production, as described in Article 1.3 of Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003

v Annex of the Turkeys Regulation vi NB: official confirmatory sampling after a positive FBO sample is not supposed to be done routinely.

vii Annex II, Section III of Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 (FCI) and Row 1.28 of Chapter I of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005: absence of SE and ST in 5 samples of 25g of fresh poultry meat viii Point 2.2.3 of the Annex to the Turkeys Regulation ix Article 2 of Regulation (EC) No 1177/2006