

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 What is the name of your organisation?

Ministero delle politiche agricole alimentari e forestali - COSVIR XI Servizio fitosanitario centrale

1.2 What stakeholder group does your organisation belong to?

Competent Authority (CA) involved in S&PM certification and control; Competent Authority (CA) involved in S&PM variety and material registration

1.2.1 Please specify

1.3 Please write down the address (postal, e-mail, telephone, fax and web page if available) of your organisation

Via XX Settembre 20 00187 ROMA - Italy + 39 06 4665 6097 / 6098 / 6198 + 39 06 4814628
COSVIR11@politicheagricole.gov.it <http://www.politicheagricole.it>

2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

2.1 Are the problems defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

Yes

2.2 Have certain problems been overlooked?

Yes

2.2.1 Please state which one(s)

Certification in fruit plant propagating material; List of species for ornamental plants propagating material

2.3 Are certain problems underestimated or overly emphasized?

Rightly estimated

2.3.1 Please indicate the problems that have not been estimated rightly

2.4 Other suggestions or remarks

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW

3.1 Are the objectives defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

Yes

3.2 Have certain objectives been overlooked?

Yes

3.2.1 Please state which one(s)

Who is the final consumer to be guaranteed by S&PM legislation? The existing directives are not clear about it and the MS have not the same idea.

3.3 Are certain objectives inappropriate?

No

3.3.1 Please state which one(s)

3.4 Is it possible to have a regime whereby a variety is considered as being automatically registered in an EU catalogue as soon as a variety protection title is granted by CPVO?

Yes

3.5 If there is a need to prioritise the objectives, which should be the most important ones? (Please rank 1 to 5, 1 being first priority)

Ensure availability of healthy high quality seed and propagating material

1

Secure the functioning of the internal market for seed and propagating material

3

Empower users by informing them about seed and propagating material

5

Contribute to improve biodiversity, sustainability and favour innovation

4

Promote plant health and support agriculture, horticulture and forestry

2

3.6 Other suggestions and remarks

4. OPTIONS FOR CHANGE

4.1 Are the scenarios defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

Yes

4.2 Have certain scenarios been overlooked?

No opinion

4.2.1 Please state which one(s)

4.3 Are certain scenarios unrealistic?

Yes

4.3.1 Please state which one(s) and why

Scenario 5 Centralisation

4.4 Do you agree with the reasoning leading to the discard of the "no-changes" and the "abolishment" scenarios?

Yes

4.5 Other suggestions and remarks

5. ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS

5.1 Are the impacts correctly analysed in the context of S&PM marketing?

Yes

5.2 Have certain impacts been overlooked?

No opinion

5.2.1 Please state which one(s)

5.3 Are certain impacts underestimated or overly emphasized?

Rightly estimated

5.3.1 Please provide evidence or data to support your assessment:

5.4 How do you rate the proportionality of a generalised traceability/labelling and fit-for-purpose requirement (as set out in scenario 4)?

2 = fairly proportional

5.5 How do you assess the possible impact of the various scenarios on your organisation or on the stakeholders that your organisation represents?

Scenario 1

Don't know

Scenario 2

Neutral

Scenario 3

Neutral

Scenario 4

Fairly beneficial

Scenario 5

Rather negative

5.5.1 Please state your reasons for your answers above, where possible providing evidence or data to support your assessment:

The crops, including fruit production, don't have same importance in each MS and the scenario 4 allows to have two quality levels on S&PM to satisfy professional and non-professional purposes.

6. ASSESSMENT OF SCENARIOS

6.1 Which scenario or combination of scenarios would best meet the objectives of the review of the legislation?

Scenario 4

6.1.1 What are your views with regards to combining elements from the various scenarios into a new scenario?

6.1.1 Please explain the new scenario in terms of key features

6.2 Do you agree with the comparison of the scenarios in the light of the potential to achieve the objectives?

No opinion

6.2.1 Please explain:

7. OTHER COMMENTS

7.1 Further written comments on the seeds and propagating material review:

It is very agreeable chance to update and simplify the UE legislation. It will be a good solutions if UE legislation on S&PM will have: - two basic regulation: Seed and Propagating Material separated; - vegetative propagation of the vine together fruit plant propagating material - three groups of implementing measures: Seed, Propagating Material and forest reproductive material

7.2 Please make reference here to any available data/documents that support your answer, or indicate sources where such data/documents can be found:

1. <http://circa.europa.eu> CIRCA - Health and Consumer Protection - Seed and propagating materials - Library - Fruit plants - Certification schemes

