CODEX COMMITTEE ON FOOD LABELLING 45th Session Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 13 - 17 May 2019

European Union Comments

Agenda Item 6:

PROPOSED DRAFT GUIDELINES ON FRONT-OF-PACK NUTRITION LABELLING (Comments at Step 3 - CL 2019/14/OCS-FL)

Mixed Competence European Union Vote

The European Union and its Member States (EUMS) would like to thank Costa Rica and New Zealand for the preparation of the document 'CX/FL 19/45/6 – Report of the Electronic Working Group' and its attached Proposed Draft Guidelines on Front-of-Pack Nutrition Labelling.

1. Recommendation a) of Document CX/FL 19/45/6

The EUMS generally support the draft Guidelines on front-of-pack nutrition labelling (FOPNL) but would like to provide the comments below.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
General comment (Draft	Substantive	Substantive N/A	The EUMS generally welcome the revised version of the draft Guidelines, in particular the fact that some principles have been specified further (e.g. reference to consumer research.
guidelines)			The EUMS are of the opinion that the draft Guidelines could be revised further with the view to have a set of clearly formulated general principles and to avoid overlap and duplication between the different sections.
			Finally, in order to be in line with the scope of the guidelines as outlined in point 3 of Appendix III attached to the report of the 44 th session of the Codex Committee on Food Labelling (<i>Project document for new work on the development of guidance on use of simplified nutrition information on the front of pack</i>), the EUMS would like to recall that the 'other aspects to consider' should relate to the development of FOPNL systems and not their implementation, as also confirmed by the title of Section 5 '('Other aspects to consider in the development of FOPNL systems'). Considering the modifications proposed by the EUMS to the general principles and considering that some considerations of Section 5 are redundant with provisions included in the other sections, the EUMS further suggest removing section 5.

1. PURPOSE:

Provide general guidance to assist in the development of front-of-pack nutrition labelling, as a tool to facilitate the consumer's choice of food consistent with the national health and nutrition policy of the country of implementation.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment (section 1)	Substantive	, as a tool to increase consumers' understanding of the nutritional value of their food and facilitate the consumer's choice of food a healthier dietary choice consistent with the national health and nutrition policy of the country or region of implementation.	The EUMS are of the opinion that the text should refer to the primary role of FOPNL as described in the Codex Guidelines on Nutrition Labelling (CAC/GL 2-1985), <i>i.e.</i> increase consumers' understanding of the nutritional value of their food. The EUMS suggest to reformulate the sentence and to include reference to the facilitation of a healthier dietary choice.

2. SCOPE:

2.1 These guidelines apply to front-of-pack nutrition labelling (FOPNL) to be used on pre-packaged foods¹ that include a nutrient declaration.2

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment (paragraph 2.1)	Substantive	(FOPNL) intended to be used on pre-packaged foods that include a nutrient declaration.	With a view to clarify the text, the EUMS suggest adding "intended to be used".
Specific comment (footnote 2)	Substantive	As defined in the Guidelines on Nutrition Labelling (CXG 2-1985). Guidelines CXG 2-1985 allow for the exemption of some foods from the mandatory nutrient declaration (e.g. on the basis of nutritional or dietary insignificance or small packaging). Such foods exempted from the mandatory nutrient declaration can therefore not use FOPNL, except if the nutrient declaration is provided on a voluntary basis.	The EUMS suggest specifying in this footnote the case of foods exempted from the mandatory nutrient declaration. This addition to footnote 2 would make the currently confusing paragraph 2.3. and footnote 3 regarding 'exemptions' redundant.

2.2 Alcoholic beverages and certain foods for special dietary uses [including infant formula, foods for infants and young children, sports foods or drinks], foods for special medical purposes are excluded³.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment (paragraph 2.2)	Substantive	Alcoholic beverages and certain foods for special dietary uses [including infant formula, foods for infants and young children, sports foods or drinks], foods for	The EUMS agree that countries may wish to exclude specific types of food from using FOPNL. However, the EUMS are of the opinion that the guidelines should not recommend exclusions since a potential list of exclusions will, amongst others, depend on existing specific legislation in place. For example, specific rules apply already at Codex level to foods for special dietary uses and many countries have

As defined in the General Standard for the Labelling of Prepackaged Foods (CXS 1-1985).

² As defined in the *Guidelines on Nutrition Labelling (CXG 2-1985).*

³ Exclusions are foods that must not have FOPNL. Exemptions are where the food does not have to have FOPNL, but if it does, it does not affect its application.

		special medical purposes are Certain foods may be excluded from using FOPNL ³	specific legislation in place for these foods. The EUMS are of the opinion that the guidelines on FOPNL should not repeat what is already defined at Codex level and should leave it to the governments to decide about the foods/drinks that may be excluded from using FOPNL. The editorial addition 'from using FOPNL' would clarify the meaning of the text.
Specific comment (footnote 3 – sentence 1)	Substantive	Exclusions are foods that must not have are not allowed to use FOPNL.	For reasons of clarity, the EUMS suggest to replace 'must not have' by 'are not allowed to use'. Also, the current "must" could imply that FOPNL should be obligatory, which is not the case.

- 2.3 Additionally, certain prepackaged foods may be exempted³ from FOPNL such as⁴:
 - foods with low nutritional significance in terms of both its composition and the quantities consumed: e.g. herbs, spices, plain tea and plain coffee to which no other ingredients have been added.
 - foods in small units⁵;

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment (paragraph 2.3)	Substantive	Additionally, certain prepackaged foods may be exempted from FOPNL such as Foods with low nutritional significance in terms of both its composition and the quantities consumed: e.g. herbs, spices, plain tea an plain coffee to which no other ingredients have been added Foods in small units	The EUMS would like to underline that this paragraph is a repetition of paragraph 2.1. stating that FOPNL is intended to be used on foods that include a nutrient declaration. As allowed in the Codex Guidelines on Nutrition Labelling, in the EUMS (and in many other countries) the two recommended examples, foods with low nutritional significance and foods in small units, are exempted from the mandatory nutrition declaration and can thus not, in line with paragraph 2.1. of the proposed guidelines, use FOPNL. Instead, the EUMS suggests clarifying the case of foods exempted from the mandatory nutrient declaration in footnote 2.
Specific comment (footnote 3 – sentence 2)	Substantive	Exemptions are where the food does not have to have FOPNL, but if it does, it does not affect its application.	The EUMS refer to its proposed modifications to footnote 2. Also, the word 'exemptions' would imply that FOPNL should be obligatory, which is not the case. In addition, it could be confusing to have the same footnote relating to different paragraphs (2.2. and 2.3)

These guidelines can also be used as a guide in the case where simplified nutrition information is displayed near the food (e.g. shelf-tags or food service), for unpackaged foods or for foods sold via online (e.g. information available at point of purchase on websites)

⁴ This list is indicative.

⁵ Section 6 of the General Standard for the Labelling of Prepackaged Foods (CXS 1-1985) refers to 'small units' as where the surface area is less than 10cm²

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
No comment	/	/	1

3. DEFINITION OF FRONT-OF-PACK NUTRITION LABELLING (FOPNL)

For the purposes of these guidelines:

3.1. Front-of-pack nutrition labelling (FOPNL) is any system that presents simplified nutrition information on the front-of-pack⁶ of pre-packaged foods.⁷ It can include symbols/graphics, text or a combination thereof, that provide information on the overall nutritional value of the food and/ or on the nutrients to be included in FOPNL as described in these guidelines.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment (paragraph 3.1)	Substantive	3.1. Front-of-pack nutrition labelling (FOPNL) is any system that presents simplified nutrition information on the front-of-pack ⁶ of pre-packaged foods ⁷ . It can include symbols/graphics, text or a combination thereof., that provide information on the overall nutritional value of the food and/ or on the nutrients to be included in FOPNL as described in these guidelines.	referring. In case it would be referring to paragraph 4.7. of the current draft guidelines, the EUMS would like to underline that the meaning of this

- 3.2. This definition excludes:
- Nutrition claims;
- ii. Health claims;
- iii. Allergenic labelling; and
- iv. The quantitative declaration of ingredients.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment (paragraph 3.2)	Substantive	i. Nutrition claims; ii. Health claims; iii. Allergenic labelling; and iv. The quantitative declaration of ingredients. isolated graphics or isolated textual indications on individual nutrients or the energy value, such as warnings "high in calories", "high in sugar", "high in salt/sodium", "high in saturated fat".	The EUMS consider that individual warnings such as "high in sugar", "high in salt/sodium", "high in saturated fat" do not reflect the objective of FOPNL (as described in the Section 5 of the Codex Guidelines on Nutrition Labelling (CAC/GL 2-1985), i.e. "to increase the consumer's understanding of the nutritional value of their food and to assist in interpreting the nutrient declaration") and therefore, should not be considered as FOPNL. Indeed, they do not allow the consumer to understand the complete nutritional status of the product but only draw the consumer's attention to (a) single nutrient(s) in high quantity.

⁶ Front-of-pack means the total area of the surface (or surfaces) that is displayed or visible under customary conditions of sale or use.

 $^{^7}$ As defined in the General Standard for the Labelling of Prepackaged Foods (CXS 1-1985).

4. GENERAL PRINCIPLES

An FOPNL should be based on the following general principles in addition to the general principles in the General Standard for the Labelling of Prepackaged Foods (CXS 1-1985):

4.1. Only one FOPNL system should be recommended in each country or region. However, in case of coexisting a FOPNL system with other systems, these should not be contradictory to each other.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment (paragraph 4.1)	Editorial	Only one FOPNL system should be recommended by in each country national or regional governments. However, should additional FOP schemes be developed in case of coexisting a FOPNL system with other systems, these they can co-exist with the one recommended by the authorities if they are complementary and do should not be contradictory to each other.	With a view to clarifying the meaning of the text, the EUMS suggest referring to recommendations 'by governments' and also reformulating the second sentence with a view to improving its understanding.

4.2. FOPNL should present information in a way that is easy to understand by **[a wide variety of]** consumers in the country of implementation. The format of the FOPNL should be informed by scientifically valid consumer research.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment (paragraph 4.2)	Substantive	FOPNL should present information in a way that is easy to understand by—[a wide variety of] consumers in the country of implementation and should not mislead the consumer. The format of the FOPNL should be informed by supported by scientifically valid (local or global) consumer research including scientifically valid evidence of understanding.	a low education level. The EUMS suggest including the principle that FOPNL should not mislead the consumer. The EUMS agree on the need to inform the development of the scheme by consumer research, which can be local or global research depending on a country's resources, as also described in point 75 of the report of the

4.3. FOPNL should only be provided in addition to, and not in place of, the nutrient declaration.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment (paragraph 4.3)	Substantive	FOPNL should only be provided in addition to, and not in place of, the nutrient declaration.	The EUMS are of the opinion that this point is a repetition of point 2.1. of the draft guidelines and could therefore be deleted. However, in case it is considered that this point should be kept for reasons of clarity, the EUMS would

Ī		not be against.

4.4. FOPNL should be accompanied by a consumer awareness and education/ information program to increase consumer understanding and use.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
No comment	/	1	/

4.5. FOPNL should be clearly visible on the package at the point of purchase under normal conditions of sale and use [without the need to pick up the food package].

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment (paragraph 4.5)	Substantive	FOPNL should be clearly visible on the front of the package at the point of purchase under normal conditions of sale and use [without the need to pick up the food package].	Considering that food manufacturers are not responsible for how a product is displayed in store, the EUMS suggest deleting the second part of the sentence.

4.6. FOPNL should align with evidence-based national [dietary guidance / health and nutrition policies].

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment	Substantive	FOPNL should align with evidence-based national [dietary guidance or in its absence with health and nutrition policies]	The EUMS agree with the proposed principle but suggest specifying that where dietary guidance exists, it should constitute the basis.

4.7. FOPNL should be underpinned by objective measures of **[nutrients of global importance]** as supported by sound scientific valid evidence.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment	Substantive	FOPNL should be underpinned by objective measures of [nutrients of global importance] as supported by sound scientific valid evidence and non-discriminatory.	The EUMS reiterate that this principle needs further clarification since its meaning is not clear; it is not clear what is meant with 'objective measures' or with 'nutrients of global importance' (the EUMS understand from report CX/FL 19/45/6 that this refers to nutrients of (global and/or local) public health concern but this cannot be understood from the current formulation) and what would be the main message of this principle. The EUMS therefore suggest reformulating the principle and to include the principle, also referred to in Regulation (EU) 1169/2011 on the provision of food information to consumers, that FOPNL should be objective and non-discriminatory (e.g. designed in an objective way and not discriminate, for example, against imported products).

4.8. FOPNL should allow consumers to make comparisons [within categories and/or between categories].

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment	Substantive	FOPNL should help allow consumers to make comparisons [within categories and/or between categories].	Since the specific type of FOPNL will determine whether product comparisons are possible within and/or between food categories, the EUMS suggests keeping both possibilities in the text.

4.9. FOPNL should be **[government lead but]** developed in collaboration with all interested parties including **[government]**, private sector, consumers, academia, public health associations among others.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment	Substantive	FOPNL should be preferably be government lead but and should industry/stakeholder lead) be developed in collaboration with all interested parties including government, private sector, consumers, academia, public health associations among others.	In the EU, Regulation (EU) 1169/2011 on the provision of food information to consumers allows Member States to recommend or food business operators to use additional forms of expression and presentation of the mandatory nutrition declaration on the front of pack, provided that criteria set out in the legislation are met. One of these criteria comprises the requirement that the system's development should be the result of consultation with a wide range of stakeholder groups. Therefore, the EUMS suggests reformulating the principle that FOPNL should <i>preferably</i> government led and should - in all cases (including industry/stakeholder lead) - be developed in collaboration with all interested parties.

4.10. Should be monitored and evaluated to determine effectiveness/impact.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
No comment	/	/	/

4.11. Should be implemented in a way that encourages use on food labels.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
No comment			The EUMS notes that the current wording includes issues encouraging the use of voluntary FOP labels on food, such as designing labels in such as was as to encourage uptake by industry, including SMEs (e.g. free of charge, no certification, score calculators made available, etc). The development of guidance documents, with the involvement of key stakeholders, could also be considered as an element to encourage the use of FOP labels and could be given here as an example. The EUMS refers in this context to its comments under point 5.2.

4.12. Should be calculated and applied to the food in a manner consistent with the corresponding nutrient declaration for that food such that it represents the nature of the food [as consumed / as sold with minimal exceptions].

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment	Technical	Should be calculated and applied to the food in a manner consistent with the corresponding nutrient declaration for that food such that it represents the nature of the food [as consumed / as sold or, where appropriate, as consumed with minimal exceptions].	The EUMS suggests to mention first 'as sold' (general case), before 'as consumed' (where appropriate). In the EU, according to Article 31(3) (subparagraph 2) of Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011, the nutrition declaration is required for the food as sold, but, instead and where appropriate (e.g. example of dehydrated powdered soup), it can relate to the food as prepared for consumption, provided sufficiently detailed preparation instructions are given.

5. OTHER ASPECTS TO CONSIDER IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF FOPNL SYSTEMS

While the purpose, scope and principles for FOPNL aim to provide a high level of global consistency in approach to FOPNL, there remains a need for flexibility in order to tailor a FOPNL system to the needs of the specific population/s in the country or region of implementation.

Therefore, there are a number of other aspects to consider in the development and implementation of a FOPNL system. Many of these may be decided at national level to meet specific requirements of consumers in individual countries. Many of the considerations for national authorities relate to the implementation of the scope and global principles at the national level.

Some considerations for national authorities could include the following:

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
General comment (Section 5)	comment Section 5 (Section 5	Deletion of Section 5	First of all, in order to be in line with the scope of the guidelines as outlined in point 3 of Appendix III attached to the report of the 44 th session of the Codex Committee on Food Labelling (<i>Project document for new work on the development of guidance on use of simplified nutrition information on the front of pack</i>), the EUMS would like to recall that the 'other aspects to consider' should only relate to the development of FOPNL systems and not their implementation.
			Secondly, the EUMS suggest, where relevant, to add elements related to the development of schemes which are currently foreseen under 'Additional aspects to consider' directly in the principles themselves. A set of clearly formulated general principles will allow CODEX to address the need for harmonisation at global level. In addition, the EUMS would like to underline that a general principle could easily refer to possibilities to be considered at local level (e.g. "format of the scheme to be informed by (local or global) research") and that such inclusion is not contradictory to the fact that it remains a general principle.
			Third, the EUMS consider that some of the considerations are redundant with provisions included in the previous sections (e.g. foods not intended to have FOPNL, where the label should be displayed, governance to develop the scheme) and can thus be confusing.
			For the reasons mentioned above and considering the modifications proposed to the principles themselves, the EUMS therefore suggest removing section 5.
			The EUMS further refer to detailed comments on each of the paragraphs under Section 5 explaining the cases where the

	considerations are redundant with previous sections or can be directly added in the general principles.
	directly added in the general principles.

5.1. Selection/Development of the FOPNL System

 The system must meet the global principles for a FOPNL, but the exact form of the system should be informed by local research.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment	Substantive	The system must meet the global principles for a FOPNL, but the exact form of the system should be informed by local research.	In the current version of draft guidelines, the principle that the format must be informed by scientifically valid consumer research has been included in the general principle 4.2. The EUMS suggest specifying in general principle 4.2. that this research can be local or global research (depending on a country's resources) as also described in point 75 of the report of the Electronic Working Group CX/FL 19/45/6.

 To consider whether the FOPNL should provide a summary indication of the nutritional quality of the whole food or information on separate nutrients.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment	Substantive	To consider whether the FOPNL should provide a summary indication of the nutritional quality of the whole food or information on separate nutrients.	The EUMS consider that this is already covered by general principle 4.2. stating that the information must be easy to understand in the country of implementation and that the format must be informed by consumer research.

5.2. Implementation of the FOPNL System

- Consideration whether there are additional foods that are not be intended to have FOPNL such as:
 - o Foods with minimal nutritional value
 - o Foods where a nutrient declaration is not needed
 - o Foods in small packages or with other packaging limitations

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment	Substantive	Consideration whether there are additional foods that are not be intended to have FOPNL such as:	The text is redundant with point 2.1 (FOPNL to be used on pre-packaged foods that include a nutrient declaration) and with the reformulated footnote 2 as suggested by the EUMS.

- Consideration may also be given at national level to potential application of a FOPNL system more broadly than pre-packaged foods. Competent authorities may also wish to consider whether FOPNL be extended to include:
 - Unpackaged foods

- o Food sold via online sales (e.g. information available at point of purchase on websites)
- o Point of purchase information not on the label (e.g. shelf signposting)
- o Food sold or otherwise provided in food service institutions such as schools or hospitals

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment		Consideration may also be given at national level to potential application of a FOPNL system more broadly than pre-packaged foods. Competent authorities may also wish to consider whether FOPNL be extended to include: Unpackaged foods Food sold via online sales (e.g. information available at point of purchase on websites) Point of purchase information not on the label (e.g. shelf signposting) Food sold or otherwise provided in food service institutions such as schools or hospitals	The EUMS are of the opinion that this issue is already dealt with under section 2 'Scope' ("These guidelines can also be used as a guide in the case where simplified nutrition information is displayed near the food (e.g. shelf-tags or food service), for unpackaged foods or for foods sold via online (e.g. information available at point of purchase on websites")

Consideration of the need for supporting guidance documents such as style guides, calculators etc.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment	Substantive	Consideration of the need for supporting guidance documents such as style guides, calculators etc.	The EUMS refer to the general comment under Section 5 that 'Other aspects to consider' should relate to the development of FOPNL systems and not their implementation.
			This issue could be linked to principle 4.11 since the development of guidance documents could be given as an example of how to encourage the use of FOP labels.

• Consideration will need to be given as to how to maximise uptake including whether the FOPNL should be voluntary or mandatory, including consideration of trade impacts particularly for mandatory implementation.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment	Substantive	Consideration will need to be given as to how to maximise uptake including whether the FOPNL should be voluntary or mandatory, including consideration of trade impacts particularly for mandatory implementation.	The EUMS refer to the general comment under Section 5 that 'Other aspects to consider' should relate to the development of FOPNL systems and not their implementation.

 Key stakeholders to be involved in the developing guidance documents (important to have end users involved in the development of the guidance).

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific	Editorial	Key stakeholders to be	The EUMS refer to the general comment under
		involved in the developing	Section 5 that 'Other aspects to consider' should

comment	guidance documents (important to have end users involved in the development of the guidance).	not their implementation. The issue could be linked to principle 4.11 since
---------	---	--

• What governance and oversight will be required to develop and implement the system.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment	Substantive	What governance and eversight will be required to develop and implement the system.	The EUMS consider that the governance aspect linked to the development of a scheme is already covered by principle 4.9. As regards implementation, the EUMS refer to their general comment under section 5 that 'Other aspects to consider' should relate to the development of FOPNL systems and not their implementation.

• How will compliance with the system be managed particularly if voluntary.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment	Substantive	with the system be	The EUMS refer to the general comment under Section 5 that 'Other aspects to consider' should relate to the development of FOPNL systems and not their implementation.

5.3. Presentation of the Information

• Consumer research in the target population should underpin decisions regarding the best for of presentation of information in the FOPNL.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment	Substantive	Consumer research in the target population should underpin decisions regarding the best form of presentation of information in the FOPNL.	The EUMS consider that this consideration is a repetition of the general principle 4.2. stating that the format should be informed by scientifically valid (local or global – see suggestion EUMS) consumer research. The EUMS further refer to the suggestion under principle 4.2. to clarify this principle further by adding 'including scientifically valid evidence of understanding'.

• Consumer understanding and use of the FOPNL used should be monitored at baseline and after implementation.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment	Substantive	Consumer understanding and use of the FOPNL used should be monitored at baseline and after implementation.	As regards the monitoring of consumer understanding and use before implementation, the EUMS refer to the suggestions regarding principle 4.2. As regards implementation, the EUMS refer to the general comment under section 5 that 'Other aspects to consider' should relate to the development of FOPNL

		systems and not their implementation.

 Consider if there are occasions when the top or other surface may be the appropriate place for the FOPNL. For example, when it is displayed in a horizontal freezer or in a container in which the consumer looks down.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment	Substantive	Consider if there are occasions when the top or other surface may be the appropriate place for the FOPNL. For example, when it is displayed in a horizontal freezer or in a container in which the consumer looks down.	The EUMS are of the opinion that this consideration is redundant with point 3.1 including footnote 6 (i.e., 'visible under customary conditions of sale or use').

5.4. Education Programmes

- Consumer research on the target/intended population should inform development of a consumer education programme
 - o What is the best media to use?
 - What will make the message most likely to be seen and taken on board?
 - o What do consumers need to know to use the FOPNL successfully?

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment (paragraph 5.4)	Substantive	Consumer research on the target/intended population should inform development of a consumer education programme What is the best media to use? What will make the message most likely to be seen and taken on board? What do consumers need to know to use the FOPNL successfully?	The EUMS refer in this context to principle 4.4. providing that FOPNL should be accompanied by a consumer education program. For other aspects linked to implementation, the EUMS refer to its general comment under section 5 that 'Other aspects to consider' should relate to the development of FOPNL systems and not their implementation.

5.5. Monitoring and Evaluation of the FOPL system

- Type of monitoring and evaluation possible to be undertaken.
- What baseline data is needed to measure impact of the FOPNL?
- Consideration should be given to monitoring:
 - Uptake of the label by industry
 - o Consumer use of and understanding of the FOPNL
 - o Composition of the food supply
 - Impact on nutrient intake of consumers
- How to balance continuous improvement without constant change.

Comment Type	Category	Proposed change	Comment
Specific comment	Substantive	5.5. Monitoring and Evaluation of the FOPL system	Monitoring of consumer use and understanding before scheme
(paragraph		 Type of monitoring and evaluation 	implementation is addressed in the

5.5)	possible to be undertaken.		EUMS's comments related to	
	◆ What I	paseline data is needed to	principle 4.2.	
	measu	re impact of the FOPNL?	As regards aspects linked	
	• Consider monited	deration should be given to oring:	implementation, the EUMS refers to its general comment under section 5	
	0	Uptake of the label by industry	that 'Other aspects to consider' should relate to the development of FOPNL systems and not their	
	0	Consumer use of and understanding of the FOPNL	implementation.	
	0	Composition of the food supply		
	0	Impact on nutrient intake of consumers		
	• How improve change	to balance continuous vement without constant e.		

2. Recommendation b) of Document CX/FL 19/45/6

The EUMS consider that the existing *Guidelines on Nutrition Labelling* (CAC/GL 2-1985) provide already, although limited, guidance on the provision of 'Supplementary nutrition information' in Section 5. The EUMS therefore suggest including the Guidelines on FOPNL, once finalised, within Section 5 (or as an Annex) of the existing *Guidelines on Nutrition Labelling* (CAC/GL 2-1985). The EUMS recommend reviewing the current introductory wording of Section 5 of the *Guidelines on Nutrition Labelling* (CAC/GL 2-1985) in order to ensure alignment with the Guidelines on FOPNL.

3. Recommendation c) of Document CX/FL 19/45/6

The EUMS support the recommendation that Codex work should be able to take into consideration the WHO Guiding Principles in this area.