



JRC VALIDATED METHODS, REFERENCE METHODS AND MEASUREMENTS REPORT

Report on the Verification of the Performance of
MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507,
MON 87411 and 59122 event-specific PCR-based
Methods applied to DNA extracted from GM Stack
MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507
x MON 87411 x 59122 maize

Gatto F., Sacco M. G., Savini C., Mazzara M.,
Emons H.

European Union Reference Laboratory for
Genetically Modified Food and Feed

2020

This publication is a Validated Methods, Reference Methods and Measurements report by the Joint Research Centre (JRC), the European Commission's science and knowledge service. It aims to provide evidence-based scientific support to the European policymaking process. The scientific output expressed does not imply a policy position of the European Commission. Neither the European Commission nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission is responsible for the use that might be made of this publication. For information on the methodology and quality underlying the data used in this publication for which the source is neither Eurostat nor other Commission services, users should contact the referenced source. The designations employed and the presentation of material on the maps do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the European Union concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

Contact information

Name: EURL GMFF

Email: JRC-EURL-GMFF@ec.europa.eu

EU Science Hub

<https://ec.europa.eu/jrc>

JRC 120195

Ispra: European Commission, 2020

© European Union, 2020



The reuse policy of the European Commission is implemented by the Commission Decision 2011/833/EU of 12 December 2011 on the reuse of Commission documents (OJ L 330, 14.12.2011, p. 39). Except otherwise noted, the reuse of this document is authorised under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). This means that reuse is allowed provided appropriate credit is given and any changes are indicated. For any use or reproduction of photos or other material that is not owned by the EU, permission must be sought directly from the copyright holders.

All content © European Union 2020

How to cite this report: European Union Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed, Joint Research Centre. "Report on the Verification of the Performance of MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122 event-specific PCR-based Methods applied to DNA extracted from GM Stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize", 2020. <http://qmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/StatusOfDossiers.aspx>.



Report on the Verification of the Performance of MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122 event-specific PCR- based Methods applied to DNA extracted from GM Stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize

3 March 2020

European Union Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed

Executive Summary

An application was submitted by Monsanto company (now Bayer Agriculture BVBA), as represented by Monsanto Europe S.A./N.V. to request the authorisation of genetically modified stack (GM stack) MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize (herbicide tolerance to glyphosate and glufosinate herbicide, drought stress tolerance, protection against lepidopteran and coleopteran), for food and feed uses, import and processing, in accordance with articles 5 and 17 of Regulation (EC) N° 1829/2003 GM Food and GM Feed. The unique identifier assigned to GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize is MON-87427-7 x MON-87460-4 x MON-89034-3 x DAS-01507-1 x MON-87411-9 x DAS-59122-7.

The GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize has been obtained by conventional crossing between the genetically modified maize events: MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122, without any new genetic modification.

The EURL GMFF has previously validated individually, and declared fit for purpose, the detection methods for the single events MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122 (see <http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/StatusOfDossiers.aspx>). In line with the approach defined by the ENGL (http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/doc/Min_Perf_Requirements_Analytical_methods.pdf) the EURL GMFF has carried out only an *in-house* verification of the performance of each validated method when applied to genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

The results of the *in-house* verification led to the conclusion that the individual methods meet the ENGL performance criteria also when applied to genomic DNA extracted from the GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

This report is published at <http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/StatusOfDossiers.aspx>.

Content

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1. INTRODUCTION	5
2. STEP 1 (DOSSIER RECEPTION AND ACCEPTANCE)	5
3. STEP 2 (DOSSIER SCIENTIFIC ASSESSMENT)	6
4. STEP 3 (EURL GMFF EXPERIMENTAL TESTING)	7
4.1 MATERIALS	7
4.2 DNA EXTRACTION	8
4.3 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	9
4.4 PCR METHODS	10
4.4.1 <i>Deviations from the validated methods</i>	10
4.5 RESULTS	11
5. CONCLUSIONS	16
6. REFERENCES	16

Quality assurance

The EURL GMFF is ISO 17025:2005 accredited [certificate number: Belac 268 TEST (Flexible Scope for DNA extraction, DNA identification and real Time PCR)] and ISO 17043:2010 accredited (certificate number: Belac 268 PT, proficiency test provider).

The original version of the document containing evidence of internal checks and authorisation for publication is archived within the EURL GMFF quality system.

Address of contact laboratory:

European Commission
Directorate General Joint Research Centre
Directorate F – Health, Consumers and Reference Materials
European Union Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed
Food & Feed Compliance (F.5)
Via E. Fermi, 2749. TP201
I-21027 Ispra (VA), Italy

e-mail: JRC-EURL-GMFF@ec.europa.eu

1. Introduction

The EU legislative system ^(1, 2) for genetically modified food and feed foresees that any GMO for food and feed use shall undergo the authorisation process before it can be placed on the market. This holds true also for a GMO containing more than one single GM event obtained by conventional crossing, co-transformation or re-transformation (genetically modified stack).

Consequently, the application for authorisation of a GM stack shall be accompanied, among others, by an event-specific method for detection, identification and quantification for each GM event composing the stack, and by samples of the stack and food and feed derived from it. The EURL GMFF shall validate the event specific methods of detection proposed by the applicant with regard to their performance when applied to DNA extracted from the stack, and shall report to the European Food Safety Authority, who will include the EURL GMFF report in the overall opinion concerning the risk assessment and potential authorisation of the assessed stack. In line with the approach defined by the ENGL (http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/doc/Min_Perf_Requirements_Analytical_methods.pdf) the EURL GMFF carries out an *in-house* verification of the performance of each event-specific methods if this method has previously been validated by the EURL GMFF for the parental single-line event and these events have been combined by conventional crossing. These criteria are met for the GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

Upon reception of methods, samples and related data (step 1), the EURL GMFF carried out the assessment of the documentation (step 2) and the *in-house* verification of the methods (step 3) according to the requirements of Regulation 503/2013 (Annex III).

The results of the *in-house* verification study were evaluated with reference to ENGL method performance requirements ⁽³⁾ and to the validation results on the individual events.

2. Step 1 (dossier reception and acceptance)

Monsanto company (now Bayer Agriculture BVBA) submitted the detection methods, data demonstrating their adequate performance when applied to genomic DNA extracted from the stack, and the corresponding control samples of DNA extracted from the GM stack maize MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 and from non GM maize.

The dossier was found to be complete and thus was moved to step 2.

3. Step 2 (dossier scientific assessment)

The data provided by the applicant were assessed against the method acceptance criteria set out by the ENGL⁽³⁾ and with regard to their documentation and reliability.

Table 1 shows values of trueness (expressed as bias %) and precision (expressed as RSD_r %) calculated by the applicant for the six methods applied to MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize genomic DNA. Means are the average of fifteen replicates obtained through one run performed with ABI 7500 Real time PCR System. Percentages are expressed as GM DNA / total DNA x 100.

Note: Numerical values presented in the following tables were rounded keeping two digits for values ≤ 1, one digit for values between 1 and 10 and no digit for values ≥ 10, unless otherwise stated. The calculations in the MS Excel files however were done over not rounded data. This approach might create small inconsistencies in the numerical values reported in the tables but it allows a higher precision in the final results.

Table 1. Trueness (expressed as bias %) and precision (expressed as relative repeatability standard deviation, RSD_r %) provided by the applicant for the MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122 methods applied to GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

MON 87427 *			
Sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)		
	10	1	0.085
Mean	7.84	0.85	0.087
RSD _r (%)	8.42	9.87	17.23
Bias (%)	-21.59	-15.45	1.95
MON 87460 *			
Sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)		
	10	1	0.085
Mean	7.90	0.78	0.073
RSD _r (%)	8.97	9.46	14.83
Bias (%)	-20.96	-21.77	-14.34
MON 89034 *			
Sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)		
	10	1	0.085
Mean	11.00	0.96	0.084
RSD _r (%)	5.80	8.94	17.63
Bias (%)	10.02	-3.62	-1.38
1507 *			
Sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)		
	10	1	0.09
Mean	9.27	1.05	0.108
RSD _r (%)	7.13	11.04	18.28
Bias (%)	-7.31	4.95	19.87
MON 87411 *			
Sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)		
	10	1	0.085
Mean	8.96	0.91	0.082
RSD _r (%)	7.09	8.42	19.08
Bias (%)	-10.41	-8.51	-3.48
59122 *			
Sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)		
	10	1	0.085
Mean	10.27	0.96	0.091
RSD _r (%)	7.62	9.04	19.98
Bias (%)	2.73	-3.53	7.41

* Values are not rounded but are presented as reported by the applicant

The EURL GMFF verified the data and concluded that they were reliable and seemed to confirm that the methods meet the ENGL performance criteria ⁽³⁾.

Two requests of complementary information regarding one method, the LOQ of one method, the annotation of one DNA sequence were addressed to the applicant. The EURL GMFF verified the data and the complementary information received and accepted the received clarifications as satisfactory.

The dossier was therefore moved to step 3.

4. Step 3 (EURL GMFF experimental testing)

In step 3 the EURL GMFF implemented the six methods in its own laboratory and performed a verification of their performance when applied to genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

4.1 Materials

The following control samples were provided by the applicant:

- genomic DNA from homogenised seeds of the GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize, hemizygous for the loci, as positive control sample.
- genomic DNA from homogenised seeds of conventional (non-GM) maize, as negative control sample.

The EURL GMFF prepared test samples of different GMO concentrations by mixing genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize with the non-GM maize genomic DNA, in a constant amount of total maize genomic DNA. The same GM concentrations as in the validation of the methods for the single lines were achieved. Table 2 shows the five GM concentrations used in the verification of the MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122 methods when applying them to genomic DNA extracted from the GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

Table 2. Percentage (GM %) of MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122 in MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 stack genomic DNA contained in the verification samples.

MON 87427 GM %* [(GM DNA / total maize DNA x 100)]	1507 GM %* [(GM DNA / total maize DNA x 100)]
0.06	0.10
0.20	0.50
0.90	0.90
3.0	2.0
8.0	5.0
MON 87460 GM %* [(GM DNA / total maize DNA x 100)]	MON 87411 GM %* [(GM DNA / total maize DNA x 100)]
0.09	0.06
0.40	0.55
0.90	0.90
3.0	2.0
8.0	5.0
MON 89034 GM %* [(GM DNA / total maize DNA x 100)]	59122 GM %* [(GM DNA / total maize DNA x 100)]
0.09	0.10
0.40	0.40
0.90	0.90
3.0	2.0
8.0	4.5

* percentage expressed in copy number ratio.

The protocols described by the applicant showed no modification of the methods for detection of MON 87427, 1507 and 59122. The applicant submitted data generated by using methods for detection of MON 87460, MON 89034 and MON 87411 for which the volume of reaction of the event specific method was scaled down to 25 µL.

4.2 DNA extraction

A method for DNA extraction from maize was previously evaluated by the EURL GMFF with regard to its performance characteristics and was considered valid, i.e. fit the purpose of providing maize DNA of appropriate quality and amount for being used in subsequent PCR experiments.

Annex III to Reg. (EU) No 503/2013 ⁽²⁾ requires the applicant to discuss the validity and limitations of the detection methods in the various types of foods and feeds (matrices) that are expected to be placed on the market. To this regard the applicant stated that the applicability of the quantitative real-time PCR methods developed for MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122 depends on the isolation of sufficient quantity and quality of purified DNA.

The DNA extraction method provided is intended for extraction of genomic DNA from seed which results in primarily high molecular weight DNA, indicating that the DNA is intact with limited fragmentation. The applicant also informed that during the processing of maize grains

into food and feed ingredients, a number of treatments may influence the quality and intactness of the DNA contained in the final processed maize products. In some cases, additional rounds of processing in order to clean up the DNA and eliminate PCR inhibitors may be required in order to achieve a quality of genomic DNA suitable for PCR.

The applicant highlighted that regardless of the DNA extraction method employed, studies have shown that the processing steps for maize result in the significant degradation of high molecular weight DNA and failure to PCR amplify products greater than a few 100 base pairs; in addition, random DNA fragmentation is known to lead to variability in quantitating DNA by qPCR, thus affecting the ability to accurately quantify the presence of a GM event in processed fractions.

On a general note, the EURL GMFF recommends that laboratories using this validated method for testing complex or difficult matrices always verify that the extracted genomic DNA is of sufficient quality.

The protocol for the DNA extraction method is available at <http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/summaries/CRL-VL-16-05-XP-Corrected-version-2.pdf>.

Consequently, the EURL GMFF did not verify the DNA extraction method proposed by the applicant.

4.3 Experimental design

Eight PCR runs were carried out for each method. In each run, samples were analysed in parallel with both the GM-specific system and the reference system hmg, high mobility group. Five GM levels were examined per run, each GM level in duplicate. PCR analysis was performed in triplicate for all samples. In total, for each method, the quantification of the five GM levels was performed as an average of sixteen replicates per GM level (8 runs x 2 replicated levels per run). An Excel spreadsheet or Dell Statistica v.13 were used for determination of the GM %.

4.4 PCR methods

During the verification study, the EURL GMFF carried out parallel tests on DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize using the single detection methods previously validated for the respective single GM events MON 87427, MON 87460, 1507 and MON 87411. Modifications to the MON 89034 and 59122 methods were introduced as described in §4.4.1.

For detection of GM maize events MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122, DNA fragments of 95-bp, 82-bp 77-bp, 58-bp, 109-bp and 86-bp respectively are amplified using specific primers. PCR products are measured during each cycle (real-time) by means of target-specific oligonucleotide probes labelled with two fluorescent dyes: FAM (6-carboxyfluorescein) as reporter dye at their 5'-end and TAMRA (6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine) as a quencher dye at their 3'-end for MON 87427, MON 87460, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122 events. The oligonucleotide probe of MON 89034 was labelled with FAM as a reporter dye at its 5' end and MGBNFQ (minor groove binding non-fluorescent quencher) as a quencher dye at its 3' end.

For quantification of GM maize events MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122, a taxon-specific reference system amplifies a 79-bp fragment of hmg gene, a maize endogenous gene (GenBank AJ131373), using two hmg gene-specific primers and a gene-specific probe labelled with FAM and TAMRA.

For the relative quantification of GM maize events MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122 standard curves are generated both for the MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122 and for the hmg specific system by plotting Cq values of the calibration standards against the logarithm of the DNA amount and by fitting a linear regression into these data. Thereafter, the Cq values of the unknown samples are measured and, by means of the regression formula, the relative amount of MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122 DNA is estimated.

For detailed information on the preparation of the respective standard curve calibration samples please refer to the protocols of the validated methods at <http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/StatusOfDossiers.aspx>.

4.4.1 Deviations from the validated methods

MON 89034 method was implemented in combination with the hmg system of MON 87460 (CRLVL04/09VP).

The pH of the "PCR buffer II 10x" (Thermo Fisher Cat. No 4486220) in 59122 method was adjusted to 8.0.

4.5 Results

Tables 3 to 8 present the values of the slopes of the different standard curves generated by the EURL GMFF when using DNA extracted from the GM stack, from which the PCR efficiency is calculated using the formula $[10^{(-1/\text{slope})} - 1] \times 100$, and of the coefficient of determination (R^2) reported for all PCR systems in the eight runs, for GM maize events MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122. Slope and R^2 values were rounded to two digits.

Table 3. Values of standard curve slope, PCR efficiency and R^2 coefficient for the MON 87427 method on GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

Run	MON 87427			hmg		
	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R^2 coefficient	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R^2 coefficient
1	-3.27	102	1.00	-3.33	100	1.00
2	-3.23	104	1.00	-3.33	100	1.00
3	-3.24	104	1.00	-3.29	101	1.00
4	-3.28	102	0.99	-3.33	100	1.00
5	-3.36	99	0.99	-3.37	98	1.00
6	-3.20	105	1.00	-3.33	100	1.00
7	-3.30	101	1.00	-3.34	99	1.00
8	-3.29	101	1.00	-3.35	99	1.00
Mean	-3.27	102	1.00	-3.33	100	1.00

Table 4. Values of standard curve slope, PCR efficiency and R^2 coefficient for the MON 87460 method on GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

Run	MON 87460			hmg		
	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R^2 coefficient	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R^2 coefficient
1	-3.32	100	1.00	-3.33	99	1.00
2	-3.16	107	1.00	-3.41	96	1.00
3	-3.13	109	1.00	-3.36	98	1.00
4	-3.24	103	1.00	-3.36	98	1.00
5	-3.25	103	1.00	-3.32	100	1.00
6	-3.18	106	1.00	-3.36	98	1.00
7	-3.14	108	1.00	-3.37	98	1.00
8	-3.21	105	1.00	-3.35	99	1.00
Mean	-3.20	105	1.00	-3.36	98	1.00

Table 5. Values of standard curve slope, PCR efficiency and R^2 coefficient for the MON 89034 method on GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

Run	MON 89034			hmg		
	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R^2 coefficient	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R^2 coefficient
1	-3.34	99	1.00	-3.30	101	1.00
2	-3.38	97	1.00	-3.28	102	1.00
3	-3.40	97	1.00	-3.31	100	1.00
4	-3.41	97	1.00	-3.29	101	1.00
5	-3.38	97	1.00	-3.36	99	1.00
6	-3.30	101	1.00	-3.31	101	1.00
7	-3.39	97	1.00	-3.30	101	1.00
8	-3.50	93	1.00	-3.32	100	1.00
Mean	-3.39	97	1.00	-3.31	101	1.00

Table 6. Values of standard curve slope, PCR efficiency and R^2 coefficient for the 1507 method on GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

Run	1507			hmg		
	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R^2 coefficient	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R^2 coefficient
1	-3.28	102	1.00	-3.18	106	1.00
2	-3.27	102	1.00	-3.32	100	1.00
3	-3.25	103	1.00	-3.32	100	1.00
4	-3.19	106	1.00	-3.31	101	1.00
5	-3.20	105	1.00	-3.35	99	1.00
6	-3.25	103	1.00	-3.27	102	1.00
7	-3.20	105	1.00	-3.34	99	1.00
8	-3.24	103	1.00	-3.26	103	1.00
Mean	-3.24	104	1.00	-3.29	101	1.00

Table 7. Values of standard curve slope, PCR efficiency and R^2 coefficient for the MON 87411 method on GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

Run	MON 87411			hmg		
	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R^2 coefficient	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R^2 coefficient
1	-3.41	97	1.00	-3.32	100	1.00
2	-3.38	98	1.00	-3.37	98	1.00
3	-3.39	97	0.99	-3.29	102	1.00
4	-3.36	98	1.00	-3.32	100	1.00
5	-3.33	100	0.99	-3.33	100	1.00
6	-3.34	99	0.99	-3.36	98	1.00
7	-3.33	100	1.00	-3.32	100	1.00
8	-3.36	98	1.00	-3.32	100	1.00
Mean	-3.36	98	1.00	-3.33	100	1.00

Table 8. Values of standard curve slope, PCR efficiency and R^2 coefficient for the 59122 method on GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

Run	59122			hmg		
	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R^2 coefficient	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R^2 coefficient
1	-3.36	98	1.00	-3.31	100	1.00
2	-3.30	101	1.00	-3.30	101	1.00
3	-3.26	103	1.00	-3.13	109	0.99
4	-3.32	100	1.00	-3.15	108	0.99
5	-3.18	106	1.00	-3.26	103	1.00
6	-3.17	107	0.99	-3.25	103	1.00
7	-3.13	109	1.00	-3.13	108	0.99
8	-3.37	98	0.99	-3.33	100	1.00
Mean	-3.26	103	1.00	-3.23	104	0.99

The mean PCR efficiencies of the GM and species-specific systems were above 90 % (102 % and 100 % for MON 87427 and hmg systems, 105 % and 98 % for MON 87460 and hmg, 97 % and 101 % for MON 89034 and hmg, 104 % and 101 % for 1507 and hmg, 98 % and 100 % for MON 87411 and hmg, and 103 % and 104 % for 59122 and hmg, respectively). The mean R^2 coefficient of the methods was above or equal to 0.99 for all systems in all cases. The data presented in Tables 3-8 confirm the appropriate performance characteristics of the six methods when tested on GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize in terms of PCR efficiency and R^2 coefficient.

The EURL GMFF also assessed the values of trueness (expressed as bias %) and precision (expressed as relative repeatability standard deviation, RSD_r %) of the six methods applied to samples of DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize see Tables 9-14.

Table 9. Estimates of trueness (expressed as bias %) and relative repeatability standard deviation (RSD_r %) of the MON 87427 method applied to genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

MON 87427					
Unknown sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)				
	0.06	0.20	0.90	3.0	8.0
Mean	0.05	0.17	0.80	2.8	8.1
SD	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.20	0.51
RSD_r (%)	14	13	6.5	7.0	6.3
Bias (%)	-12	-17	-12	-5.8	1.4

Table 10. Estimates of trueness (expressed as bias %) and relative repeatability standard deviation (RSD_r %) of the MON 87460 method applied to genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

MON 87460					
Unknown sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)				
	0.09	0.40	0.90	3.0	8.0
Mean	0.07	0.35	0.83	2.9	7.9
SD	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.32	0.60
RSD_r (%)	8.6	7.6	8.4	11	7.5
Bias (%)	-17	-12	-7.4	-4.8	-0.76

Table 11. Estimates of trueness (expressed as bias %) and relative repeatability standard deviation (RSD_r %) of the MON 89034 method applied to genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

MON 89034					
Unknown sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)				
	0.09	0.40	0.90	3.0	8.0
Mean	0.07	0.34	0.77	2.8	7.5
SD	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.14	0.31
RSD_r (%)	8.5	8.3	4.6	4.8	4.2
Bias (%)	-22	-15	-15	-5.6	-6.8

Table 12. Estimates of trueness (expressed as bias %) and relative repeatability standard deviation (RSD_r %) of the 1507 method applied to genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

1507					
Unknown sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)				
	0.10	0.50	0.90	2.0	5.0
Mean	0.08	0.41	0.84	1.8	4.7
SD	0.01	0.05	0.07	0.17	0.34
RSD_r (%)	7.8	11	8.2	9.7	7.4
Bias (%)	-15	-18	-7.0	-11	-6.4

Table 13. Estimates of trueness (expressed as bias %) and relative repeatability standard deviation (RSD_r %) of the MON 87411 method applied to genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

MON 87411					
Unknown sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)				
	0.06	0.55	0.90	2.0	5.0
Mean	0.05	0.45	0.80	1.7	4.9
SD	0.01	0.06	0.07	0.16	0.24
RSD_r (%)	9.9	12	9.2	9.3	4.9
Bias (%)	-15	-18	-11	-13	-2.5

Table 14. Estimates of trueness (expressed as bias %) and relative repeatability standard deviation (RSD_r %) of the 59122 method applied to genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

59122					
Unknown sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)				
	0.10	0.40	0.90	2.0	4.5
Mean	0.09	0.35	0.80	1.9	4.3
SD	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.11	0.33
RSD_r (%)	11	11	6.5	6.0	7.7
Bias (%)	-15	-12	-11	-6.6	-5.3

The trueness of the method is estimated using the measurements of the method bias for each GM level. According to the ENGL acceptance criteria and method performance requirements, the trueness of the method should be less or equal to ± 25 % across the entire dynamic range. As shown in Tables 9-14, the values range from -17 % to 1.4 % for MON 87427, from -17 % to -0.76 % for MON 87460, from -22 % to -5.6 % for MON 89034, from -18 % to -6.4 % for 1507, from -18 % to -2.5 % for MON 87411 and from -15 % to -5.3 % for 59122. Therefore, the six methods satisfy the above mentioned requirement throughout their

respective dynamic ranges, also when applied to DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

Tables 9-14 also show the relative repeatability standard deviation (RSD_r) estimated for each GM level. According to the ENGL acceptance criteria and method performance requirements, the RSD_r values should be equal to or below 25 %. As the values range between 6.3 % and 14 % for MON 87427, between 7.5 % and 11 % for MON 87460, between 4.2 % and 8.5 % for MON 89034, between 7.4 % and 11 % for 1507, between 4.9 % and 12 % for MON 87411 and between 6.0 % and 11 % for 59122. The six methods satisfy this requirement throughout their respective dynamic ranges when applied to DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize.

5. Conclusions

The performance of the six event-specific methods for the detection and quantification of maize single line events MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122, when applied to genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize, meets the ENGL performance requirements, as assessed on the control samples provided by the applicant.

Therefore these methods, developed and validated to detect and quantify the single maize events MON 87427, MON 87460, MON 89034, 1507, MON 87411 and 59122, can be equally applied for the detection and quantification of the respective events in DNA extracted from the GM stack MON 87427 x MON 87460 x MON 89034 x 1507 x MON 87411 x 59122 maize or any of its sub-combinations, supposed that sufficient genomic DNA of appropriate quality is available.

6. References

1. Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2003 on genetically modified food and feed (Text with EEA relevance). OJ L 268, 18.10.2003, p. 1–23.
2. Regulation (EU) No 503/2013 of 3 April 2013 "on applications for authorisation of genetically modified food and feed in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council and amending Commission Regulations (EC) No 641/2004 and (EC) No 1981/2006".
3. European Network of GMO Laboratories (ENGL), 'Definition of Minimum Performance Requirements for Analytical Methods of GMO Testing', 2015. http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/doc/MPR%20Report%20Application%2020_10_2015.pdf.

GETTING IN TOUCH WITH THE EU

In person

All over the European Union there are hundreds of Europe Direct information centres. You can find the address of the centre nearest you at: https://europa.eu/european-union/contact_en

On the phone or by email

Europe Direct is a service that answers your questions about the European Union. You can contact this service:

- by freephone: 00 800 6 7 8 9 10 11 (certain operators may charge for these calls),
- at the following standard number: +32 22999696, or
- by electronic mail via: https://europa.eu/european-union/contact_en

FINDING INFORMATION ABOUT THE EU

Online

Information about the European Union in all the official languages of the EU is available on the Europa website at: https://europa.eu/european-union/index_en

EU publications

You can download or order free and priced EU publications from EU Bookshop at: <https://publications.europa.eu/en/publications>. Multiple copies of free publications may be obtained by contacting Europe Direct or your local information centre (see https://europa.eu/european-union/contact_en).

The European Commission's science and knowledge service

Joint Research Centre

JRC Mission

As the science and knowledge service of the European Commission, the Joint Research Centre's mission is to support EU policies with independent evidence throughout the whole policy cycle.



EU Science Hub

ec.europa.eu/jrc



@EU_ScienceHub



EU Science Hub - Joint Research Centre



EU Science, Research and Innovation



EU Science Hub