



## EUROPEAN COMMISSION

HEALTH & CONSUMER PROTECTION DIRECTORATE-GENERAL

Directorate C - Scientific Opinions

C2 - Management of scientific committees; scientific co-operation and networks

### **SUMMARY RECORD OF THE 140<sup>TH</sup> SCAN PLENARY MEETING (BRUSSELS, 03-05 DECEMBER 2001)**

**(APPROVED ON 05-06 FEBRUARY 2002)**

#### **1. WELCOME, APOLOGIES**

The list of those present is annexed.

#### **2. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

Dr J. Brufau informed the Committee that he conducted some studies on the product Toyocerin® and proposed to abstain from the discussion on that subject. The Committee agreed to this proposal.

#### **3. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**

The agenda was approved with the reorganisation of the items under discussion for possible adoption.

#### **4. ADOPTION OF THE SUMMARY RECORD OF THE 139<sup>TH</sup> MEETING OF SCAN**

The minutes of the previous SCAN meeting were adopted unanimously. The adopted minutes of the 138<sup>th</sup> meeting were distributed to the Committee.

#### **5. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF A SCIENTIFIC OPINION**

##### **5.1. Question 105 on the safety of Potassium- and Sodium ferrocyanid**

The rapporteur presented a document revised in the light of the previous meeting discussions. The Committee agreed to the changes made and adopted unanimously the report.

##### **5.2. Revision of the SCAN opinion on BioProtein® of October 1999**

The rapporteur presented the opinion. The Committee discussion focused on the evaluation of the documents presented by the Company, in particular the latest Memorandum on BioProtein®. The Committee supported unanimously

the conclusions of that assessment and adopted therefore this opinion revising its previous opinion of 1999 in the light of the information submitted.

### **5.3. Question 128 on product Toyocerin<sup>®</sup> for use as feed additive**

Two documents were presented to the Committee: an opinion based on the dossier and all the data provided by Company Asahi on its product Toyocerin<sup>®</sup> and a commentary on the toxin data submitted by a competitor Intervet on product Toyocerin<sup>®</sup>, which the Commission had requested the SCAN to consider.

Both the opinion and the commentary were adopted unanimously.

### **5.4. Question 106 on the use of canthaxanthin in feedingstuffs for laying hens, other poultry, salmon and trout**

The rapporteur presented the outcome of the work of the ad hoc group. The discussion concentrated first on the human exposure aspects. Substantial modifications to the structure of that part were requested, which the rapporteur agreed to.

The fact that, for the calculation, salmonids represent only a part of the fish consumption was highlighted and it was agreed that SCAN members would try to find out the respective national figures for salmonids consumption. These figures would help in fine tuning the calculations but have to be referenced for possible consideration by the Committee. Data, if available, should be submitted soon to allow the rapporteur to adapt the document.

Conclusion and recommendations were agreed upon. Other parts of the document could not be discussed but members were invited to submit comments.

The document will be rediscussed at the next plenary, once amended, for possible adoption.

### **5.5. Question 113 on the use of astaxanthin-rich *Phaffia rhodozyma* in feedingstuffs for salmon and trout**

The Committee discussed the draft presented by the rapporteur. Some amendments were requested to the document, although generally the Committee could agree to the conclusions. The document will be finalised in the light of the comments made. Depending on when a finalised version is available, it may then be sent for adoption using the Written Procedure or submitted to the next plenary meeting.

### **5.6. Question 116 on the use of manganomanganic oxide in feedingstuffs**

The Committee considered thoroughly that document, which had been amended following previous discussions. Further modifications were requested. A finalised version could be presented for the next plenary meeting.

**6. FEED-BACK BY THE CHAIRMAN ON SUBJECTS DISCUSSED IN THE SCIENTIFIC STEERING COMMITTEE (SSC) AND HAVING AN INTEREST FOR THE SCAN**

None.

**7. FEED-BACK BY MEMBERS OF THE SCAN HAVING ATTENDED WORKING GROUP MEETINGS OF OTHER SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEES**

None.

**8. NEW QUESTIONS**

Although a number of new questions are on the SCAN agenda, none of them could be discussed. This item was therefore postponed to the next meeting.

**9. PROGRESS REPORTS**

This item was postponed to the next meeting.

**10. MISCELLANEOUS**

None

## **Annex - Attendance**

### **Members:**

Prof. Arturo ANADÓN  
Ing. Louis Aimé AUMAITRE  
Ing. Georges BORIES  
Dr Joaquim BRUFAU  
Prof. Maria de los Angeles CALVO TORRAS  
Dr Andrew CHESSON (two first days)  
Prof. Dr Jürgen GROPP  
Prof. Jean-François GUILLOT  
Dr Ingrid HALLE  
Prof. Josef LEIBETSEDER  
Dr Anne Katrine Lundebye HALDORSEN  
Mr Derek RENSHAW  
Mr Kristen SEJRSEN  
Dr Pieter WESTER  
Dr Atte VON WRIGHT (second day)

### **Apologies:**

Prof. Diana ANDERSON  
Dr Andrew CHESSON (third day)  
Prof. Gerhard FLACHOWSKY  
Dr Atte VON WRIGHT (first and third days)

### **For the Commission:**

#### **DG Health and Consumer Protection:**

Mr E. Thévenard (Management of SCAN)