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## FOREWORD

According to Council Directive 93/5/EEC "on the assistance to the Commission and cooperation by the Member States in the scientific examination of questions relating to food" Member States of the European Union can cooperate on problems facing the Commission in the area of food. Directive $93 / 5 / \mathrm{EEC}$ also indicates that an inventory of Tasks to be undertaken has to be published as a Commission Decision at least every six months. For each Task, the participating Member States, the Member State which provides coordination and time limit for completion will be indicated.
The rationale for each Task is to provide harmonised and reliable information to be used by the Commission for the management of problems related to food.
With this aim the Competent Authorities responsible for Scientific Cooperation in the Member States nominate experts in the specific field of interest, that will provide the Coordinator with the information necessary to prepare a final report.
In principle the final report should contain factual information, but it should be underlined that gathering and presenting scientific data, especially deriving from sources of different origin, can require a degree of interpretation by experts and by Coordinator.
It is therefore important to stress that the interpretation and views in the present report are not necessarily those of the participating Member States or those of the European Commission.

## INTRODUCTION

As reported the document SCOOP/CNTM/9 Rev 3 "Council Regulation (EEC) 315/93 of 8 February 1993 provides the legal framework for establishing maximum levels for food contaminants at Community level".

Due to the growing interest and concern of the public authorities for the presence of naturally occurring toxicants in the human food, such as mycotoxins, and to the discussions at Community level concerning the fixing of maximum limits, a more frequent control on the presence of mycotoxins and in particular Aflatoxins and Ochratoxin A, was carried out in food.

Commission Decision 2000/669/EC, of October the 18th 2000 amending Decision 94/652/EC establishing the inventory and distribution of the Tasks to be undertaken within the framework of co-operation by Member States in the scientific examination of questions relating to food, established Task 3.2.8 "Assessment of dietary intake of patulin by the population of EU Member States". Germany was designated as Member State to develop the Task.

Basic information on patulin are given in Annex 1.
In addition a specific emphasis was given to the evaluation of the presence of Fusarium Toxins (Task 3.2.10 Commission Decision 2001/773/EC, of October the 26th 2001) asking for evaluation of the exposure to these wide variety of toxins by the European population.

Following the appointment of Germany as Coordinator, the timetable of the Task (Annex 2) was decided jointly with representatives of the Commission.

After receiving the list of experts nominated by the Competent Authorities of the Member States, in June 2001, the instructions for Task 3.2.8 (Annex 3) were issued to participants, who were also asked for comments.
Basically, participants were asked to provide information on the exposure of the population to patulin in their country through the elaboration of the following categories of data:

1. Occurrence data in food and beverages, including those from different methodological approaches (i.e. total diet, duplicate test portion)
2. Consumption data
3. Best estimate of dietary intake

Additional information was also asked, among others and whenever possible, on the following issues:

- Sampling procedures employed
- Quality Assurance of Analytical data
- Regulations related to the toxin (maximum limits, sampling plans, others).

The draft version of the instructions for participants was sent in April 2001.
In May 2001 a meeting was organised in Brussels, Belgium, with the aim of illustrating and discussing the final version of the Instructions to participants, modified according to the suggestions previously received.

The format for collecting and sending data was also agreed in order to allow the drawing of summary Tables by the Co-ordinators.
Information from participants was gathered during the period June 2001-January 2002. After the evaluation and harmonisation of the provided information a set of Tables was prepared by the Coordinators.
From 13 participating countries 10 have sent results on occurrence of patulin in food, with the exception of Denmark and Netherlands for lack of actual results. Till the deadline 11.01.2002 no data or information were communicated by Ireland.

For lack of adequate consumption data no estimation was available from Norway.
Data provided by participants were collected, harmonised, and reported by the Co-ordinators according to the following criteria:
$>$ To provide a description, harmonised at European level, of the status of patulin contamination in foodstuffs in each participating Member State
$>$ To group available information on each raw material and/or food products
$>$ To evaluate the best estimates of the patulin dietary intake from food, both for each participating Member State and at European level
$>$ To evaluate the patulin dietary intake of particular groups of population (high consumers, children, babies etc.).

A glossary of the employed terms and the list of participants are reported in Annexes 4 and 5.

## PATULIN OCCURRENCE IN FOOD

## Calculation of the mean 1 and mean 2

Mean 1 accounts for all the individual provided values according to the following criteria:

1) If LOD and LOQ are available, participants were requested to calculate mean level using LOD/2 for results lower than the LOD. For results between LOD and LOQ, numerical values, if available, were used.
2) If only LOQ is available, or if numerical values between LOD and LOQ are not available, LOQ/6 for values below the LOQ was used.

Mean 2 accounts for all positive above LOD values and it accounts for the distribution and level of positive results.

## Range of contamination and median value

The ranges of concentration were chosen in order to provide a wide spectrum of the low region of concentration.

The median value, corresponding to the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile, provides an indication, along with mean 1 , of the distribution of data population.

## Occurrence data by each Member State

Table 1A presents the occurrence data as provided by participants ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ ) (aggregated tables 1A1). The total number of analysed samples for each Member State is represented in Table 1C. According to the request of information reported in Annex 3, most of the participants have sent their information on the occurrence of patulin in various food, generally by following the food categories provided by the Co-ordinators. In order to allow the Co-ordinators to better harmonise the occurrence data by each Member State, participants were asked to send, whenever possible, also the individual data for each group or subgroup.

## Occurrence data for selected commodities

In order to account for the overall incidence of patulin contamination in different food matrices in European countries, all provided data on the occurrence in juices, purees, baby food, "others" and fresh fruit are gathered in Tables 1B1, 1B2, 1B3, 1B4 and 1B5. In these Tables, all available occurrence data were included, even though in some cases the corresponding consumption data were not available. In order to have a realistic value for the occurrence, Austria divided the patulin levels in apple concentrate by 7 , but these data were not included in the corresponding calculation of the intakes.

The Co-ordinators decided to omit in those tables the provided information on reference and year, LOQ, contamination ranges, evidence of quality assurance, sampling strategies and analytical methods.

The weighed mean 1 was partly not calculated, because the results for some commodities were rather fragmentary.

## - Juices especially apple juice

The occurrence data for juices (nectars, drinks, concentrates) in each participating country are gathered in Table 1B1.

Apple juice (enclosed are nectars and drinks)
The total number of results was 4633 with $57,4 \%$ of positive samples, which ranged from LOD $0,03 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Italy) to $1150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Italy). The mean 1 ranged between 1,4 (Sweden) to $70,6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Italy). The weighed mean 1 was $15,2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. Data delivered by the German fruit juice industry were not taken into consideration because the raw data were not given to the coordinators for recalculation. All participating countries provided results on this food matrix.

Apple juice concentrate
The total number of results was 1175 with $96,0 \%$ of positive samples, which ranged from LOD $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Austria, Norway) to $1227 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Austria). The mean 1 ranged between 3,2 (Norway) to $161,49 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Austria). The weighed mean 1 was $42,1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. Data delivered by the Federation of the German fruit juice industry were not taken into consideration because the raw data were not given to the coordinators for recalculation. Contributions were provided by 4 countries (Austria, Germany, Norway and UK).
As apple juice concentrate is not an article available in retail, the indicated concentrations were divided by 7 , to give a realistic estimation on the ready to sale apple juice after the processing in the juice plant. The weighed mean 1 was now $6,0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$.

Cider (including drinks based on cider and apple wine)
The total number of results was 339 with $37,2 \%$ of positive samples, which ranged from LOD $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (France) to $1604 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (France). The mean 1 ranged between 0,83 (Spain) to $152,8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (France). The weighed mean 1 was $55,7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. Contributions were provided by 5 countries (Belgium, France, Germany, Norway and Spain).

Pear juice (enclosed are nectars)
The total number of results was 100 with $17,0 \%$ of positive samples, which ranged from LOD $0,03 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Italy) to $91 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Germany). The mean 1 ranged between $2,5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Germany) to $14,3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Germany). The weighed mean 1 was $5,8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. Contributions were provided by 3 countries (Germany, Italy and Portugal).

Grape juice (enclosed are musts)
The total number of results was 324 with $39,5 \%$ of positive samples, which ranged from LOD $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Germany) to $750 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Austria). The mean 1 ranged between $4,3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Germany) to $23,97 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Austria). The weighed mean 1 was $15,4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. Contributions were provided by 3 countries (Austria, Belgium and Germany).

Other juices (enclosed are mixtures with milk)
The total number of results was 174 with $2,9 \%$ of positive samples, which ranged from LOD $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Sweden) to $32,4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ fruit juice and nectar with apple (Norway). The mean 1 ranged between $2,5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ syrup concentrate (mixture of berries and other fruits among apple juice) (Norway) to $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ citrus juice (Italy). Contributions were provided by 4 countries (Germany, Italy, Norway and Portugal).

## Comments on patulin occurrence in Juices

A considerable number of data was provided for juices and derived products, most of the data where provided by the North European countries.

- Among the individual juice commodities apple juice has been by far the most investigated, followed by apple juice concentrate. This item seems to be of bigger concern especially in relationship to GMP ( good manufacturing practise ) in fruit juice plants, what is shown by the high number of results from the Federation of the German fruit juice industry.
- After mathematical derivation of the patulin occurrence data from apple concentrate into juice by division with 7 , the weighed mean 1 of the concentrate is somewhat lower than in the other apple juices.
- Weighed mean 1 ranged from $5,7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (pear juice) to $55,8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (cider).
- The category cider and fresh grape must are not homogeneous, as different degrees of fermentation and sulphur dioxide addition may play an important role. Extreme values may be of local and seasonal importance only
- Pear juice and nectars may be higher affected, but the analysed number of samples throughout Europe is probably not representative
- Due to high LOD values and lack of Quality Assurance principles some of the Italian occurrence data are not taken into consideration for the intake calculation.
- Other juice commodities seem to be of lower importance


## - Purees

The occurrence data for purees (compote, pulp and soup) in each participating country are gathered in Table 1B2.

Apple puree
The total number of results was 97 with $7,2 \%$ of positive samples, which ranged from LOD $0,2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Italy) to $86 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (France). The mean 1 ranged between 1,6 (Sweden) to $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Germany). The weighed mean 1 was $5,0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. Contributions were provided by 5 countries (Belgium, France, Germany, Italy and Portugal).

Other purees
The total number of results was 50 with $0 \%$ of positive samples, which ranged from LOD $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Sweden) to $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Italy). The mean 1 ranged between 1,4 (Sweden) to $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Germany). Contributions were provided by 4 countries (Germany, Italy and Portugal and Sweden). A weighed mean was not calculated.

## Comments on patulin occurrence in Purees

Even if these commodities seem to play a more or less important role in Germany and Sweden, the reported values have not to be considered so far.

## - Baby food

The occurrence data for baby food in each participating country are gathered in Table 1B3.

The total number of results was 312 with $13,8 \%$ of positive samples, which ranged from LOD $0,2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Italy) to $68 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Germany). The mean 1 ranged between 0,55 (Italy) to $11,7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Germany). The weighed mean 1 was $3,8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. Contributions were provided by 5 countries (Austria, Belgium, France, Germany and Italy).

## Comments on patulin occurrence in Baby food

Even if the number of reported results was rather small, the overall median was fortunately low even if some samples were unexpectedly high.
Due to high LOD values and lack of Quality Assurance principles some of the Italian occurrence data are not taken into consideration for the intake calculation.

## - Other commodities

The occurrence data for other food commodities from each participating country are gathered in Table 1B4.

The total number of results was 73 with $5,5 \%$ of positive samples, which ranged from LOD $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Germany) to $320 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ dry apple (Italy). The mean 1 ranged between 1,7 (Germany) to $90 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Italy). The weighed mean 1 was $13,3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. Contributions were provided by 3 countries (Belgium, Germany and Italy).

## Comments on patulin occurrence in other food commodities

Even if the number of reported results was rather small, dried apples and tomatoes purees may be of future relevance.
Due to high LOD values and lack of Quality Assurance principles some of the Italian occurrence data are not taken into consideration for the intake calculation.

## - Fresh fruits

Occurrence data in fresh fruit (apples, pears and peaches) were provided only by Italy and reported in Table $1 \mathrm{B5}$.

The total number of results were 64 with $23 \%$ of positive samples ( 45 apples samples ( 24 with peel and 21 without peel ), 12 pears samples, and 7 peaches samples), which ranged from LOD $0,2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ to $1166 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ apples with peel. The mean 1 ranged between $5,5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ for apples without peel, $107,8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ for apples with peel, $172,8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ for pears and $4,83 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ for peaches.

## Comments on patulin occurrence in fresh fruits

Due to high LOD values and lack of Quality Assurance principles not all the results were used for the intake calculation.

## CONSUMPTION DATA

Table 2A presents the consumption data ( mean, median and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile) and the information on the adopted methodology for recording the consumption data were provided by the participants $(\mathrm{N}=10)($ aggregated tables 2A1).

Most countries provided consumption data for all population. In addition since in the instruction for participants it was requested, whenever possible, to provide consumption data also for specific groups of consumers (consumers only, by age, by gender, by living area), Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Sweden and UK provided also information in this respect.
Consumption data was either provided as grouped foods and/or as individual foods by almost all participants.
A strong lack of information exists on baby food (juice and pap).
For a better overview on the best estimates of the different countries, food and consumer groups the Co-ordinators decided to omit in the Table 2B the provided information on reference and year, survey methods, typology of data, sample size, data collection, geographical level, method region and representativeness for the member state.

## PATULIN DIETARY INTAKE

In order to obtain the overall European scenario of the exposure to patulin, through the combination of patulin occurrence data in food products and consumption data, various approaches were followed, namely by country, by food commodity and by groups of population.

## Best estimate of the dietary intakes

In general on the basis of the provided data, four different estimates of dietary intakes from each food commodity, as derived by the combination of the above sets of data, could be calculated theoretically:
A. Mean food consumption and mean 1 occurrence data
B. Mean food consumption and mean 2 occurrence data
C. $\quad 95^{\text {th }}$ percentile food consumption (if available) and mean 1 occurrence data
D. $\quad 95^{\text {th }}$ percentile food consumption (if available) and mean 2 occurrence data

A summary for all Member States of the best estimates of daily intake of patulin for different groups of population is given in Table 3A including the calculated intakes A, B and C. Dietary intake D was not calculated, since it would represent a gross overestimation. The intake estimates were calculated as referred both to person and per kg of body weight (bw).
Only dietary intake values related to commodities on which both occurrence and consumption data were available, the corresponding consumption and occurrence data could be reported.

## Total dietary intake in participating countries

For each Member State, the best estimate of total dietary intake ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{bw} / \mathrm{day}$ ) for all population and/or for specific groups of population was calculated by summing up the dietary intakes A and C from each food commodity from Table 3A, since this approach provides the value closest to the real situation (Table 3B). As far as dietary intake B is concerned, it had been agreed previously that the summing up of the contribution from each commodity would provide an overestimation of the total dietary intake. Instead of summing up the daily intakes of each commodity the French representative proposed to do the calculation of the daily intake of patulin with the real disaggregated data on individuals from all the commodities.

Consistently with the consumption data provided, most countries calculated the best estimates for "all population". Many countries have provided consumption data for specific groups of population and the dietary intakes were calculated also for those groups. Main categories considered by participants included consumers only, children and adults. Dietary intakes for these groups of population allow for the recommendations outlined in the CODEX guidelines (1999), related to the evaluation of dietary intake of food chemicals. According to these recommendations ".........as appropriate, risk assessors and risk managers should consider differences in food consumption patterns across population and in vulnerability to toxicity within population as they estimate exposure to, and potential human health consequences resulting from exposure to chemicals found in foods. $\qquad$ ".

## Ranking of total dietary intake

In addition rankings of the total dietary intakes A (mean 1 x mean food consumption) and C (mean $1 \times 95^{\text {th }}$ percentile food consumption) ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ bw/day) for adults and children (3-6 years old) are listed in Tables 3C and 3D, after checking that apple juice/nectar was in majority the main
contributor ( exception for France where it was apple puree for all population instead of apple juice for consumers and Italy where it was fresh fruit ). But for the calculation of the daily intake of patulin the coordinators used only the derived products for Italy.

The weighed mean 1 is calculated by multiplication of mean 1 from each country with its number of inhabitants, all summed up and divided by the sum of all viewed countries. The equal age distribution in the member states was assumed for this calculation. The calculation of the weighed mean (1) and high level for the two different population groups in the Member States results in the following figures

All population


These data stay for the following percentage of the European population which is shown in the parenthesis, with the reservation that the majority of the data was collected in Northern European Member States, but where on the other hand the consumption of fruit juice, mainly apple juice, is much higher.

## Comments from the participants on patulin levels, consumption data or dietary intake

Austria: $\quad$ Consumption data on grape must are missing as this article is only consumed during a very short period of the year.

Belgium: Data are not representative because of the age group (14-18 years) and the region (Gent) selected.

Denmark: Unfortunately no occurrence data could be reported from 1997 or later. The newest data were from 1994.

France: The French authors made no estimation data of patulin intake with baby puree data because the food intake survey covers only adults and children over 3 years. They have many contamination data on drinks or aperitifs based on cider but none on the consumption of this commodity because the consumption is very marginal. They can only calculate the patulin intake for cider.

Germany: Occurrence data for baby food could not be separated in juice and puree. The intake calculation was done under the assumption that the complete product was apple because the German participants had only data from the DONALD-Study for all fruits.

Italy: The Italian authors consider the occurrence reported results are partly not representative for the national situation, and they have been skipped for intake calculation

Netherlands: $\quad$ No occurrence data could be reported from 1997 or later
Portugal: Consumption data on apple and pear juices are missing. They only have data concerning the total of juices consumed, and they estimated that an average of about $5 \%$ were related to each kind of apple and pear juices consumption.

Spain: Patulin occurrence data have been determined only in apple juice. Data on apple juice consumption are lacking, so the followings have been used: National data of all fruit juices except grape, orange, peach and pineapple, obtained using a household budget survey and regional data of all fruit juices obtained from a Dietary survey based on 24 h recall and a food frequency questionnaire.

Sweden: Except the food products analysed and reported patulin might be found in different types of jam. Earlier calculations showed that a possible intake from these products is $0,5-4,3 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ day.

United Kingdom: Manufacturers reject any apple product that contains more than $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ of patulin ( some as low as $35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), which are diverted into cider or vinegar production. As these particular batches would not be available for retail sale in the UK, levels above were not considered for calculation. The UK data for all ages are calculated to reflect a worst case scenario, all juice consumed being assumed to be apple juice.

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Participating countries provided a conspicuous number of data on patulin occurrence, related to food commodities considered as the most susceptible to patulin contamination, and on their consumption. The bulk of information allows to draw relevant conclusions from different points of view and to provide recommendation for future work.

## OCCURRENCE

Status of patulin contamination levels of foodstuffs ( Table 4 ):

- the percentages of positive samples higher than 25 respectively $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ was 17,5 respectively $2,4 \%$ (calculation with the number of positive samples of apple concentrate after division by 7).
- in consideration of the hypothetical level of $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ of JECFA, the provided occurrence data for apple juice and products showed very few higher levels of contamination, leading to the general conclusion that products circulating in EU are of good sanitary quality with respect to patulin contamination. Concerning the setting of lower limits to ensure the protection of children and individuals that are regular consumers of high quantities of apple juice the figures were very low for purees and baby food, but not generally for all apple juice and freshly pressed grape juices.
- the lack of harmonisation in sampling procedures and in analytical methods could in some cases influence the soundness of the results. Nevertheless the provided information on the patulin occurrence depicted a sufficient overall scenario of patulin contamination, to be used as the benchmark for future European legislation.
- For reaching a more sure statement about the occurrence data of patulin in organic fresh food and baby food the participant members suggest to make a further SCOOP-study.
- The occurrence data are not corrected for the recovery ( $80-100 \%$ see Table B in Annex 1). The real occurrence data could therefore be higher for juice or lower for other commodities.
- Fresh Austrian grape must is not fermented or heated, why patulin contamination may be higher than in commercial grape juice.


## CONSUMPTION

- There is an significant lack of consumption data in some countries. In particular, information on special fruit juices and baby food are generally not available.
- In addition, it has not been possible to handle the provided data homogeneously, since they were referred, in some cases, to all population or consumers and in other cases to specific groups of population.


## DIETARY INTAKE

The summary of contribution to dietary intake A (mean level for food consumption and mean 1 level for patulin occurrence), from each group of commodities in participating Member States is presented in Table 5, both for all population and for specific groups of population ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ body
weight /day). The total dietary intake, as obtained by the summing up of contributions from the data available for commodities is also given. Only the dietary intake A was taken into consideration due to its closeness to real situation.
Each commodity considered in Table 5 contributed to the patulin intake, but it should be noted that no participating country could estimate intakes from all the commodities known to be susceptible to patulin contamination.
For Italy three total dietary intakes are reported: one for fresh fruit, one for juices and purees, and one for baby foods. This because in the opinion of the Italian representative, the possibility to find patulin also in fresh fruit is considered noteworthy.
In consideration of the already mentioned limiting aspects (see occurrence and consumption sections) some factors contribute to overestimate or underestimate the calculated total dietary intake:

- since it is rather unlikely that one single person is a consumer of all the considered food groups, the calculated total dietary intake should be considered overestimated when applied to consumers only.
- for each country, the fewer the number of the tested food groups, the more underestimated should the result of the total dietary intake be considered.
- since in most cases, occurrence data were not corrected for recovery factors, an additional underestimation of the intakes should be considered.
Therefore, as shown in Table 5 and keeping into due consideration the limitations above mentioned, the following are the resulting contributions of each commodity to the total exposure in each country (the number of countries that provided data for the considered commodity is indicated in brackets):
- Apple juice/apple nectar (8 countries) represents the main source of intake in Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Portugal and UK for all groups of population taken into consideration, particularly for young children. Exceptionally they do not represent the major source of intake for Sweden (Ref. Riksmaten) and for all population by adults, females and males in France. Italy, Spain and Sweden (Ref. Hulken) did not provide any food consumption data on apple juice, only for not differentiated fruit juice. In Norway apple nectar represents the main source of patulin intake.
- Pear juice (2 countries) contributes to the total intake to a low extent in Germany and Portugal.
- Grape juice ( 3 countries) contributes to the total intake to a low extent.
- Fruit juice (4 countries) represents the main source of intake in Italy, Sweden and Spain. In Italy and Spain the fruit juice enclosed the apple juice.
- Cider (2 countries), including drinks based on cider, provides a considerable contribution to the total intake of consumers in France; for male adults in France it is the main contribution.
- Purees (4 countries) contribute to a low extent to the total intake, with the exception of female adults and adults (all population) in France where it is the main source for patulin intake.
- Baby food (2 countries) has a higher level for intake for 1 to 3 years old children in Germany than in Italy, with the reservation that Italy only took into account pap ( baby food with or without milk powder), but Germany pap and juice.
- Tomato canned and concentrated (1 country) contribute generally to a low extent to the total intake.
- Among the category "Others", jellies and tomato products may be susceptible commodities.
- Fresh fruit represents the main source of intake in Italy.

It should be underlined that, as shown in Table 5, most countries did not provide information on all food products potentially affected by patulin contamination; therefore the total dietary intake A by country should be generally considered underestimated.

As far as the comparison with PMTDI ( $0,4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ bw/day) is concerned, from the observations reported in Tables 3C, 3D and 5, the exposure seems to be quite below the value indicated by JECFA and SCF. Nevertheless, some countries seem to be suffering from a more relevant contamination, still under the PMTDI, especially in a worst case situation and if specific group of consumers especially small children are considered.

## FUTURE NEEDS

## Occurrence

As far as the factors influencing the reliability of data are concerned, some lack of information still persists. In fact, harmonised sampling plans and methods of analysis, number and type of analysed commodities, quality assurance of data, information on the role of technological processing on the fate of patulin should be improved.
In consideration of the provided limited number of data on fresh fruit, monitoring plans on this commodity aimed at the evaluation of patulin contamination should be put forward.

## Consumption

In consideration of the wide spectrum of methodologies used in each Member State to calculate consumption data, research projects should be launched by EU in order to define specific guidelines aimed at improving the harmonisation of methodology for the survey on consumption data to be adopted by each Member State.
In addition, to assess more accurately the exposure of European population, data for consumers only should be collected.
Additional data based upon total diet or duplicate test portion should be developed in order to overcome uncertainties due to many factors including not representative sampling procedures, and inaccurate consumption data
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## Annex 1

## Basic information on Patulin

## Sources and properties

Patulin 4-hydroxy- 4 H -furo[3,2c] pyran- $2(6 \mathrm{H})$-one is a lactone-containing secondary metabolite produced by fungi belonging to several genera, including Penicillium, Aspergillus and Byssochlamys species. Patulin is a colourless crystal with a molecular weight of 154 Daltons and a melting point of $111^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It is soluble in water, ethanol, acetone, ethyl acetate, ethyl ether and chloroform, but insoluble in benzene and petroleum ether, and it is stable to heat processing at $\mathrm{pH}<6$. Patulin is gradually destroyed during storage in the presence of sulphites, sulfhydryl groups and ascorbic acid (1). Patulin is completely degraded in 15 s in aqueous solution by $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ozone (2). Fermentation of apple juice to produce alcoholic beverages destroys Patulin (3).

## Biosynthesis of Patulin

Although patulin can occur in many mouldy fruits, grains and other foods, the major sources of patulin contamination so far are apples and apple products.

## Toxicity

Patulin has antibiotic properties and an $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$ (ip) in mice of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (4). Even if patulin failed to give any indication of mutagenic potential in Salmonella typhinurium bacteria in the Ames test, it produces chromosomal damage (5,6). The UK Committee on Mutagenicity has classified patulin as mutagenic (7). A recent review by JECFA concluded that it has no reproductive or teratogenic effects, but shows embryotoxicity accompanied by maternal toxicity (8). At relatively high doses, patulin has immunosuppressive properties (8), although a recent study in mice based on realistic human exposures failed to demonstrate any immunotoxicity (9). Based on available experimental results, it was concluded that patulin is genotoxic, but that no adequate evidence existed for carcinogenicity in experimental animals (8).

## Recommendations for maximum exposure

The Scientific Committee on Food expressed an Opinion on patulin in 1994 in which it stated: "The Committee agrees for the time being with the JECFA and IARC conclusions. It proposes to reconsider its opinion in the light of new information." (10).
The background for this opinion was the JECFA evaluation of 1990 when a provisional tolerable weekly intake (PTWI) when a provisional tolerable weekly intake (PTWI) of $7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ was established, based on a no-effect level (NOEL) of $0,1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{bw} / \mathrm{day}$ in a combined reproductive toxicity /long term toxicity/carcinogenicity study in rats, and the IARC conclusion that no evaluation could be made of the carcinogenicity of patulin to humans and that there is inadequate evidence in experimental animals $(11,12)$.
Patulin was reviewed by JECFA in 1995 and it appeared that, although several more studies were incorporated, the above mentioned study was still the most sensitive. Since it became apparent that patulin was administered only three times per week during 24 months, the NOEL in this study was recalculated to be $43 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ bw$/ \mathrm{day}$. As patulin doesn't accumulate in the body and in the light of consumption pattern, the PTWI was changed to a provisional maximum tolerable daily intake (PMTDI). Based on a NOEL of 43 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ bw/day and a safety factor of 100 , a PMTDI of $0,4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ bw was established (13).

## Patulin regulation in EU Member States

As far as regulation is concerned, 8 countries have set specific regulations for patulin in one or more commodities at a level of $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (14).

Table A
Present regulations of Patulin in the EU Member States

| Country | Commodity | Maximum <br> limit $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{k g})$ | Legal basis | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 50 |  |  |
| Austria | Fruit juice | 50 | Official | $?$ |
| Finland | All foods | Apple juice and derived <br> Products | 50 | Recommandation |
| France | Apple juice | 50 | Guideline limit | Bundesgesundhbl. 28,51(1985) (1986) |
| Germany | Fruit juice | 50 | Guideline level | Circular n. 10 of 9.06.1999 <br> published in Italian Official <br> Gazette n. 135 of 11 June 1999 |
| Italy | General | ? |  |  |
| Norway | Berries and products of <br> berries | 50 |  | SLV FS 1997:34 |
| Sweden | Apple juice | 50 | Guideline limit | MAFF (1993) Food surveillance <br> paper No.36 HMSO 61,64 |
| United Kingdom |  |  |  |  |

## Analysis

Recently the development of the chromatographic determination of patulin in the last 25 years was reviewed (15). The first methods for determination of patulin in apple juice involved ethyl acetate extraction and silica gel column cleanup. Detection was achieved after development on thin layer silica gel plates and by spraying with 3-methyl-2-benzothiazolinone (MBTH) with a detection limit of approximately $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ (16). HPLC techniques coupled with UV detection followed as they were less time consuming, gave improved resolution from the common contaminant, 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) and achieved higher sensitivity (17). Although HPLC methods have mostly been preferred, several authors have published methods following formation of different derivatives $(18,19,20)$, but these techniques did not gain much interest because of incomplete derivatization, losses through evaporation, decomposition prior to analysis and lack of a suitable internal standard. The omission of the derivative formation by gas chromatography/chemical ionisation MS with negative ion detection avoids these problems and saves time (21). Many efforts have already been accomplished to develop an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, but unfortunately due to the instability of patulin conjugates, no ELISA product or cleanup by immunoaffinity columns is commercially available up to date.

Beside photodiode array detection, GC with the notorious disadvantages has been the only possibility for confirmation of patulin so far. But the synthesis of a carbon-13-labeled patulin $\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right.$-Pat $]$ as internal standard to be used in a stable isotope dilution assay may represent a big progress (22). By way of example two assays have been published (23). One method was performed by means of LC/MS in negative electrospray ionisation mode without derivatization, the other used HRGC/HRMS after trimethylsilylation. LC/MS showed a much lower performance compared to HPLC/UV or HRGC/HRMS. Most recently an HPLC-MS-MS method with selected reaction monitoring (SRM) was described. MS detection was accomplished following atmospheric pressure chemical ionisation (APCI) in both positive and negative ion modes. The detection in the negative ion mode proved to be superior (24).

Actually most of the patulin analysis are accomplished according to the prEN 14177:2001 which has also been recommended to be adopted Official First Action by AOAC (25).
In principle cloudy apple juice and apple puree are treated with a pectinase enzyme. Patulin is then extracted from apple juice or enzyme treated puree with ethyl acetate. The solvent extract is cleaned up by liquidliquid extraction with aqueous sodium carbonate solution. The ethyl acetate extract is dried with anhydrous sodium sulphate. After evaporation of ethyl acetate, patulin is quantitatively determined by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with ultraviolet (UV) or photodiode array (DAD) detection. The use of DAD to distinguish patulin spectrally from co-extracted compounds is providing further confirmation on the purity of the chromatographic peak (26).

## Preparation of Standard Solutions

The stock solution is prepared by dissolving 5 mg of patulin with ethyl acetate in the purchased sealed ampoule. Transfer the contents of the ampoule to a 25 ml volumetric flask and make up to volume with ethyl acetate.

A calibrant solution containing $\sim 10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of patulin in ethanol is then prepared. Evaporate $1000 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the stock solution to dryness under nitrogen and dissolve it immediately in 20 ml ethanol. The exact concentration is determined by measuring the optical density at 276 nm (peak absorption wavelength for this toxin) and by applying the following formula:

$$
\mu \mathrm{g} \text { of patulin } / \mathrm{ml}=\frac{A_{\max } * M * 100 * \mathrm{CF}}{\varepsilon *--------------------}
$$

$A_{\max }=$ absorbance determined at the maximum of the absorption curve ( here approx. at 276 nm )
$M=$ relative molecular mass of patulin ( $154,12 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ )
$\varepsilon \quad=$ relative molar absorption coefficient of patulin in ethanol $\left(1460 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$
CF = Correction Factor
d = the path length of the quartz cell in centimetres
Store the stock solution in a freezer at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the calibrant solution in a fridge at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Solutions stored this way will be stable for several months.
For the preparation of working calibrant solution of $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, evaporate $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the stock solution or an aliquot which is equivalent to an absolute amount of $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of patulin to dryness and dilute in 5 ml pH 4 water.

More information on the preparation of standards are given by the AOAC Official Methods of Analysis (27).

## Preparation of the test materials

For clear apple juice no preparation is required. For cloudy juices measure 20 ml of sample into a centrifuge tube and add 10 drops of enzyme solution. Leave overnight at room temperature or for 2 hours at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after which centrifuge the sample at 4500 g for 5 minutes. For apple puree weigh 10 g of sample into a centrifuge tube, add 10 drops of enzyme solution followed by 10 ml of water and mix thoroughly. Leave the sample at room temperature overnight or for 2 hours at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after which centrifuge at 4500 g for 5 minutes.

## Extraction

Pipette 10 ml of sample of clear juice ( or cloudy juice or puree as prepared before ) into a 100 ml separating funnel. Add 20 ml of ethyl acetate and shake for 1 minute. Allow the layers to separate, then drain them into two separate conical flasks. Transfer the aqueous layer back into the same separating funnel and re-extract with a second 20 ml portion of ethyl acetate. Allow the layers to separate and drain the lower aqueous layer
into an empty conical flask and drop and the top layer into the conical flask containing the ethyl acetate layer from the first extraction. Repeat this extraction procedure for a third time, but after allowing the layers to separate pour the lower aqueous layer to waste. Combine the three ethyl acetate phases in the separating funnel. Rinse the conical flask used to collect the ethyl acetate phases with a further 5 ml ethyl acetate and add this to the ethyl acetate in the separating funnel.

## Clean-up by removing interfering acidic compounds

Add 4 ml sodium carbonate solution to the separating funnel and shake for 0.5 minute. Allow the layers to separate, then pour off the lower aqueous layer into a conical flask. Pour the top layer into a round bottomed flask through a funnel and filter paper containing 15 g anhydrous sodium sulphate. Transfer the aqueous layer back into the separating funnel, rinse the conical flask with 10 ml ethyl acetate, add this to the separating funnel and shake for 0.5 minute. Allow the layers to separate, pour off the lower layer to waste and pour the top layer through the sodium sulphate into the round bottomed flask. Wash the sodium sulphate with $2 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ of ethyl acetate and collect in the round bottomed flask. ( Note: patulin is not stable in alkaline solutions, therefore this stage of the method must be carried out as quickly as possible to avoid losses ).

## HPLC Analysis

Evaporate the sample to dryness and dissolve in a final volume of 1 ml ( $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ for puree samples ) pH 4 water. Transfer to an HPLC vial.

Prepare a calibration graph by injecting at least 5 standard solutions of different suitable concentrations. Plot the area ( or height ) values of the patulin calibration solutions against the concentration in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$. Inject aliquots of the test solutions using the same conditions. Identify the patulin peak of the test solution by comparing the retention time of the sample with that of the calibrants. Determine the content of patulin in the test material in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ directly from the calibration curve.

## HPLC column and operating conditions

Column: Octadecylsilane (ODS) - End Capped with a length of 250 mm
an internal diameter of 4.6 mm
stationary phase with particle size of $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
carbon loading of $12-18.5 \%$
Precolumn: ODS
a length of 10 mm
an internal diameter of 4.6 mm
stationary phase with particle size of $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Mobile phase: acetonitrile:perchloric acid (0.095 \%) (7:93)
Flow rate: $0.75 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
Injection volume: $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$
Detection: UV detector set at 276 nm or diode array detection
For statistical analysis of the collaborative trial (25) see Table B

Table B Statistical analysis of collaborative trial results for patulin (25)

| Food/drink | Added (ng/ml) | Average ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{R S D}_{\mathbf{r}} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{R}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{R S D}_{\mathrm{R}} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Recovery (\%) } \\ ( \pm 1 \text { SD) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clear apple juice | 75 | 67 | 8,4 | 13 | 15,3 | 23 | $89 \pm 20$ |
|  | $\mathrm{nc}(\mathrm{b})$ | 26 | 3,7 | 14 | 8,4 | 33 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{nc}(\mathrm{c})$ | 54 | 6,0 | 11 | 13,6 | 25 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{nc}(\mathrm{d})$ | 128 | 9,9 | 8 | 14,0 | 11 |  |
| Cloudy apple juice | 75 | 60 | 7,8 | 13 | 12,5 | 21 | $80 \pm 16$ |
|  | nc(b) | 26 | 8,9 | 35 | 8,9 | 35 |  |
|  | nc(c) | 69 | 4,3 | 6 | 10,0 | 14 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{nc}(\mathrm{d})$ | 106 | 10,2 | 10 | 12,9 | 12 |  |
| Apple puree | 75 | 69 | 7,5 | 11 | 9,2 | 13 | $92 \pm 12$ |
|  | nc(b) | 23 | 6,4 | 27 | 8,5 | 36 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{nc}(\mathrm{c})$ | 38 | 3,8 | 10 | 12,6 | 33 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{nc}(\mathrm{d})$ | 121 | 23,6 | 19 | 34,8 | 29 |  |

nc: naturally contaminated samples (b), (c) and (d)
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## Annex 2

Timetable

## Event

Scheduled time
Place

## I step: Planning of methodologies

Identification of participants at national level

Suggestions for the creation of guidelines for a questionnaire to be sent to the participants

Development of a draft questionnaire and guidelines for its completion

## II step: Request of date

Mailing (by fax or e-mail) of the draft of the developed questionnaire to the participants for comments, suggestions and the identification of possible difficulties at national level

Deadline for comments

Meeting of participants for exchange of view and instruction

Mailing of the final draft of the questionnaire

Deadline for receiving data from participants

| December 2000 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| December 2000/ <br> January 2001 |  |
| January 2001 |  |


| April 2001 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 15 may 2001 | Brussels |
| 16 may 2001 |  |
| Beginning of June 2001 |  |
| End of September 2001 |  |

Elaboration of data derived from the questionnaire and preparation of the preliminary report

Informal meeting between coordinators and EU representatives for the discussion of the preliminary report

## III step: Finalisation of the task

Preparation of a draft report of obtained results and conclusions

Formal meeting between coordinators end EU representatives for the discussion of the draft report

Circulation of the draft report among participants for comments

Final meeting

Preparation of the second draft of the task report and circulation among participants for comments

Deadline for comments

Preparation of the final report

## Deadline of the task

| End of November 2001 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| December 2001 - January 2002 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| 28 January 2002 |  |
| 19 February 2002 | Brussels |
|  |  |
| March 2002 March 2002 |  |
|  |  |

## Annex 3

## Instruction for participants

# Subject: SCIENTIFIC COOPERATION ON QUESTIONS RELATING TO FOOD; Task 3.2.8 <br> "Assessment of dietary intake of Patulin by the population of EU Member States" 

Dear Participant,
according to our previous correspondence and our first meeting for exchange of views in Brussels, please find enclosed the final draft of the ,Instruction to participants" for the development of the task 3.2.8 . The document has been developed to fulfil the following requirements

- provide the information in a form which allows the best achievable level of comparability between Member States
- obtain sufficient information to allow the evaluation of reliability and limitations of the provided data
- allow flexibility to accommodate the wide variety of data
- gather as much as possible other useful information on patulin, even though for SCF purposes the final report should primarily focus on basic data.

Best regards
Paul Majerus

## INFORMATION TO PARTICIPANT INSTITUTES

Task 3.2.8 "Assessment of dietary intake of patulin by the population of EU Member States"

## BACKGROUND

Council Regulation (EEC) 315/93 of 8 February 1993 provides the legal framework for establishing maximum levels for food contaminants at Community level.

In 2000 task 3.2.7. concerning the assessment of dietary intake of Ochratoxin A by the population was completed and will result in a EUR report "Assessment of dietary intake of Ochratoxin A by the population of EU Member States.

A growing interest and concern of the public authorities for the presence of naturally occurring toxicants in the human food, such as Aflatoxins or Ochratoxin A, and the discussions at Community level concerning the fixing of maximum limits, have resulted in a more frequent control on the presence of naturally occurring toxicant.

Commission Decision 2000/669/EC, of 18 October 2000 amending Decision 94/652/EC establishing the inventory and distribution of the task to be undertaken within the framework of co-operation by Member States in the scientific examination of questions relating to food, established task 3.2.8 "Assessment of dietary intake of patulin by the population of EU Member States". Germany was designated as the Member State to develop the task.

## OBJECTIVES

To provide the scientific basis for the evaluation and management of risk to public health arising from dietary exposure to patulin, taking into account recent available data. Particular emphasis is placed on evaluation of dietary intake of patulin in each of the Member States and in high-risk sub-groups of the population.

## TIME SCALE

The timescale for the task is attached in Annex 1
The coordinator takes overall responsibility for carrying out the agreed work, including inviting further experts to participate, after consultation of the secretariat, and preparing the working document to fulfil the objective of the task.

In this respect the coordinator will:

- Establish a common format for the submission of information
- Obtain and collate relevant information available to the Member States, exploring the quality or validity of data submitted with the expert submitting that data and if necessary with the task group as a whole
- Prepare the working document in an agreed format
- Maintain an agreed time-scale

The participating institutes will provide the coordinator with the latest national information in accordance with the agreed format. They should also:

- Ensure that information provided to the task group and any working papers is regarded as confidential until the final report has been endorsed by the SCOOP Working Group, except where information is already of public domain.
- Ensure that information submitted as part of the task meets the required specification and is provided by the agreed date.


## INFORMATION TO BE COLLECTED BY THE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTES

Participating institutes from each country, on the basis of information available in their country ( publications, reports and results of surveillance programmes), are requested to provide information related to patulin.

Any relevant information collected over the last four years should be included i.e., from 1997 inclusive. Also recent data intended for publication should be included.

Since, in principle, minimum data aimed at gathering information on exposure to patulin in support to SCF work are requested, the basic requested information deals with:

1. The occurrence of patulin in apple juice, other juices and apple puree imported and commercialised in their country, baby or any other food, where data are available
2. Consumption data of the relevant food commodities and population groups
3. Dietary intake of patulin for population or specific consumers calculated on the basis of the occurrence and consumption data
4. Regulations related to patulin (maximum limits, sampling plans, others)

However, in view of the peculiarity of the contaminant under discussion and the relevance of several issues, additional data are welcome. Such data may include information, gathered in participant country, dealing with different topics. Dietary intake and sensitivity of particular group of population are particularly relevant. Also information on influence of technological procedures, changes in agricultural techniques, prevention actions, consideration in HACCP or other systems etc. could be a separate part of the report.

It is accepted that participants will not always be able to provide the information requested, but all information available, even partial, for example on consumption and/or occurrence data, is welcome. All the information should be given in English.

## GUIDELINES FOR PARTICIPANTS

Participating institutes are asked to provide summaries of the data for their countries as described in the sections 1-5 using the given tables and forms. The tables and forms should be copied in needed quantities by the participants. With respect to numeration of the tables, each number ( 1,2 ) refers to a topic (occurrence, consumption etc.) and is subdivided in appropriate issues. In addition the participants are kindly requested to report all their raw data in the requested form (raw_data.xls).

The rationale in the organisation of the task is that the part of the tables will provide the basic information for the SCF, while others will contribute with additional information that will be included in the final report and could be taken into consideration by SCF.

Original reports, publications and analytical raw data cannot be evaluated, but copies of the original literature (original reports, publications etc.) should be sent to the coordinator in a reasonable extent (at least main tables and figures from the original literature). All the used references should be numbered consecutively and the numbers should be used in tables and forms for identification.

References should be provided in the format prescribed by the journal 'Food Additives and Contaminants' e.g., HOAD, A. B., and LUMLEY, R., 1981, Leaching of antioxidants by packaged cheese from film wrappings. Journal of Food Packaging, 18, 179-188.

## FOOD CATEGORISATION SYSTEM

As the grouping of the data is rather simple, since patulin has only been found in a restricted food categories, the coordinator proposes one group for juices and one for purees. However, if this will not be adequate to the purpose, it will be necessary to make further subdivisions of the groups. Following the suggestions of the participants a draft of modified system for food labelling and food grouping and sub grouping will be presented at the meeting. However it is suggested that you also use a free categorisation system in separate tables in order to arrange groups that best fit with data available in your country or that could in principle benefit the reliability of the final result.
As an example it might be necessary to combine kind of foods in one group, because only consumption data are available for that group and not for the separate products and vice versa. It is very important that you make the scientific evaluation of the occurrence and consumption data available in your country and combine them in a way which gives the best estimate of the patulin intake in your country.

## 1. OCCURRENCE DATA

Participants are requested to summarize occurrence data for patulin in juices and purees.
Data could be referred to:

- goods collected at port of entry (in bulk or in packaging )
- juices and purees sold at retails
- data related to studies on total diet, market basket, duplicate portion

Please note that:

- Data related to points 1 and 2 will be reported in tables 1A1
- Data related to point 3 will be reported in tables 1A2
- Summary of best estimate will be reported in table 1B


## Comments on tables related to occurrence data

All concentrations should be in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ with the results given on fresh weight basis. In the case of data that are not reported on fresh weight basis the participant is kindly requested to make the necessary conversion, according to the characteristics of the food in their country.

Food or group: Name of food or beverage use
Ref and year: Reference identification number (source of the occurrence data) and year of sampling
No. of samples: Number of samples
LOD/LOQ: Limit of detection (LOD) or limit of quantification (LOQ)
No. of samples < LOD (or LOQ): Number of samples less than LOD or LOQ
No. of samples containing patulin in the range: Subdivision of the data of positive sample results into ranges LOD (or LOQ) $-9.9,10.0-24.9,25-50$ and $>50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ or $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{l}$. If this subdivision is considered inadequate, please fill the table in the best possible way and in addition report the same data in a similar table with "free" subdivision.

Maximum value: The highest concentration found
Mean (1): Arithmetic mean value of all samples (both positive and negative samples), whereby negative or results $<$ LOD respectively <LOQ are to be taken into account with half of the LOD or LOQ/6

Mean (2): Arithmetic mean value of all positive samples
Median: 50\% percentile

Evidence of AQA: Evidence of Analytical Quality Assurance both for sampling and for analysis: Yes or no; more information ( accreditated laboratories etc.) can be given in form 1.

Random or target: Were the samples taken for suspicion control or randomly for survey?
Sampling strategy: procedures employed for the sampling (both from bulk and at retails)
Representative for the member state: Are the data evaluated to be representative for the contamination level of patulin in the given food in the member state: Yes or no; more, information can be given in form 1

Analytical principle: HPLC/UV, HPLC/Fluorescence, TLC , GC, GC/MS, LC/MS.... possibly provide reference of the employed methodology if different from the authors of the occurrence data

For each food or beverage, where results from more than one survey are available, best estimates should be given in table 1B. These best estimates should be used for the intake calculation described in section 3 .

In addition please consider that

- If only occurrence data are available for unprocessed fruits, for instance apples, and consumption data are available for apples, it will be necessary to calculate how much apple there is in the juice taking water content, extraction rate etc. into consideration.
- If data are available for both foreign and home made or grown juices please report them separately. In relation to the estimation of the patulin intake the used data should naturally reflect the consumed juices in the country, so information on the ratio between foreign/home made or grown juices consumed is needed.
- Since different definitions are used for the limit of quantification (LOQ), determination, detection (LOD), participant must use the occurrence data as they are available and describe in form 1 and form 4 how the used limits are defined and used.


## Comments on Form 1

Every reported reference should be described in form 1. Participants are strongly requested to give their opinion on the overall level of reliability of the data given in the reference, in relation to the contribution to the estimate of the overall intake of patulin.

## Additional comments on raw data table (raw_data.xls)

In the raw_data.xls table there exists one sheet for each food group.
Sample code: Sample identification code of the analysing institute
Country of origin: national geographic origin of the raw fruits or processed material if available
Ingredients: percentage of the possibly patulin-contaminated ingredients
Processing details: i.e. concentrating process
Conventional or ecological producer according to EEC Regulation No. 2092/91 on organic production of agricultural products
Home made or regional producer means relatively small firms pressing self collected fruits from local producers
Large scale producer means firms which don't press fruits any more, only dilute concentrates

## 2. FOOD CONSUMPTION DATA

Institutes should provide an estimate of the food consumption data for an average adult person in the member state even for food items for which occurrence data on patulin are not available in that member state. Where possible, values for mean/median and high level consumption ( $95 \%$ percentile) for an average adult and data for sub-group of population ( population adult males, adult females, adolescents, children, infants, vegetarians, ethnic etc.) should be provided. In all cases the age and the body weight ranges should be specified.

In addition, since the difficulties in obtaining food consumption data exactly relevant to a specific food category are well known, it is suggested that the institutes provide any information that they have available on consumption of relevant foods and beverages together with comments to allow the estimate of the limitations for the provided data ( reliability of consumption data relevant to that specific food).

Therefore participants are requested to fill in the following tables:

1) Tables 2A1, 2A2, 2A3 for each source of data and for each population group system
2) Tables 2B1, 2B2, 2B3 for the best estimate of the mean consumption and high consumption ( 95th ), for an average person ( population and/or consumer ), belonging to group of population

The best estimates in tables 2B should be used for the intake calculation described in section 3 .

## Comments on tables 2 :

Food or group: Name of food or beverage
Ref and year: Identification number of the reference (source of the consumption data) and period over which data were collected

Survey methods: dietary records/diary/length of the observation (specify period and frequency weighed intake, interview, purchase records) .

Typology of Data: ( all foods and beverages consumed, food intake, purchase records, home grown food, foods eaten outside the home )

Sample size: Number of subjects
Data Collection: Spot, continuous (specify frequency)
Geographical level: National, regional, urban, rural (specify geographical region covered)
Mean: The arithmetic mean consumption ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{person} /$ day)
Median: The median ( $50 \%$ percentile) ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{person} /$ day)
95\% percentile: Estimate of high consumption (g/person/day)
Method: Method for obtaining food consumption data: Food balance sheets, household budget surveys, dietary surveys..

Region: Specify geographical region covered ( national, regional, urban, rural )
Representative for the member state: Are the data evaluated to be representative for the average consumption of the item for an average adult person in the member state: Yes or no; more information can be given in form 2. For each source of the food consumption data, information requested in form 2 should be given.

## 3. ESTIMATE OF DAILY INTAKE OF PATULIN

Please use table 3 and form 3.

## Comments on table 3:

Body weight: The body weight related to the group under consideration ( average adult person (kg), adult male, adult female....) in the country

Food or group: Name of food, group or beverage
Intake Mean: Best estimate from table 2 ( referred to the corresponding group of population).
High level 95\% percentile: Best estimate from the corresponding table 2.
Mean patulin level in food: Best estimate from table 1.
Intake of patulin (ng/day):
Mean: (mean intake of food) $x$ (mean level in food)
High level: (high level intake of food) $x$ (mean(1) level in food)

Intake of patulin ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{day} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight):
Mean: (mean intake of food) $x$ (mean level in food) / (body weight)
High level: (high level intake of food) $x$ (mean(1) level in food) / (body weight)
The best estimate of the total mean intake of patulin for an average adult person should be given at the bottom of table 3. The total mean intake is simply calculated as the sum of the mean intakes of patulin through the different foods and beverages reported.

## 4. OTHER SOURCES OF PATULIN INTAKE

It is recognized that their exist beneath juices and purees other sources for patulin exposure for human. Participants are requested to fill in form 4 with data concerning those sources of patulin intake, wherever available.

## 5. PRESENT REGULATIONS FOR PATULIN

Information on present maximum limits or guidance levels for content of patulin in food and beverages is to be given in form 5.

Acknowledgement: This document has been elaborated taking into account the previous ones distributed by Mr.Jørgensen, Mrs.Miraglia and Mr.Brera to whom we give due thanks.

## Form 1. Occurrence data

Country: $\qquad$

## Reference No.

## Summary:

(main tables, figures and summary from the reference can be copied and attached to this form)

## Comments on:

Evidence of Analytical Quality Assurance (AQA):
(Is it an accredited laboratory, which also participates in national or international proficiency test schemes)

Limits of quantification (LOQ) or limits of detection, determination (LOD):
(How is the limit defined, difference in LOQ/LOD for different foods)

Analytical method: (validation of method; reproducibility, repeatability, normal recovery range, are the data corrected for recovery etc.)

Why, the data are / are not evaluated to be representative for the member state:
(sampling procedures, target or random, geographical origin, weather conditions (e.g. average, wet or dry climate during harvest), difference in agricultural techniques (drying. storing. etc), quality of analysis)

## Other comments:

## Form 2. Food consumption data

## Country:

## Reference No.:

## Summary:

(main tables, figures and summary from the reference can be copied and attached to this form)

## Comments on:

Why the data are / are not representative for the consumption of the food item in the member state:

## Other comments:

## Country:

## Form 3: Estimate of daily intake of patulin for an average adult person

Comments on estimated daily intake of Patulin
(adequacy of the data available, need for additional information etc.)

Other comments:

## Form 4. Other sources of patulin exposure

## Country:

$\qquad$

## Reference No.

## Summary:

(main tables, figures and summary from the reference can be copied and attached to this form)

## Comments on:

## Evidence of Analytical Quality Assurance (AQA):

(Is it an accredited laboratory, which also participates in national or international proficiency test schemes)

Limits of quantification (LOQ) or limits of detection, determination (LOD):
(How is the limit defined, difference in LOQ/LOD for different foods)

## Analytical method:

(validation of method; reproducibility. repeatability, normal recovery, range, are the data corrected for recovery etc.)

Other comments:

## Form 5. Present regulations for patulin

## Country:

Present maximum limits of patulin for foods and beverages:
Food/beverages
Maximum limit
Remarks
Reference

Table 1 A1. Summary of occurrence data by food group
Country
Units: $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Food } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { group } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Ref } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { samples } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOD/ } \\ & \text { LOQ } \end{aligned}$ | No samples <LOD/ LOQ | No sample LOD/L | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s containing } \\ & \text { OQ }-9.9,10-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { patulin in tl } \\ & -24.9,25-50 \end{aligned}$ | the range $0,>50$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Median | Evidence of QA | Random or target | Analytic. method | Sampling strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1A2 Summary of occurrence data related to studies on total diet, market basket, duplicate portion

Country $\qquad$ Units: $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$

| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Food or } \\ \text { group } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { study } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Ref } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { samples } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { LOD/ } \\ \text { LOQ } \end{array}$ | No samples <LOD/LOQ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No sam } \\ \text { LOD } \end{array}$ | mples containi D/LOQ -9.9, | ing patulin in 10-24.9, 25-50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { the range } \\ & 50,>50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { (1) } \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (2) | Median | Evidence of QA | Random or target | Analytic. method | Sampling strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1B. Summary of best estimates of data reported in tables 1A1, 1A2
Country
Units: $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$

| Food or group | No samples | Maximum value | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Median |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Food grouping <br> (Tab 1A1) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total diet, market <br> basket etc. <br> (Tab.1A2) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall best <br> estimate |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Tables 2A1 (or 2A2, 2A3 ): Estimate of food consumption for an average person belonging (1) to the group

## Country

| Food or group | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ref } \\ & \text { vear } \end{aligned}$ | Survey methods | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Typology } \\ \text { of Data } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sample size | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Data } \\ & \text { Collection } \end{aligned}$ | Geographical level | Mean | Median | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 95 \% \\ \text { percentile } \end{array}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) use a different table for each population group and specify all characteristic identifying the group ( total sample or specific sex and/or age, food habits ( vegetarian, ethnic etc.), geographical area etc.

Table 2B1 (or 2B2, 2B3 ):
Best estimate of the mean, median and high consumption ( 95 th percentile )for an average person ( population and/or consumer )(*) belonging to the group

Country $\qquad$

| Food or <br> group | Mean | Median | $95 \%$ <br> percentile |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

${ }^{(*)}$ use a different table for each population group and specify all characteristic identifying the group ( total sample or specific sex and/or age, food habits ( vegetarian, ethnic etc.), geographical area etc.

Table 3A1 (3A2, 3A3 ): Estimate of daily intake of patulin for group of population (*)

Country

| Food or group | Food Consumption g/person/day |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day/ |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/kg body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile( }(* *) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile( } * *) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$(*)$ use a different table for each population group and specify all characteristic identifying the group ( total sample or specific sex and/or age, food habits ( vegetarian, ethnic etc.), geographical area etc.)
(**) mean(1)

Table 3B: Summary of daily intake by body weight of patulin

Country $\qquad$

| Population group | Mean(1) | High Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Annex 4

## Glossary

| AOAC | Association of official analytical Chemists |
| :--- | :--- |
| AQA | Analytical Quality Assurance |
| APCI | atmospheric pressure chemical ionisation |
| bw | body weight |
| DAD | photodiode array detection |
| GC | Gas Chromatography |
| HACCP | Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point |
| HPLC | High Performance Liquid Chromatography |
| HR | High Resolution |
| IARC | International Agency for Research on Cancer |
| JECFA | Joint Expert Committee on Food Additives |
| LC | Liquid Chromatography |
| LOD | Limit of Detection |
| LOQ | Limit of Quantification ( determination) |
| MS | Mass Spectrometry |
| NOEL | no effect level |
| PMTDI | provisional maximum tolerable daily intake |
| PTWI | provisional tolerable weekly intake |
| prEN | preliminary European Norm |
| SCF | Scientific Committee for Food |
| SCOOP | Scientific Co-operation on Question relating to Food (Directive 93/5/EEC) |
| SRM | single reaction monitoring |
| TLC | Thin Layer Chromatography |
| UK | United Kingdom |
| UV | Ultra Violet |

## Annex 5

List of participants of the Member States

| Country | Title | Name of Participant | Name of Institution | Address | Phone / Fax number | E-mail-Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Germany |  | Christel Zimmermann | Bundesinstitut für gesundheitlichen Verbraucherschutz und Veterinärmedizin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thielallee } 88-92 \\ & \text { D - } 14195 \text { Berlin } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +493084123532 / \\ & +493084123374 \end{aligned}$ | c.zimmermann@bgvv.d e |
|  |  | Paul Majerus | Landesuntersuchungsamt Institut für Lebensmittelchemie | Maximineracht 11 a D - 54295 Trier | $\begin{aligned} & +496511446242 / \\ & +4965121028 \end{aligned}$ | Paul.Majerus@lua.rlp.d e |
| Austria | Dr. | Franz Vojir | Bundesanstalt für Lebensmitteluntersuchung und -forschung (BALUF) | Kinderspitalgasse 15 A - 1090 Wien | $\begin{aligned} & +4314049027825 / \\ & +431404909278 \end{aligned}$ | Fvojir@baluf.gv.at |
| Belgium | Dr. | C. Vinkx | Algemene Eetwareninspectie | R.A.C. Esplanadegebouw bur. 119 Pachecolaan 19, bus 5 B - 1010 Bruxelles | $\begin{aligned} & +3222104837 / \\ & +322104816 \end{aligned}$ | Christine.vinkx@health. fgov.be |
|  | Dr. | J. M. Degroodt | Scientific Institute of Public Health - Louis Pasteur | Rue Juliette Wytsman, 14 B-1010 Bruxelles | $\begin{aligned} & +3226425125 / \\ & +3226425691 \end{aligned}$ | Jean- <br> marie.degroodt@iph.fgo <br> v.be |
| Denmark |  | Kevin Jørgensen | Institute of Food Research and Nutrition | Markhoj Bygade 19 DK - 2860 Soborg | +33 956493 | kej@fdir.dk |
| France |  | Marc Chambolle | Agence française de sécurité sanitaire des aliments (AFSSA) | 23, avenue du Général de Gaulle <br> BP 19 <br> F - 94701 MAISONS-ALFORT Cédex | $\begin{aligned} & +33149772614 / \\ & +33149772613 \end{aligned}$ | m.chambolle@afssa.fr |
|  |  | Jean-Luc Volatier | Observatoire des <br> Consommations Alimentaires (OCA) <br> Direction de l'évaluation des risques nutritionnels et sanitaires (DERNS) <br> Agence française de sécurité sanitaire des aliments (AFSSA) | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \text { avenue du Général de Gaulle } \\ & \text { BP } 19 \\ & \text { F - } 94701 \text { MAISONS-ALFORT Cédex } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +33149773804 / \\ & +33149773892 \end{aligned}$ | jl.volatier@afssa.fr |


| Country | Title | Name of Participant | Name of Institution | Address | Phone / Fax number | E-mail-Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ireland | Dr. | Helena McGrath | Public Analyst's Laboratory | Seamus Quirke Road Galway / Ireland | $\begin{array}{\|l} +35391581122 / \\ +35391581212 \end{array}$ | palgi@iol.ie |
| Italy | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dr. } \\ & \text { Dr. } \end{aligned}$ | Carlo Brera <br> Silvana Grossi | Istituto Superiore di Sanita Laboratorio Alimenti | Viale Regina Elena, 299 I - 00161 Roma | $\begin{aligned} & +649902367 / \\ & +649902377 \end{aligned}$ | carlo.brera@iss.it |
| Netherlands | Dr. | Hans Jeuring | Reichsinstitut Fusarientoxine Chief inspector for Health protection | Postbox: 20350 <br> NL - Den Haag | $\begin{aligned} & +31703405585 / \\ & +31703405435 \end{aligned}$ | Hans.Jeuring@kvw.nl |
| Norway | Dr. | Karl Olav Gjerstad | Naeringsmiddeltilsynet for Midt-Rogaland | Forusbeen 3 <br> N-4033 Stavanger | $\begin{aligned} & +4751816800 / \\ & +4751816850 \end{aligned}$ | gjerstad@nmtmrog.rl.no |
| Portugal | Dr. | Ilidia Felgueiras | Departamento de Technologie das Industrias Alimentares INETI-DTIA | Estrada do Paco Lumiar, 22 P - 1694-036 Lisboa | $\begin{aligned} & +351217127143 /+ \\ & 351217127162 \end{aligned}$ | Ilidia.felgueiras@mail2. ineti.pt |
| Spain | Dr. | Isabel García Fajardo | Dirección General Salud <br> Pública <br> Ministerio Sanidad y Consumo | $\mathrm{P}^{\circ}$ Prado 18-20 <br> E- 28071 Madrid | $\begin{aligned} & +34915961999 \\ & +34915964487 \end{aligned}$ | igarciaf@msc.es |
|  | Dr. | Mercedes Jalón | Dirección de Salud Pública Departamento de Sanidad Gobierno Vasco | C/Donostia 2 <br> E-01010- Vitoria | $\begin{array}{\|} +34945019209 \\ +34945019192 \end{array}$ | $\underline{\text { riesgos-san@ej-gv.es }}$ |
| Sweden |  | Lilianne <br> Abramsson <br> Zetterberg | Livsmedelsverket | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { P.O.Box } 622 \\ \text { S - } 75323 \text { Uppsala } \end{array}$ |  | liab@slv.se |
| United <br> Kingdom | Dr. | Wendy Matthews | Food Standards Agency | 7 th Floor - Aviation House 125 Kingsway <br> London - WC2B 6NH | $\begin{aligned} & +442072768707 \\ & +442072768717 \end{aligned}$ | Wendy.matthews@food standards.gsi.gov.uk |

## Annex 6

## References

## Austria:

## Consumption data

1. Austrian Study of Nutritional Status (Inst. of Nutritional Sciences, Vienna, Univ.Prof.Dr.I.Elmadfa)
2. Study Inst. of Nutritional Sciences, Vienna, Univ.Prof.Dr.I.Elmadfa

Daily intake
3. Verordnung über den Höchstgehalt von Mykotoxinen bei Lebensmitteln. BGBl. Nr. 251, 1986

## Belgium:

## Occurrence data

1. Unpublished results of the Food Inspection Service (sampling) and the Scientific Institute for Public Health (analysis)
Consumption data
2. Report from S. De Henauw and C. Matthys, 1998, "Voedingsgewoonten bij jongeren van 14-18 jaar"
Extended abstract in:
De Henauw S, Matthys C, De Backer G (2001) Differences in overall food and nutrient intake profile between breakfast users and breakfast skippers in a representative sample of 14-18 year old Belgian adolescents. Public Health Nutrition 4(2A), 419.

## France:

## Occurrence data

1. The contamination data come from DGCCRF (Competition, Consumption and Fraud Squad General Direction) of the French Ministry of Finance. Analyses were conducted by 2 national reference laboratories from the DGCCRF.
2. HENRY M.P., LEMETAYER N., 1996 ,"Liquid chromatographic determination of Patulin in French apple ciders". Journal of AOAC International, vol 79, n ${ }^{\circ} 5$, pages 1107-1109.
3. The contamination data come from the UNPJF (French union of producers and distributors of fruit juice). The data concern apple juice packaged and sold in France between 1997 and 2000.
Consumption data
4. The food consumption data are based on the INCA survey (Individual and national French food intake survey).
5. VOLATIER, J.-L. (coordinator), 2000, Enquête INCA individuelle et nationale sur les consommations alimentaires, editions TEC\&DOC.

## Daily intake

6. AFSSA, April 2001, technical note OCA/AD/2001-204 "Assessment of the consumption of apple juice and apple puree and theoretical maximum exposure to Patulin in these products".

## Germany:

## Occurrence data

1. The occurrence data were collected from the different laboratories of the German Bundesländer
2. Verband der deutschen Fruchtsaftindustrie e.V., Bonn

## Consumption data

3. Nationale Verzehrsstudie, 1985-1988
4. DONALD-Studie: Was Kinder essen - und was sie essen sollten, Forschungsinstitut für Kinderernährung Dortmund, U. Alexy, M. Kersting, 1999, Hans Marseille Verlag GmbH, München

## Italy:

## Occurrence data

A Patulin in apple-based foods: occurrence and safety evaluation Food additives and Contaminants, 2000, Vol. 17, No 5, 399-406
B Unpublished data Method: Journal of AOAC International Vol. 83, No. 6, 2000.
C Valutazione del contenuto di Patulina in prodotti per la prima infanzia a base di mela . La rivista di Scienza della Alimentazione, anno 27 n.4,1998
D Unpublished data
Consumption data

1. Original communication, Food Consumption patterns in Italy: the INN-CA study 1994-1996 European journal of clinical nutrition (2001) 55, 571-588

## Norway:

Occurrence data

1. SNT 2000: SNT-report 2000; "Patulin i epledrikker", Næringsmiddeltilsynet for MidtRogaland, 2000.
2. Trucksess, M.W. and Tang, Y, 1999.; " Solid-phase extraction method for patulin in apple juice and unfiltered apple juice"; J AOAC Int.; Sep-Oct; 82 (5), p. 1109-13
3. AOAC Official methods of Analysis of AOAC International, 1997, $16^{\text {th }}$ edition; metode 995.10; kapittel 49.6.02; side 41-44
Consumption data
4. Johansson, L., Solvoll, K. et.al. 1997, "Dietary habits among Norwegian men and women", Scandinavian Journal of Nutrition, Vol. 41: 63-70
The results which the article is based on is presented in this report: Norkost 1997, "Landsomfattende kostholdsundersøkelse blant menn og kvinner i alderen $16-79 \mathrm{ar}$ ", rapport nr . 2/1999, Statens råd for ernaering og fysisk aktivitet, Oslo 1999.

## Portugal:

## Occurrence data

1. Ministério da Economia, Instituto Nacional de engenharia e technologia industrial, deparamento de technologia das industrias alimentarias: Relatório, Lisboa, 2001
2. Journal of AOAC International, vol. 83, No. 6, 2000 " Liquid Chromatographic Method for Determination of Patulin in Clear and Cloudy Apple Juices and Apple Puree: Collaborative Study".
Consumption data
3. Instituto Nacional de Estatística - Balança Alimentar Portuguesa (BAP), Estudo n ${ }^{\circ}$ 79, 19901997

## Sweden:

## Consumption data:

1. Hulken (1994): Befolkningens kostvanor och näringsintag i Sverige 1989. Statens Livsmedelsverk
2. Riksmaten (2001 in press): Befolkningens kostvanor och näringsintag i Sverige 1997-98. Statens Livsmedelsverk
3. Dietary intake of some important mycotoxins by the Swedish population, Food Additives and Contaminants, 2001, Vol.18, No. 8, 696-706
4. SLV FS 1997:37, Statens livsmedelsverks kungörelse med föreskrifter och allmänna råd om provtagning, undersökning av prov mm vid tillsyn enl. livsmegelslagen

## Spain:

Occurrence data

1. Unpublished Data. Internal survey conducted by Centro Nacional de Alimentación (Ministry of Health and Consumption)
2. Armentia, A., Jalon, M., Urieta, I. and Macho, M.L., 2000, Vigilancia de la presencia de patulina en zumos de manzana y sidras comercializados en la Comunidad Autónoma del País Vasco. Alimentaria, 310, 65-70.
Consumption data
Ref 1 "La alimentación en España" published in 1998 by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fishery and Food.
Ref 2 Departamento de Sanidad, Gobierno Vasco, 1994, Encuesta de Nutrición de la Comunidad Autónoma del País Vasco. Servicio Central de Publicaciones de Gobierno Vasco, VitoriaGasteiz.

## United Kingdom:

## Occurrence Data

1. Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (1993). Food Safety Directorate Bulletin No. 34
2. British soft Drinks Association, Code of Practice for the Production of Apple Juice. Nov 1993
3. Proposed draft Code of Practice for prevention of Patulin contamination of Apple Juice and Apple Juice Ingredients in Other Beverages. CX/FAC 01/23
4. Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (1999). Survey of apple juice for Patulin. Food Surveillance Information Sheet Number 173
Consumption Data
5. Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (1994). Dietary and nutritional survey of British Adults: Further analysis. HMSO.
6. Gregory J., e. a. (2000). National diet and nutrition survey: Young people aged 4-18 years. The Stationary Office.
7. Gregory, JR., e. a. (1995). National diet and nutrition survey; Children aged 1.54 .5 years. Volume 1: Report of the diet and nutrition survey. HMSO.
Daily Intake
8. JECFA (1995) Evaluations of certain food additives and contaminants. WHO Technical report Series, No. 859
9. SCF minute, Statement on Patulin, $8^{\text {th }}$ March 2000.
10. Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (1993) Mycotoxins: Third report, Food Surveillance Paper No.36. HMSO, 61,64.

Table 1A. Summary of patulin occurrence data by food group and country
Units: $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$

## Austria

| Food or group | Ref and year | Nosamples | LOQ | No samples <LOQ | No samples containing patulin in the range LOQ-9.9, 10-24.9,25-50,>50 |  |  |  | Max value | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Median | Evidence of QA | Random or target | Analytic method | Sampling strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | LOQ-9.9 | 10-24.9 | 25-50 | $>50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| apple juice | 1966 | 5 | 4-5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 7,8 | 36 | 0.8 (<LOQ) | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| apple juice | 1997 | 55 | 4 | 2 | 24 | 18 | 11 | 0 | 50 | 15,6 | 16,2 | 10,7 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| apple juice | 1998 | 127 | 4-10 | 89 | 20 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 36 | 4,2 | 11,7 | 0.8 (<LOQ) | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| apple juice | 1999 | 31 | 4-10 | 26 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3,5 | 14,8 | 1.7 (<LOQ) | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| apple juice | 2000 | 18 | 4-8 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 41 | 4,1 | 18,5 | 1.3 (<LOQ) | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| apple juice | 2001 | 6 | 4-16 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 15,2 | 22,0 | 12,5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| grape juice | 1996 | 8 |  | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 6,4 | 6,4 | 5,7 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| grape juice | 1997 | 5 | 4-5 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 0 | 37 | 15,5 | 25,3 | 4 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| grape juice | 1998 | 47 | 4-5 | 21 | 12 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 41 | 9,8 | 17,1 | 4,3 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| grape juice | 1999 | 5 | 4-8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| grape juice | 2000 | 21 | 8 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3,1 | 19,5 | 1.3 (<LOQ) | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| baby food | 1996 | 10 | 8-10 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2,3 | 10 | 1,5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| baby food | 1997 | 11 | 5-8 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| baby food | 1998 | 35 | 5-8 | 33 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1,4 | 11 | 0.8 (<LOQ) | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| baby food | 2000 | 16 | 8 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| baby food | 2001 | 11 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| grape must | 1996 | 37 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 162 | 38,4 | 44,3 | 25,0 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| grape must | 1997 [1] | 110 | 5 | 69 | 13 | 15 | 4 | 9 | 107 | 10,4 | 26,6 | 0.8 (<LOQ) | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| grape must | 1998 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 750 | 120,5 | 120,5 | 28 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| grape must | 2000 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 23,6 | 6,6 | 17,0 | 1.3 (<LOQ) | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |


| Food or group | Ref and year | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { samples } \end{gathered}$ | LOQ |  | No samples containing patulin in the range LOQ-69.9, 70-174,9,175-350,>350 |  |  |  | Max value | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Median | Max value / 7 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \operatorname{Mean}(1) \\ / 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean(2) } \\ / 7 \end{gathered}$ | Median 17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Evidence } \\ \text { of QA } \end{gathered}$ | Random or target | Analytic method | Sampling strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | LOQ-69.9 | 70-174.9 | 175-350 | >350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| apple juice conc | 1996 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 315 | 140,0 | 170,9 | 137 | 45 | 20,0 | 24,4 | 19,6 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| apple juice conc | 1997 | 214 | 5 | 2 | 69 | 52 | 50 | 41 | 1227 | 214,6 | 216,7 | 129,5 | 175,3 | 30,7 | 31,0 | 18,5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| apple juice conc | 1998 | 32 | 5 | 1 | 22 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 414 | 82,3 | 82,3 | 38,5 | 59,1 | 11,8 | 11,8 | 5,5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |
| apple juice conc | 2000 | 9 | 5-20 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 16,8 | 45,3 | 3,3 | 9,3 | 2,4 | 6,5 | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ (<\mathrm{LOQ}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | yes | random | HPLC/UV | bulk, retail |

## Belgium

| Food or group | Ref and year | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { No } \\ \text { Samples } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOD/ } \\ & \text { LOQ } \end{aligned}$ | Nosamples<LOD/LOQ | No samples containing patulin in the range LOD/LOQ -9.9, 10-24.9, 25-50, >50 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Max } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Median | Evidence of QA |  | Analytic. Method | Sampling strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | LOQ-9.9 | 10-24.9 | 25-50 | $>50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| apple juice | 1997 | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOQ: } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 32 | 6 | 11 | 1 |  | 30 (a) | 5.15 | 12.8 | <5 | +- yes | Random | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { HPLC-UV } \\ & \text { ISO } 8128 \text { - } \\ & 1: 1993 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | retail |
| apple juice | 1999 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOQ: } \\ & 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 8 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 52 | 8.2 | 34.5 | <10 | Yes | Random | HPLC-UV | retail |
| apple juice | 2000 | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOQ: } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 22 | 1 | 3 |  | 1 | 59 | 4.4 | 20 | <5 | Yes | Random | HPLC-UV | retail |
| apple juice | 2001 | 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOQ: } \\ & 7-8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 28 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 19 | 2.2 | 14 | <8 | Yes | Random | HPLC-UV | retail |
| apple compote | 2000 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOQ: } \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6 |  |  |  |  | <5 | <5 | <5 | <5 | +- yes | Random | HPLC-UV | retail |
| apple compote | 2001 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOQ: } \\ & 16-21 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 |  |  |  |  | <20 | <20 | $<20$ | <20 | +- yes | Random | HPLC-UV | retail |
| baby-food (b) | 2000 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOQ: } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  | <5 | <5 | <5 | <5 | (+-) yes | Random (containi ng apple) | HPLC-UV | retail |
| baby-food (b) | 2001 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOQ: } \\ & \hline 7-17 \end{aligned}$ | 14 |  | 1 |  |  | 15 | 2.3 | 15 | <LOQ | (+-) yes | Random (containi ng apple or grape) | HPLC-UV | retail |
| grape juice | 2000 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOQ: } \\ & 6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 |  |  |  |  | <6 | <6 | <6 | <6 | +- yes | Random | HPLC-UV | retail |
| grape juice | 2001 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOQ: } \\ & 7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 4 |  |  | 1 |  | 36 | 8.1 | 36 | <7 | +- yes | Random | HPLC-UV | retail |
| canned pears | 2000 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOQ: } \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 |  |  |  |  | <5 | <5 | <5 | <5 | +- yes | Random | HPLC-UV | retail |
| cider | 2001 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOQ: } \\ & 7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 |  |  |  |  | <7 | <7 | <7 | <7 | Yes | Random | HPLC-UV | retail |

[^0]France

| Food or group | Ref and year | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { No } \\ \text { samples } \end{gathered}$ | LOD | NoSamples <LOD | No samples containing patulin in the range |  |  |  | Max value | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Median | Evidence of QA | Random or target | Analytic method | Sampling strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | <10 | 10-24.9 | 25-50 | >50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DGCCRF- } \\ & \text { 1998-2001 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 67 | 2 | 30 | 21 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 130 | 8.37 | 14.3 | 3 | Yes | Control | HPLC/UV |  |
| apple puree | $\begin{gathered} \text { DGCCRF- } \\ 2000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 17 | 0.7 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 86 | 6.28 | 20.5 | 0.35 | Yes | Control | HPLC/UV |  |
| cider | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DGCCRF- } \\ & \text { 1998-2001 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 92 | 2 | 64 | 8 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 101 | 6.18 | 18.0 | 1 | Yes | Control | HPLC/UV |  |
| drink based on cider | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DGCCRF- } \\ & 1999-2001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 118 | 2 | 25 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 50 | 1604 | 152.8 | 193.5 | 28.5 | Yes | Control | HPLC/UV |  |
| baby food | $\begin{gathered} \text { DGCCRF- } \\ 2000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 10 | 0.7 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 58 | 6.3 | 30.1 | 0.35 | Yes | Control | HPLC/UV |  |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNPJF-1997- } \\ 2000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 122 | 5 | 73 | 31 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 37 | 5.3 | 11.6 | 1.7 |  |  | HPLC/UV |  |

## Germany

| Food or group | Ref and year | No samples | LOD |  | No samples containing patulin in the range |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Median | Evidence of QA | Random or target | Analytic method | Sampling strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | <10 | 10-24.9 | 25-50 | $>50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| apple juice | 1997 | 337 | 2-5/5-13,6 | 251 | 38 | 29 | 13 | 6 | 279 | 8,1 | 21,3 | 5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple juice | 1998 | 313 | 2-5/5-13,6 | 243 | 24 | 31 | 13 | 2 | 100 | 6,4 | 17,2 | 5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple juice | 1999 | 279 | 2-5/5-10 | 209 | 16 | 27 | 23 | 4 | 77 | 7,6 | 22 | 3,6 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple juice | 2000 | 249 | 2-5/5-10 | 182 | 30 | 23 | 8 | 6 | 415 | 9,7 | 30 | 2,5 | yes | target, random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple juice | 2001 | 70 | 2-5/5-10 | 43 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 155 | 8,8 | 18,9 | 4,5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple juice | 1997 | 66 | 10/20 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | - | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple juice | 1998 | 31 | 10/20 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | - | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple juice | 1999 | 51 | 10/20 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 32 | 10,7 | 28 | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple juice | 2000 | 14 | 10/20 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 95 | 21,1 | 62 | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple juice | 2001 | 9 | 10/20 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | - | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple concentrate | 1997-2001 | 5 | 10/20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | - | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple drink | 1998-2000 | 64 | 2-3/5 | 53 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 3,1 | 10,4 | 1,5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| quince juice | 1997-2000 | 4 | 10/20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | - | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| quince juice | 1997-2001 | 3 | 3/10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 3,8 | - | 5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| pear juice | 1997-2001 | 19 | 10/20 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 91 | 14,3 | 91 | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| pear juice | 1997-2001 | 58 | 2-5/5-10 | 48 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 25,4 | 3,7 | 8,2 | 2,5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| pear nectar | 1997 | 2 | 5/10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 2,5 | - | 2,5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| grape juice | 1997 | 3 | 10/20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | - | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| grape juice | 1999-2000 | 61 | 3-5/5-10 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 31,5 | 4,3 | 29,3 | 2,5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| berry juice | 1999 | 1 | 10/20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | - | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| berry juice | 1998-2000 | 6 | 3/10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 5 | - | 5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| stone fruit juice | 1997 | 2 | 10/20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | - | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| stone fruit juice | 1997-2000 | 8 | 5/10 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 3,8 | - | 3,8 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| juice of a fruit mix | 1997-2001 | 20 | 10/20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | - | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |


| Food or group | Ref and year | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { samples } \end{gathered}$ | LOD | No Samples <LOD | No samples containing patulin in the range |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Median | Evidence of QA | Random or target | Analytic method | Sampling <br> strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $<10$ | 10-24.9 | 25-50 | >50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| juice of a fruit mix | 1997-2001 | 57 | 2,3-5/5-10 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 3,1 | 30 | 1,8 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| juice with exotic fruits | 1997 | 2 | 10/20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | - | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| juice with exotic fruits | 1998-2000 | 7 | 3/10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 5 | - | 5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| tomato juice | 1997 | 1 | -/10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 5 | - | 5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| tomato puree concentrated | 2000 | 10 | 10/20 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | - | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple puree | 1997-2001 | 16 | 10/20 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | - | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple puree | 1997-2000 | 33 | 3-5/5-10 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1,6 | - | 1,5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| tomato puree | 2000 | 13 | 3/5 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,5 | 1,7 | 3,5 | 1,5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple and mango/apple pulp | 1998-1999 | 2 | 5/10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | - | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple compote | 1997-1998 | 2 | 10/20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | - | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple compote | 1998 | 3 | 5/10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 2,5 | - | 2,5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| baby food | 1997-2001 | 34 | 10/20 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 68 | 11,7 | 68 | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| baby food | 1997-2001 | 80 | 3-5/5-10 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 44 | 4,8 | 42,5 | 5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple wine | 1997-2000 | 3 | 5/10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 2,5 | - | 2,5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| apple wine | 1998 | 3 | 10/20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | - | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| cranberry | 1998 | 1 | 5/10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 2,5 | - | 2,5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| jam and jelly | 1997-2000 | 13 | -/10 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 5 | - | 5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| jam and jelly | 1999-2001 | 10 | 10/20 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 10 | - | 10 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| fruit dry | 1998 | 1 | -/10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 5 | - | 5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |
| fruit vinegar | 1998 | 12 | 5/10 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 2,5 | - | 2,5 | yes | random | HPLC/UV | retail |

Data collected by the German federation of fruit juice industry

| Food or group | Ref and year | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { samples } \end{gathered}$ | LOD | NoSamples <LOD | No samples containing patulin in the range |  |  |  | Max value | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Median | Evidence of QA | Random or target | Analytic method | Sampling strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $<10$ | 10-24.9 | 25-50 | >50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| apple juice | 1988-2001 | 631 | -/5 | 525 | 14 | 50 | 22 | 20 | 54* | 2,95* | N.a.. | 2,70* | yes |  | HPLC/UV |  |
| apple concentrat | 1988-2001 | 4931 | -/5 | 1956 | 655 | 1708 | 656 | 606 | 3533** | 27,2** | N.a.. |  | yes |  | HPLC/UV |  |

N.a.. No answer

* evaluation with a filter: $2 \mathrm{~s}, 613$ samples are evaluated, if the sample value $<\mathrm{LOD}$ the value equates with 0
** evaluation with a filter: $2 \mathrm{~s}, 4924$ samples are evaluated, if the sample value $<\mathrm{LOD}$ the value equates with 0

| Food or group | Ref and year | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { No } \\ \text { samples } \end{gathered}$ | LOD | NoSamples<LOD | No samples containing patulin in the range |  |  |  | Max value | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Median | Evidence of QA | Random or target | Analytic method | Sampling strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | <10 | 10-19,9 | 25-49,9 | >50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| apple concentrate | 1997 | 285 |  | 7 | 21 | 42 | 18 | 11 | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | yes |  |  |  |
| apple juice of concentrate |  | 201 |  | 45 | 39 | 12 | 2 | 1 | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | yes |  |  |  |
| apple juice |  | 114 |  | 78 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 0 | N.a | N.a | N.a | N.a | yes |  |  |  |
| apple concentrate | 1998 | 320 |  | 9 | 18 | 38 | 24 | 10 | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | yes |  |  |  |
| apple juice of concentrate |  | 180 |  | 39 | 46 | 10 | 3 | 1 | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | yes |  |  |  |
| apple juice |  | 128 |  | 69 | 23 | 5 | 2 | 0 | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | yes |  |  |  |
| apple concentrate | 1999 | 314 |  | 11 | 29 | 41 | 14 | 4 | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | yes |  |  |  |
| apple juice of concentrate |  | 151 |  | 48 | 35 | 14 | 1 | 1 | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | yes |  |  |  |
| apple juice |  | 88 |  | 70 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 0 | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | yes |  |  |  |
| apple concentrate | 2000 | 240 |  | 32 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 8 | N.a | N.a | N.a | N.a | yes |  |  |  |
| apple juice of concentrate |  | 132 |  | 34 | 40 | 18 | 7 | 0 | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | yes |  |  |  |
| apple juice |  | 81 |  | 71 | 25 | 2 | 1 | 0 | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | yes |  |  |  |
| apple concentrate | 2001 | 206 |  | 39 | 21 | 19 | 11 | 9 | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | yes |  |  |  |
| apple juice of concentrate |  | 103 |  | 37 | 44 | 13 | 4 | 1 | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | yes |  |  |  |
| apple juice |  | 181 |  | 68 | 27 | 3 | 1 | 0 | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | N.a. | yes |  |  |  |

N.a..No answer

Italy

| Food or group | Ref and year | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { samples } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOD/ } \\ & \text { LOQ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { No } \\ \text { samples } \\ \text { <LOD/ } \\ \text { LOQ } \end{gathered}$ | No samples containing patulin in therangeLOD/LOQ $-9, .9,10-24,9,25-50,>50$ |  |  |  | Max value | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Median | Evidence of QA | Random or target | Analytic method | Sampling strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $<10$ | 10-24.9 | 25-50 | $>50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| apple unaffected with peel | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 1999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 21 | 0.2/0,75 | 4 | 11 | 1 | - | 5 | 1166 | 123,07 | 152,00 | 1,68 | yes | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| apple unaffected without peel | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 1999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 21 | 0.2/0,75 | 14 | 6 | - | - | 1 | 93 | 5,5 | 16,31 | 0,10 | yes | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| rotten area | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ 1999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 21 | 0,20/0,75 | - | 2 | 4 | - | 15 | 113343 | 12463 | 12463 | 2900 | yes | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 97-00 \end{gathered}$ | 21 | 0,20/0,75 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1150 | 119,27 | 139,14 | 3,14 | yes | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| apple puree | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 98-99 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 0,20/0,75 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 3,16 | 0,85 | 1,60 | 0,1 | yes | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| apple baby food (homogenised) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 97-98 \end{gathered}$ | 14 | 0,20/0,75 | 1 | 13 | - | - | - | 6,39 | 2,79 | 2,99 | 2,31 | yes | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| pear | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 98-01 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 9 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0,5-5,0 / \\ 1,0-10,0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 1 | - | - | 4 | 720,00 | 230,25 | 414,25 | 1,23 | yes | Random | HPLC-UV | Experimental products |
| peach | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 98-01 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0,5-5,0 / \\ 1,0-10,0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 6 | - | - | 1 | - | 23,29 | 4,83 | 23,29 | 2,50 | yes | Random | HPLC-UV | Experimental products |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 1999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 5 | - | - | -- | - | 3 | 92,70 | 86,20 | 86,20 | 86,20 | yes | Target | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| apple | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ 1997 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 3,1/10 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 0,16 | 0,16 | - | 0,16 | yes | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| pear | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ 1997 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 3,1/10 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 0,16 | 0,16 | - | 0,16 | no | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 1997 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 15 | 0,03/10 | 8 | 7 | - | - | - | 1,05 | 0,17 | 0,36 | 0,02 | no | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| pear juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 1997 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 0,03/10 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 0,02 | 0,02 | - | 0,02 | no | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| apple nectar | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ 1997 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 0,03/10 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 0,02 | 0,02 | - | 0,02 | no | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| apple baby food (homogenised) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { C } \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | 0,31/1,0 | 9 | 3 | - | - | - | 3,19 | 0,72 | 2,42 | 0,16 | no | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { apple-banana } \\ \text { baby food } \\ \text { (homogenised) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { C } \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | 24 | 0,31/1,0 | 13 | 11 | - | - | - | 5,50 | 1,30 | 2,64 | 0,16 | no | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| apple-cheese baby food (homogenised) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | 6 | 0,31/1,0 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 0,16 | 0,16 | - | 0,16 | no | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |


| Food or group | Ref and year | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { samples } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOD/ } \\ & \text { LOQ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { No } \\ \text { samples } \\ \text { <LOD/ } \\ \text { LOQ } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No samples containing patulin in the range LOD/LOQ -9,9, 10-24,9, 25-50, >50 |  |  |  | Max value | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Median | Evidence of QA | Random or target | Analytic method | Sampling strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | <10 | 10-24.9 | 25-50 | $>50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apple-biscuit baby food (homogenised) | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | 6 | 0,31/1,0 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | 3,63 | 1,61 | 2,34 | 1,38 | no | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| Mixed-fruit Baby food (homogenised) | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | 0,31/1,0 | 12 | - | - | - | - | 0,16 | 0,16 | - | 0,16 | no | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| apple dry* | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 99-01 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 50 | 4 | - | - | - | 3 | 320,00 | 90,0 | 176,67 | 25,00 | no | Target | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| apple puree | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { D } \\ 99-01 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 10-20 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 10 | 7,14 | - | 5,00 | no | Target | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| apple nectar* | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 99-01 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 25 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 12,5 | 12,5 | - | 12,50 | no | Target | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 99-01 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 22 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2-20 / \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 143,00 | 37,99 | 41,69 | 19,00 | no | Target | HPLC-UV | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Commercial } \\ \text { products } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| pear juice | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D} \\ 99-01 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 2-20 / \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 3 | - | 1 | - | $\begin{gathered} \hline 20 \\ \text { (LOD/ } \\ 2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5,21 | 7,74 | 2,55 | no | Target | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| pear puree | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 99-01 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 10/20 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 10 | 6,00 | - | 5,00 | no | Target | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| pear nectar* | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 99-01 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 25 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 12,5 | 12,5 | - | 12,5 | no | Target | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| lemon juice* | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 99-01 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 50 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 25 | 25 | - | 25,00 | no | Target | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| tangerine juice* | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D} \\ 99-01 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 50 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 25 | 25 | - | 25,00 | no | Target | HPLC-UV | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commercial } \\ \text { products } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { apple } \\ \text { baby food } \\ \text { (homogenised)* } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 99-01 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 25 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 12,5 | 12,5 | - | 12,50 | no | Target | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| pear baby food (homogenised)* | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 99-01 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 25 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 12,5 | 12,5 | - | 12,50 | no | Target | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| plum (baby food) homogenised* | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 99-01 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 25 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 12,5 | 12,5 | - | 12,50 | no | Target | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |
| apple baby food (milk flour) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | 6 | 0,31/1,0 | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | 0,97 | 0,55 | 0,94 | 0,53 | no | Random | HPLC-UV | Commercial products |

* All marked commodities refer to the values that have not been taken into consideration for intake calculation because they were considered not to be representative for Italy


## Norway

Units: $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{l}$

| Food or group | Ref and year | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{No} \\ \text { samples } \end{gathered}$ | LOQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean <br> (2) | Median | Evidence of QA | Random or target | Analytic method | Sampling strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | No <br> samples <br> <LOD/LOQ No samples containing patulin in the range <br> LOD/LOQ $-9.9,10-24.9,25-50, ~>50$  Max <br> value Mean <br> (1)   <br>  $<10$ $10-24.9$ $25-50$ $>50$   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| concentrates to producers, Norwegian origin | * | 13 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12,0 | 3,2 | - | 2,5 | yes | random | HPLCUV | bulk/retails |
| concentrates to producers, foreign origin | * | 21 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 65,6 | 18,6 | - | 10,0 | yes | random | HPLC- <br> UV | bulk/retails |
| concentrates for sale to consumers | * | 11 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 21,5 | 7,0 | - | 2,5 | yes | random | HPLC- UV | bulk/retails |
| syrup concentrates for sale to consumers | * | 9 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | <5 | 2,5 | - | 2,5 | yes | random | HPLCUV | retails |
| apple juice, fresh, <br> Norwegian origin** | * | 11 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,0 | 2,5 | - | 2,5 | yes | random | HPLCUV | retails |
| apple juice, fresh, foreign origin** | * | 12 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 20,4 | 6,0 | - | 2,8 | yes | random | HPLCUV | retails |
| apple juice** | * | 34 | 5 | 19 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 23,9 | 6,6 | - | 2,5 | yes | random | HPLCUV | retails |
| fruit juice and -nectar with apple | * | 19 | 5 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 32,4 | 4,5 | - | 2,5 | yes | random | HPLCUV | retails |
| apple nectar | * | 67 | 5 | 57 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 12,1 | 3,2 | - | 2,5 | yes | random | HPLCUV | retails |
| apple cider | * | 84 | 5 | 79 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16,2 | 2,8 | - | 2,5 | yes | random | HPLCUV | retails |

## * SNT-report 2000, samples collected 1999 and 2000

** Summary of the columns with apple juice

| apple juice | $*$ | 57 | 5 | 36 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23,9 | 5,7 | - | 2,5 | yes | random | HPLC- | retails |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

For the intake calculations the Norwegian participants summed up all the columns concerning apple juice which were marked with two stars.

| Food or group | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ref } \\ \text { and year } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { samples } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOQ/ } \\ & \text { LOD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{N}^{\circ} \text { samples } \\ & \text { <LOQ/LOD } \end{aligned}$ | No. samples containing patulin in the range LOD/LOQ - 9.9, 10.0-24.9, 25-50, > 50 |  |  |  | Max value | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Median | Evidence of QA | Random or target | Analytic. method | Sampling strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | <10 | 10-24.9 | 25-50 | >50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| clear apple juice | 1/2001 | 7 | 5/15 | 7<LOD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | <LOD | 0 | 0 | 0 | yes | random | HPLC | bulk |
| cloudy apple juice | 1/2001 | 8 | 5/15 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2<\mathrm{LOD} \\ & 5<\mathrm{LOQ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 25,2 | 8,7 | 15,2 | 7,8 | yes | random | HPLC | bulk |
| apple and other fruits cloudy juice | 1/2001 | 5 | 5/15 | $\begin{aligned} & 4<\mathrm{LOD} \\ & 1<\mathrm{LOQ} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | <LOQ | 0 | 0 | 0 | yes | random | HPLC | bulk |
| milk and apple juice | 1/2001 | 6 | 5/15 | 6<LOD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | <LOD | 0 | 0 | 0 | yes | random | HPLC | bulk |
| cloudy pear juice | 1/2001 | 8 | 5/15 | 5<LOD | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 23,4 | 5,1 | 18,0 | 0 | yes | random | HPLC | bulk |
| pear and other fruits cloudy juice | 1/2001 | 2 | 5/15 | 2<LOQ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | <LOQ | 8,4 | 8,4 | 8,4 | yes | random | HPLC | bulk |
| milk and pear juice | 1/2001 | 2 | 5/15 | 2<LOD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | <LOD | 0 | 0 | 0 | yes | random | HPLC | bulk |
| apple puree | 1/2001 | 3 | 5/15 | 3<LOD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | <LOD | 0 | 0 | 0 | yes | random | HPLC | bulk |
| pear puree | 1/2001 | 2 | 5/15 | 2<LOD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | <LOD | 0 | 0 | 0 | yes | random | HPLC | bulk |

## Spain

| Food or group | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ref } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Random or | Analytic. method | Sampling strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | LOD No <br> $/$ <br> LOQ No samples containing patulin in the range <br> samples <br> LOD/LOQ  LOD    <br>  $<10$ $10-24.9$ $25-50$ $>50$    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| apple juices | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Ref } 1 \\ & 1999 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 20 | 2.5/5 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13.6 | 2 | 9 | 0.83 (a) | yes | random | HPLC-UV | retails |
| apple juices | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Ref } 2 \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 14 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.83 \\ \text { (a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | yes | Random | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { HPLC- } \\ & \text { DAD } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | retails |
| nectars | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ref } 2 \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.83 \\ \text { (a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | yes | Random | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HPLC- } \\ & \text { DAD } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | retails |
| cider with gas | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Ref } 2 \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 9 | 15 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.83 \\ \text { (a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | yes | Random | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { HPLC- } \\ & \text { DAD } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | retails |
| natural cider | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Ref } 2 \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 25 | 15 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.83 \\ \text { (a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | yes | Random | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { HPLC- } \\ & \text { DAD } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | retails |

(a) Results <LOQ $\triangleleft \mathrm{LOQ} / 6=5 / 6=0.83 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$

Ref.1: UNPUBLISHED DATA. Internal survey conducted by Centro Nacional de Alimentación (Ministry of Health and Consumption)
Ref.2: ARMENTIA, A., JALON, M., URIETA, I. and MACHO, M.L., 2000, Vigilancia de la presencia de patulina en zumos de manzana y sidras comercializados en la Comunidad Autónoma del País Vasco. Alimentaria, 310, 65-70.

## Sweden

| Food or groups | Ref and year | No. of samples | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOD / } \\ \text { LOQ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ \text { samples < } \\ \text { LOD/LOQ } \end{gathered}$ | No. of samples containing patulin in the rangeLOD/LOQ-9.9, 10-24.9 25-50, >50 |  |  |  | Max <br> value | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Median | Evidence of QA | Random or target | Analytic <br> Method | Sampling strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | <10 | 10-24.9 | 25-50 | $>50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| blueberry soups or purees | 1997 | 42 | 2 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | <2 | 1 |  |  | yes | random | HPLC-UV | retails |
| apple juice | 1997 | 39 | 2 | 34 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 1,4 | 10,4 |  | yes | random | HPLC-UV | retails |
| mixed juice | 1997 | 19 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | <2 | 1 |  |  | yes | random | HPLC-UV | retails |

## United Kingdom

| Food or group | Ref and year | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { samples } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LOD } \\ & \text { LOQ } \end{aligned}$ | Nosamples<LOD/LOQ | No samples containing patulin in the range LOD/LOQ -9.9, 10-24.9, 25-50, >50 |  |  |  | Maxvalue | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Median | Evidence of QA | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Random } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { target } \end{gathered}$ | Analytic. method | Sampling strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | <10 | 10-24.9 | 25-50 | >50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| concentrate juice (clear) | 1998 | 101 | 7/20 | 76 | 82 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 34.91 | 5.32 | 10.41 | 2.5 | YES | Random | HPLC-UV | Retail |
| concentrate juice (clear) | 2000 | 758 | 7/20 | 550 | 614 | 111 | 32 | 0 | 49.0 | 6.88 | 15.87 | 3.5 | NO | Monitoring | HPLC-UV | Bulk |
| freshly pressed juice (cloudy) | 1998 | 199 | 7/20 | 97 | 116 | 50 | 23 | 10* | 193.4* | 14.33 | 14.30 | 7.1 | YES | Random | HPLC-UV | Retail |
| freshly pressed juice (cloudy) | 1999 | 124 | 7/20 | 12 | 19 | 74 | 31 | 0 | 38.75 | 18.35 | 19.01 | 18.75 | NO | Monitoring | HPLC-UV | Bulk |
| freshly pressed juice (cloudy) | 2000 | 1252 | 7/20 | 139 | 218 | 504 | 530 | 0 | 49.0 | 22.79 | 25.21 | 22.0 | NO | Monitoring | HPLC-UV | Bulk |
| freshly pressed juice (cloudy) | 2001 | 747 | 7/20 | 51 | 83 | 348 | 315 | 1 | 69.0 | 23.57 | 24.26 | 21.0 | NO | Monitoring | HPLC-UV | Bulk |

* These results were not included to calculate the mean as patulin levels found to be over $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ would not be allowed for retail sale.

Table 1B1. Summary of patulin occurrence in juice from data reported in tables 1A Units: $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$

| Country | Food or group | No samples | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maximum } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ | Mean (1) | Mean(2) | Median |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Austria |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 242 | 50 | 7,03 | 14,93 | 1.6 (<LOQ) |
|  | apple juice concentrate | 266 | 1227 | 161,49 | 168,26 | 67,50 |
|  | (concentrate value / 7) |  | 175,3 | 23,1 | 24,0 | 9,6 |
|  | grape juice | 86 | 41 | 8,24 | 15,15 | 4,00 |
|  | grape must | 164 | 750 | 23,97 | 44,94 | 5,00 |
| Belgium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 117 | 59 | 4.5 | 16 | < LOQ |
|  | grape juice | 10 | 36 | 4.6 | 36 | < LOQ |
|  | cider | 5 | < LOQ | <3,75 | < LOQ | < 3,75 |
| France |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice DGCCCRF | 67 | 130 | 8.37 | 14.3 | 3 |
|  | apple juice UNPJF | 122 | 37 | 5,3 | 11,6 | 1,7 |
|  | cider | 92 | 101 | 6.18 | 18.0 | 1 |
|  | drink based on cider | 118 | 1604 | 152.8 | 193.5 | 28.5 |
| Germany |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 1248 | 415 | 7,9 | 22,1 | 4,2 |
|  | apple juice ${ }^{\circ}$ | 171 | 95 | 11,1 | 48 | 10 |
|  | apple concentrate ${ }^{\circ}$ | 5 | - | 10 | - | 10 |
|  | apple drink | 64 | 23 | 3,1 | 10,4 | 1,5 |
|  | pear juice ${ }^{\circ}$ | 19 | 91 | 14,3 | 91 | 10 |
|  | pear juice | 58 | 25,4 | 3,7 | 8,2 | 2,5 |
|  | pear nectar | 2 | - | 2,5 | - | 2,5 |
|  | grape juice ${ }^{\circ}$ | 3 | - | 10 | - | 10 |
|  | grape juice | 61 | 31,5 | 4,3 | 29,3 | 2,5 |
|  | quince juice ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4 | - | 10 | - | 10 |
|  | quince juice | 3 | - | 3,8 | - | 5 |
|  | berry juice ${ }^{\circ}$ | 1 | - | 10 | - | 10 |
|  | berry juice | 6 | - | 5 | - | 5 |
|  | stone fruit juice ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2 | - | 10 | - | 10 |
|  | stone fruit juice | 8 | - | 3,8 | - | 3,8 |
|  | juice of a fruit mix ${ }^{\circ}$ | 20 | - | 10 | - | 10 |
|  | juice of a fruit mix | 57 | 30 | 3,1 | 30 | 1,8 |
|  | juice with exotic fruits ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2 | - | 10 | - | 10 |
|  | juice with exotic fruits | 7 | - | 5 | - | 5 |
|  | apple wine | 3 | - | 2,5 | - | 2,5 |
|  | apple wine ${ }^{\circ}$ | 3 | - | 10 | - | 10 |
| Sampled by the fruit juice industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 631 | $54^{1}$ | 2,95 ${ }^{1}$ | N.a. | 2,70 ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | apple concentrate | 4931 | $3533{ }^{2}$ | 27, ${ }^{2}$ | N.a |  |

${ }^{\circ}$ detection with LOQ $>10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$
${ }^{1}$ exploration with a filter: $2 \mathrm{~s}, 613$ samples are evaluated, if the sample value <LOD the value equate with 0 ${ }^{2}$ exploration with a filter: 2 s , 4924 samples are evaluated, if the sample value $<$ LOD the value equate with 0 N.a.: No answer

| Country | Food or group | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { samples } \end{gathered}$ | Maximu m value | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Median |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Italy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice A | 21 | 1150,00 | 119,27 | 139,14 | 3,14 |
|  | apple juice B | 3 | 92,70 | 86,20 | 86,20 | 86,20 |
|  | apple juice C | 15 | 1,05 | 0,17 | 0,36 | 0,02 |
|  | apple juice D | 22 | 143,00 | 37,99 | 41,69 | 19,00 |
|  | apple nectar C | 3 | 0,02 | 0,02 | - | 0,02 |
|  | apple nectar $\mathrm{D}^{3}$ | 2 | 12,5 | 12,5 | - | 12,5 |
|  | pear juice C | 3 | 0,02 | 0,02 | - | 0,02 |
|  | pear juice $\mathrm{D}^{3}$ | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (\mathrm{LOD} / 2) \end{gathered}$ | 5,21 | 7,74 | 2,55 |
|  | pear nectar $\mathrm{D}^{3}$ | 2 | 12,5 | 12,5 | - | 12,5 |
|  | citrus juice <br> (tangerine, lemon) ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | 25,00 | 25,00 | - | 25,00 |
| Norway <br> Units: $\mu \mathrm{g} / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | concentrates <br> to <br> producers, <br> Norwegian origin | 13 | 12,0 | 3,2 | - | 2,5 |
|  | concentrates to producers, foreign origin | 21 | 65,6 | 18,6 | - | 10,0 |
|  | concentrates for sale to consumers | 11 | 21,5 | 7,0 | - | 2,5 |
|  | syrup concentrates for sale to consumers | 9 | <5 | 2,5 | - | 2,5 |
|  | apple juice | 57 | 23,9 | 5,7 | - | 2,5 |
|  | Fruit juice and -nectar with apple | 19 | 32,4 | 4,5 | - | 2,5 |
|  | apple nectar | 67 | 12,1 | 3,2 | - | 2,5 |
|  | apple cider | 84 | 16,2 | 2,8 | - | 2,5 |
| Portugal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | clear apple juice | 7 | <LOD | 2,5 | 0 | 2,5 |
|  | cloudy apple juice | 8 | 25,2 | 8,7 | 15,2 | 7,8 |
|  | apple and other fruits cloudy juice | 5 | <LOQ | 2,5 | 0 | 2,5 |
|  | milk and apple juice | 6 | <LOD | 2,5 | 0 | 2,5 |
|  | cloudy pear juice | 8 | 23,4 | 5,1 | 18,0 | 0 |
|  | pear and other fruits cloudy juice | 2 | <LOQ | 8,4 | 8,4 | 8,4 |
|  | milk and pear juice | 2 | <LOD | 2,5 | 0 | 2,5 |

${ }^{3}$ All marked commodities refer to the values that have not been taken into consideration for intake calculation because they were considered to be not representative for Italy

| Country | Food or group | No <br> samples | Maximu <br> m value | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Median |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ref 1 apple juices | 20 | 13.6 | 2 | 9 | 0.83 |
|  | Ref 2 apple juices | 14 | - | 0.83 | - | - |
|  | Ref 2 nectars | 2 | - | 0.83 | - | - |
|  | Ref 2 cider with <br> gas | 9 | - | 0.83 | - | - |
|  | Ref 2 natural <br> cider | 25 | - | 0.83 | - | - |
| Sweden |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 39 | 25 | 1,4 | 10,4 | 1 |
| United <br> Kingdom | mixed juice | 19 | $<$ LOD | 1 |  |  |
|  | concentrate juice <br> (clear) | 101 | 34.91 | 5.32 | 10.41 | 2.5 |
|  | concentrate juice <br> (clear) | 758 | 49.0 | 6.88 | 15.87 | 3.5 |
|  | freshly pressed <br> juice (cloudy) | 199 | $193.4^{4}$ | 14.33 | 14.30 | 7.1 |
|  | freshly pressed <br> juice (cloudy) | 124 | 38.75 | 18.35 | 19.01 | 18.75 |
|  | freshly pressed <br> juice (cloudy) | 1252 | 49.0 | 22.79 | 25.21 | 22.0 |
|  | freshly pressed <br> juice (cloudy) | 747 | 69.0 | 23.57 | 24.26 | 21.0 |

${ }^{4}$ These results were not included to calculate the mean as patulin levels found to be over $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ would not be allowed on retail sale.
Ref.1: UNPUBLISHED DATA. Internal survey conducted by Centro Nacional de Alimentación (Ministry of Health and Consumption)
Ref.2: ARMENTIA, A., JALON, M., URIETA, I. and MACHO, M.L., 2000, Vigilancia de la presencia de patulina en zumos de manzana y sidras comercializados en la Comunidad Autónoma del País Vasco. Alimentaria, 310, 65-70.

Table 1B2. Summary of patulin occurrence in puree from data reported in tables 1A
Units: $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$

| Country | Food or group | No <br> samples | Maximum <br> value | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Median |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple compote | 6 | $<$ LOQ | $<2,5$ | $<$ LOQ | $<$ LOQ |
|  | apple compote | 5 | < LOQ | $<10$ | $<$ LOQ | $<$ LOQ |
| France |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple puree | 17 | 86 | 6.28 | 20.5 | 0.35 |
| Germany |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple puree ${ }^{\circ}$ | 16 | - | 10 | - | 10 |
|  | apple puree | 33 | - | 1,6 | - | 1,5 |
|  | apple and <br> mango/apple <br> pulp | 2 | - | 10 | - | 10 |
|  | apple compote ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2 | - | 10 | - | 10 |
|  | apple compote | 3 | - | 2,5 | - | 2,5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Italy | 7 | 10 | 7,14 | - | 5,0 |
|  | apple puree | 4 | 3,16 | 0,85 | 1,60 | 0,1 |
|  | apple puree | pear puree | 5 | 10 | 6,0 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portugal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple puree | 3 | $<$ LOD | 2,5 | 0 | 2,5 |
|  | pear puree | 2 | $<$ LOD | 2,5 | 0 | 2,5 |
| Sweden |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | blueberry soup <br> and purees | 42 | $<$ LOD | 1 |  |  |

[^1]Table 1B3. Summary of patulin occurrence in baby food from data reported in table 1A Units: $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$

| Country | Food or group | No samples | Maximum <br> value | Mean (1) | Mean(2) | Median |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Austria |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | food for infants <br> and young <br> children | 83 | 13 | 1,48 | 10,67 | $1,3(<\mathrm{LOQ})$ |
| Belgium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | babyfood | 19 | 15 | 2 | 15 | $<$ LOQ |
| France |  |  |  |  |  | 0.35 |
|  | baby food | 10 | 58 | 6.3 | 30.1 | 0.35 |
|  |  | 34 | 68 | 11,7 | 68 | 10 |
| Germany | baby food |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | baby food | 80 | 44 | 4,8 | 42,5 | 5 |
| Italy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | baby food <br> (homogenised) | 80 | 12,50 | 2,08 | 2,73 | 0,16 |
|  | baby food <br> (milk flour) | 6 | 0,97 | 0,55 | 0,94 | 0,53 |

[^2]Table 1B4. Summary of patulin occurrence for other commodities from data reported in table 1A

Units: $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$

| Country | Food or <br> group | No <br> samples | Maximum <br> value | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Median |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | canned pears | 5 | < LOQ | $<2,5$ | $<$ LOQ | $<2,5$ |
| Germany |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | tomato juice | 1 | - | 5 | - | 5 |
|  | tomato puree <br> concentrated | 10 | - | 10 | - | 10 |
|  | tomato puree | 13 | 3,5 | 1,7 | 3,5 | 1,5 |
|  | jam and jelly | 13 | - | 5 | - | 5 |
|  | jam and jelly ${ }^{\circ}$ | 10 | - | 10 | - | 10 |
|  | fruit dry | 1 | - | 5 | - | 5 |
|  | fruit vinegar | 12 | - | 2,5 | - | 2,5 |
|  | cranberry | 1 | - | 5 | - | 5 |
| Italy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple dry* | 7 | 320,00 | 90,00 | 176,67 | 25,00 |

- detection with LOQ > $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$
* All marked commodities refer to the values that have not been taken into consideration for intake calculation because considered not to be representative for the Italy

Table 1B5. Summary of patulin occurrence for fresh fruit from data reported in tables 1A Units: $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$

| Country | Food or group | No <br> samples | Maximum <br> value | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Median |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Italy | apples (with peel) | 24 | 1166 | 107,70 | 152,00 | 1,28 |
|  | apples (without <br> peel) | 21 | 93 | 5,5 | 16,31 | 0,10 |
|  | pears | 12 | 720.00 | 172,72 | 414,25 | 0,25 |
|  | peaches | 7 | 23,29 | 4,83 | 23,29 | 2,50 |

Table 1C. Total Number of samples from each country

| Country | Number of samples |
| :--- | :---: |
| Austria | 841 |
| Belgium | 167 |
| France | 426 |
| Germany | $1978(8286)^{* *}$ |
| Italy | $190(+85)^{*}$ |
| Norway | 281 |
| Portugal | 43 |
| Spain | 70 |
| Sweden | 100 |
| UK | 3181 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 2 7 7}$ |

* samples of apples, pears and peaches have not been taken into account for the total number of samples
${ }^{* *}$ not evaluated because the calculation criteria (mean 1,2 and median) were not in compliance

Tables 2A. Summary of estimate of food consumption for an average person belonging to specific groups

## Austria

Adults $\mathrm{n}=3059$, all population

| Food or group | Ref Year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \% \\ & \text { percentile } \\ & \text { g/d } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | consumption data | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Age }=25 \\ \mathrm{n}=693 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | continuous | national | 63,3 | 0,0 | 312,5 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | consumption data | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Age }=25 \\ \mathrm{n}=693 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | continuous | national | 5,9 | 0,0 | 29,3 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | $\begin{gathered} \text { consumption } \\ \text { data } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Age } 26-35 \\ \mathrm{n}=1013 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | continuous | national | 38,8 | 0,0 | 259,0 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | $\begin{gathered} \text { consumption } \\ \text { data } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Age } 26-35 \\ \mathrm{n}=1013 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | continuous | national | 3,6 | 0,0 | 24,3 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | consumption data | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Age } 36-45 \\ \mathrm{n}=704 \end{array}$ | continuous | national | 25,8 | 0,0 | 168,0 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { consumption } \\ \text { data } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Age } 36-45 \\ \mathrm{n}=704 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | continuous | national | 2,4 | 0,0 | 15,7 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | consumption data | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Age } 46-55 \\ \mathrm{n}=474 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | continuous | national | 25,8 | 0,0 | 168,0 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { consumption } \\ \text { data } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Age } 46-55 \\ \mathrm{n}=474 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | continuous | national | 2,4 | 0,0 | 15,7 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { consumption } \\ \text { data } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age >56 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=175 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 20,6 | 0,0 | 156,3 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | $\begin{gathered} \text { consumption } \\ \text { data } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Age }>56 \\ \mathrm{n}=175 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | continuous | national | 1,9 | 0,0 | 14,7 |  | urban/rural | representative |

[^3]Adults n (total) $=3059$, consumers only

| Food or group | Ref Year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95\% } \\ & \text { percentile } \\ & \text { g/d } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | $\begin{gathered} \text { consumption } \\ \text { data } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age }=25 \\ & \mathrm{n}=172 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 301 | 250 | 800 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age }=25 \\ & \mathrm{n}=12 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 362 | 300 | - |  | urban/rural | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { consumption } \\ \text { data } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } 26-35 \\ & \mathrm{n}=224 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 351 | 300 | 650 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | $\underset{\text { data }}{\text { consumption }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 26-35 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=17 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 263 | 250 | - |  | urban/rural | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | $\begin{gathered} \text { consumption } \\ \text { data } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } 36-45 \\ & \mathrm{n}=149 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 245 | 200 | 499 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } 36-45 \\ & \mathrm{n}=11 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 307 | 250 | - |  | urban/rural | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 46-55 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=68 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 361 | 250 | 760 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | $\underset{\text { data }}{\text { consumption }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 46-55 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=14 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 415 | 300 | - |  | urban/rural | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | $\begin{gathered} \text { consumption } \\ \text { data } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age }>56 \\ & \mathrm{n}=24 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 300 | 250 | - |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS*19 } \\ 94 / 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24-h-recall | $\begin{gathered} \text { consumption } \\ \text { data } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age }>56 \\ & \mathrm{n}=3 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 215 | 200 | - |  | urban/rural | representative |

*Austrian Study of Nutritional Status (Inst. of Nutritional Sciences, Vienna, Univ.Prof.Dr.I.Elmadfa)
$\begin{array}{ccl}637 & 20,10 \% & \text { consumer apple juice } \\ 57 & 1,90 \% & \text { consumer grape juice }\end{array}$

Children up to $19 \mathrm{n}=2295$, all population

| Food or group | Ref Year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \% \\ & \text { percentile } \\ & \text { g/d } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | 2001** | 3-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Age 3-6 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=122 \end{aligned}$ | spot | national | 61,85 | 50,60 | 204,87 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | 2001** | 3-dayweighing protocol | $\begin{gathered} \text { consumption } \\ \text { data } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 3-6 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=122 \end{aligned}$ | spot | national | 4,20 | 0,00 | 29,60 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & 1991 / 94 \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | $\begin{gathered} \text { consumption } \\ \text { data } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 7-9 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=379 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 92,1 | 81,3 | 249,0 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1991/94 } \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 7-9 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=379 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 8,6 | 7,6 | 23,3 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1991/94 } \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 10-12 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=640 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 99,9 | 78,1 | 314,5 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1991/94 } \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 10-12 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=640 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 9,4 | 7,3 | 29,5 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1991/94 } \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | $\underset{\text { data }}{\text { consumption }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 13-14 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=626 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 98,5 | 92,2 | 280,7 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1991/94 } \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Age 13-14 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=626 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 10,8 | 8,6 | 26,3 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1991/94 } \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { age } 15-19 \\ & \mathrm{n}=528 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 129,1 | 91,3 | 378,0 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1991/94 } \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { age } 15-19 \\ & \mathrm{n}=528 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 12,1 | 8,6 | 32,2 |  | urban/rural | representative |

[^4]Children up to 19, 3-6 year $n($ total $)=152,, 7-19$ year $n($ total $)=2173$, consumers only

| Food or group | Ref Year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95\% } \\ & \text { percentile } \\ & \text { g/d } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | 2001** | 3-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 3-6 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=89 \end{aligned}$ | spot | national | 231 | 225 | 500 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | 2001** | 3-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 3-6 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=3 \end{aligned}$ | spot | national | 85 | 100 | - |  | urban/rural | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1991/94 } \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 7-9 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=109 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 271 | 250 | 675 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1991/94 } \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 7-9 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=20 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 173 | 225 | ${ }^{-}$ |  | urban/rural | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1991/94 } \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } 10-12 \\ & \mathrm{n}=180 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 312 | 250 | 743 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1991/94 } \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 10-12 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=37 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 163 | 200 | - |  | urban/rural | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1991/94 } \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 13-14 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=225 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 299 | 250 | 650 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1991/94 } \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 13-14 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=25 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 270 | 200 | ${ }^{-}$ |  | urban/rural | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1991/94 } \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { age 15-19 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=175 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 398 | 300 | 766 |  | urban/rural | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1991/94 } \end{aligned}$ | 7 -dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { age 15-19 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=32 \end{aligned}$ | continuous | national | 207 | 225 | - |  | urban/rural | representative |

*Austrian Study of Nutritional Status (Inst. of Nutritional Sciences, Vienna, Univ.Prof.Dr.I.Elmadfa)
** Study Inst. of Nutritional Sciences, Vienna, Univ.Prof.Dr.I.Elmadfa
Age 3-6
$8958,60 \%$ consumer apple juice
3 2,00\% consumer grape juice
Age 7-19
$689 \quad 31,70 \%$ consumer apple juice
$114 \quad 5,20 \%$ consumer grape juice

Elderly persons $n=78$, regional, urban/Vienna, all population

| Food or group | Ref Year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \% \\ & \text { percentile } \\ & \text { g/d } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ \text { 1995/1997 } \end{gathered}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | age $=74$ | spot | regional | 26,7 | 0,0 | 156,3 |  | urban/Vienna | represantative |
| grape juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ 1995 / 1997 \end{gathered}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | age $=74$ | spot | regional | 2,5 | 0,0 | 14,7 |  | urban/Vienna | represantative |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ 1995 / 1997 \end{gathered}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | $\begin{gathered} \text { consumption } \\ \text { data } \end{gathered}$ | age 75-84 | spot | regional | 20,8 | 0,0 | 133,9 |  | urban/Vienna | represantative |
| grape juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ 1995 / 1997 \end{gathered}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | age 75-84 | spot | regional | 2,0 | 0,0 | 12,6 |  | urban/Vienna | represantative |

*Austrian Study of Nutritional Status (Inst. of Nutritional Sciences, Vienna, Univ.Prof.Dr.I.Elmadfa)
Elderly persons n (total) $=78$, regional, urban/Vienna, consumers only

| Food or group | Ref Year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 \% \\ & \text { percentile } \\ & \text { g/d } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ 1995 / 1997 \end{gathered}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{age}=74 \\ & \mathrm{n}=4 \end{aligned}$ | spot | regional | 230 | 250 | - |  | urban/Vienna | represantative |
| grape juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ \text { 1995/1997 } \end{gathered}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{age}=74 \\ & \mathrm{n}=2 \end{aligned}$ | spot | regional | 160 | 135 | - |  | urban/Vienna | represantative |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ \text { 1995/1997 } \end{gathered}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { age } 75-84 \\ & \mathrm{n}=2 \end{aligned}$ | spot | regional | 241 | 250 | - |  | urban/Vienna | represantative |
| grape juice | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ 1995 / 1997 \end{gathered}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | $\begin{aligned} & \text { age 75-84 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=1 \end{aligned}$ | spot | regional | 88 | 88 | - |  | urban/Vienna | represantative |

*Austrian Study of Nutritional Status (Inst. of Nutritional Sciences, Vienna, Univ.Prof.Dr.I.Elmadfa)

Pregnant women, lactating women, all population

| Food or group | Ref Year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \% \\ & \text { percentile } \\ & \text { g/d } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1996/97 } \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | pregnant women $\mathrm{n}=302$ | continuous | regional | 126,0 | 99,4 | 310,4 |  | urban/Vienna | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & 1996 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | pregnant women $\mathrm{n}=302$ | continuous | regional | 11,8 | 9,3 | 29,1 |  | urban/Vienna | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1996/97 } \end{aligned}$ | 3-day- weighing protocol | $\begin{gathered} \text { consumption } \\ \text { data } \end{gathered}$ | lactating women $\mathrm{n}=107$ | continuous | regional | 110,0 | 62,5 | 378,9 |  | urban/Vienna | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & 1996 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | 3-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | lactating women $\mathrm{n}=107$ | continuous | regional | 10,3 | 5,9 | 35,5 |  | urban/Vienna | representative |

*Austrian Study of Nutritional Status (Inst. of Nutritional Sciences, Vienna, Univ.Prof.Dr.I.Elmadfa)
Pregnant womenn $(\mathbf{t o t a l})=\mathbf{3 0 2}$, lactating women $\mathbf{n}($ total $)=\mathbf{1 0 7}$, consumers only

| Food or group | Ref Year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 \% \\ & \text { percentile } \\ & \text { g/d } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1996/97 } \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | $\begin{aligned} & \text { consumption } \\ & \text { data } \end{aligned}$ | pregnant women $\mathrm{n}=159$ | continuous | regional | 257 | 236 | 282 |  | urban/Vienna | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & 1996 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | 7-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | pregnant women $\mathrm{n}=4$ | continuous | regional | 203 | 188 | - |  | urban/Vienna | representative |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & 1996 / 97 \end{aligned}$ | 3-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | lactating women $\mathrm{n}=19$ | continuous | regional | 419 | 300 | 600 |  | urban/Vienna | representative |
| grape juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ASNS* } \\ & \text { 1996/97 } \end{aligned}$ | 3-dayweighing protocol | consumption data | lactating women $\mathrm{n}=2$ | continuous | regional | 504 | 350 | - |  | urban/Vienna | representative |

*Austrian Study of Nutritional Status (Inst. of Nutritional Sciences, Vienna, Univ.Prof.Dr.I.Elmadfa)
pregnant women

| 159 | $52,60 \%$ | consumer apple juice |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 4 | $1,30 \%$ | consumer grape juice |

lactating women

| 19 | $17,80 \%$ | consumer apple juice |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 2 | $1,90 \%$ | consumer grape juice |

## Belgium

Teenagers from Ghent: population

| Food or group | Ref Year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 95 \% \\ \text { percentile } \\ \text { g/d } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | Survey in 1997 | 7-day estimated food record | All food and beverages consumed, incl home grown, eaten outside | 341 | Spot | Urban +surroundi ngs | 16.5 | 0 | 114 | Dietary survey | Urban Ghent | No |
| grape juice | 1997 | idem | idem | idem | idem | idem | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | idem | Urban Ghent | No |
| apple compote | 1997 | idem | idem | idem | idem | idem | 11 | 0 | 50 | idem | Urban Ghent | No |

Teenagers from Ghent: consumers only

| Food or group | Ref Year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data <br> Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | 95\% <br> percentile <br> g/d <br> 374 | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple <br> juice | idem | idem | idem | idem | idem | idem | 108 | 64 | 374 | idem | idem | No |
| grape <br> juice | idem | idem | idem | idem | idem | idem | 41 | 29 | - | idem | idem | No |
| apple compote | idem | idem | idem | idem | idem | idem | 30 | 23 | 70 | idem | idem | No |

## France

## ADULTS - all population

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { g/d } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ \text { g/d } \end{gathered}$ | $95 \%$ percentile g/d | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 1474 adults 15 years and over | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 2.25 | 0 | 0 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| apple puree | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 1474 adults 15 years and over | During one year <br> August 98-june 99 | National | 6.08 | 0 | 42.9 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| cider | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 1474 adults 15 years and over | During one year <br> August 98-june 99 | National | 3.54 | 0 | 17.1 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |

ADULTS - consumers only

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $95 \%$ percentile g/d | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 51 adults 15 years and over | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 65.0 | 34.3 | 188.6 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| apple puree | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 269 adults <br> 15 years and over | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 33.3 | 24.6 | 85.7 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| cider | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 84 adults 15 years and over | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 62.2 | 31.4 | 205.7 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |

ADULT MALES - all population

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { g/d } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d} \end{gathered}$ | $95 \%$ percentile g/d | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 672 adult males 15 years and over | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 2.64 | 0 | 0 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| apple puree | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 672 adult males 15 years and over | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 4.59 | 0 | 28.6 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| cider | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 672 adult males 15 years and over | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 5.03 | 0 | 17.1 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |

ADULT FEMALES - all population

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | $\begin{gathered} \text { Geograph } \\ \text { ical } \\ \text { level } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ \text { g/d } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { percentile } \\ \text { g/d } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 802 adult females 15 years and over | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 1.92 | 0 | 0 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| apple puree | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 802 adult females 15 years and over | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 7.33 | 0 | 42.9 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| cider | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 802 adult females 15 years and over | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 2.3 | 0 | 17.1 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |

CHILDREN - all population

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $95 \%$ percentile g/d | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 1018 children under 15 years | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 9 | 0 | 57.1 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| apple puree | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 1018 children under 15 years | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 6.6 | 0 | 42.9 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| cider | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 1018 children under 15 years | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |

CHILDREN - consumers only

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d} \end{gathered}$ | Median g/d | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { percentile } \\ \text { g/d } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 166 children under 15 years | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 55.3 | 35.7 | 171.4 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| apple puree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 262 children under 15 years | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 25.7 | 14.3 | 57.1 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| cider | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 20 children under 15 years | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 23.8 | 21.4 | 44.6 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |

CHILDREN 3-5 years - all population

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { g/d } \end{gathered}$ | Median g/d | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { percentile } \\ \text { g/d } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 243 children <br> 3-5 years | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 9.81 | 0 | 57.1 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| apple puree | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 243 children <br> 3-5 years | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 8.28 | 0 | 42.8 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| cider | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 243 children <br> 3-5 years | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |

CHILDREN 6-8 years - all population

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | $\begin{gathered} \text { Geograph } \\ \text { ical } \\ \text { level } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { g/d } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d} \end{gathered}$ | $95 \%$ percentile g/d | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 269 children <br> 6-8 years | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 12.69 | 0 | 71.4 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| apple puree | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 269 children 6-8 years | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 8.42 | 0 | 42.8 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| cider | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 269 children <br> 6-8 years | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |

CHILDREN 9-11 years - all population

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d} \end{gathered}$ | $\qquad$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 238 children 9-11 years | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 6.64 | 0 | 35.7 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { apple } \\ & \text { puree } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 \text { day } \\ & \text { dietary } \\ & \text { records } \end{aligned}$ | All foods and beverage consumed | $\begin{aligned} & 238 \text { children } \\ & 9-11 \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 5.45 | 0 | 28.6 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| cider | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 238 children 9-11 years | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |

CHILDREN 12-14 years - all population

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { g/d } \end{gathered}$ | Median g/d | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { percentile } \\ \text { g/d } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 268 children 12-14 years | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 6.72 | 0 | 51.4 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| apple puree | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | $\begin{aligned} & 268 \text { children } \\ & 12-14 \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 4.32 | 0 | 28.6 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |
| cider | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCA } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 268 children 12-14 years | During one year August 98-june 99 | National | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | Dietary survey | national | Yes |

## Germany

## 4-6 years old girls -consumers only

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { percentile } \\ \text { g/d } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie <br> 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 435 | continuous | national without new Länder | 114,8 | 78,6 | 341 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| pear juice | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 24 | continuous | national without new Länder | 33,7 | 23,3 | 92 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| grape juice <br> red and <br> white | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 26 | continuous | national without new Länder | 47,9 | 32,2 | 144,8 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| apple purre and compote | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 4 | continuous | national without new Länder | 19,6 | 21,4 |  | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| tomato canned | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 103 | continuous | national without new Länder | 6,2 | 2,3 | 19,7 | Dietary survey | national | yes |

## 4-6 years old girls - all population

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d} \end{gathered}$ | $95 \%$ percentile g/d | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 469 | continuous | national without new Länder | 106 |  | 316 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| pear juice | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie <br> 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 469 | continuous | national without new Länder | 1,7 | 0 | 4,7 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| grape juice <br> red and <br> white | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 469 | continuous | national without new Länder | 2,7 | 0 | 8,0 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| apple purre and compote | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 469 | continuous | national without new Länder | 0,2 | 0 |  | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| tomato canned | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie <br> 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 469 | continuous | national without new Länder | 1,4 | 0 | 4,3 | Dietary survey | national | yes |

## 6-14 years old children-consumers only

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d} \end{gathered}$ | $95 \%$ percentile g/d | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie <br> 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 747 | continuous | national without new Länder | 133,5 | 85,7 | 400 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| pear juice | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 25 | continuous | national without new Länder | 51,6 | 28,6 | 155,7 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| grape juice <br> red and <br> white | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 50 | continuous | national without new Länder | 63,3 | 40 | 211,4 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| apple puree and compote | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 10 | continuous | national without new Länder | 26,6 | 25,7 | 50 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| tomato canned | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie <br> 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 246 | continuous | national without new Länder | 7,7 | 2,6 | 25,7 | Dietary survey | national | yes |

6-14 years old children - all population

| Food or group | Ref <br> year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { g/d } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d} \end{gathered}$ | $95 \%$ percentile g/d | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 2367 | continuous | national without new Länder | 42 | 0 | 126 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| pear juice | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 2367 | continuous | national without new Länder | 0,5 | 0 | 1,6 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| grape juice <br> red and <br> white | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 2367 | continuous | national without new Länder | 1,3 | 0 | 4,5 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| apple puree and compote | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 2367 | continuous | national without new Länder | 0,1 | 0 | 0,2 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| tomato canned | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 2367 | continuous | national without new Länder | 0,8 | 0 | 2,7 | Dietary survey | national | yes |

## >14 years old adults-consumers only

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d |  | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 3265 | continuous | national without new Länder | 102,2 | 66,7 | 314,3 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| pear juice | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 171 | continuous | national without new Länder | 43,9 | 28,6 | 114,3 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| grape juice red and white | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 367 | continuous | national without new Länder | 55,2 | 42,9 | 142,9 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| apple puree and compote | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 80 | continuous | national without new Länder | 27,5 | 18,5 | 85,3 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| tomato canned | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 1815 | continuous | national without new Länder | 9,5 | 2,6 | 40,5 | Dietary survey | national | yes |

>14 years old adults - all population

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d} \end{gathered}$ | $95 \%$ percentile g/d | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | nationale Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 20125 | continuous | national without new Länder | 16,6 | 0 | 51 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| pear juice | nationale Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 20125 | continuous | national without new Länder | 0,4 | 0 | 1,0 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| grape juice red and white | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 20125 | continuous | national without new Länder | 1,0 | 0 | 2,6 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| apple puree and compote | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 20125 | continuous | national without new Länder | 0,1 | 0 | 0,3 | Dietary survey | national | yes |
| tomato canned | nationale <br> Verzehrsstudie 1985-88 | 7 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 20125 | continuous | national without new Länder | 0,9 | 0 | 3,7 | Dietary survey | national | yes |

girls - all population**

| Age | Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $90 \%$ percentile g/d | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | DONALD- <br> Studie, 1985- <br> 1996 | 3 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 21 | continuous | Dortmund, regional | 50 | 65 | 83 | Dietary survey | regional | yes |
| 1,5 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | DONALD- <br> Studie, 1985- <br> 1996 | 3 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 40 | continuous | Dortmund, regional | 86 | 51 | 231 | Dietary survey | regional | yes |
| 2 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | DONALD- <br> Studie, 1985- <br> 1996 | 3 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 13 | continuous | Dortmund, regional | 72 | 69 | 175 | Dietary survey | regional | yes |
| 3 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | DONALD- <br> Studie, 1985- <br> 1996 | 3 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 12 | continuous | Dortmund, regional | 121 | 93 | 288 | Dietary survey | regional | yes |

boys - all population**

| Age | Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d} \end{gathered}$ | $90 \%$ percentile g/d | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | DONALD- <br> Studie, 1985- <br> 1996 | 3 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 14 | continuous | Dortmund, regional | 47 | 27 | 57 | Dietary survey | regional | yes |
| 1,5 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | DONALD- <br> Studie, 1985- <br> 1996 | 3 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 34 | continuous | Dortmund, regional | 120 | 106 | 287 | Dietary survey | regional | yes |
| 2 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | DONALD- <br> Studie, 1985- <br> 1996 | 3 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 13 | continuous | Dortmund, regional | 73 | 80 | 140 | Dietary survey | regional | yes |
| 3 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | DONALD- <br> Studie, 1985- <br> 1996 | 3 day dietary records | All foods and beverage consumed | 6 | continuous | Dortmund, regional | 157 | 59 | 547 | Dietary survey | regional | yes |

**the DONALD-study specify the food consumption of all fruit products, the fruit juice and the fresh fruit. For the evaluation the data are calculated: (all fruit products -fresh fruit) = fruit juice and canned and processed fruit. Here the supposition is that the fruits are $100 \%$ apple and therefore it is calculated the worst case of daily intake.

## Italy

Population (total sample)

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 95 \% \\ \text { percentile } \\ \text { g/d } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 1978 | Continuos (three time) | National | 52,88 | 25,00 | 203,81 | Householdindividual data | National | yes |
| pear | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 1978 | Continuos (three time) | National | 16,87 | 0,00 | 91,43 | Householdindividual data | National | yes |
| peach | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 1978 | Continuos (three time) | National | 23,30 | 0,00 | 142,86 | Householdindividual data | National | yes |
| fruit juice | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 1978 | Continuos (three time) | National | 14,06 | 0,00 | 100,00 | Householdindividual data | National | yes |
| citrus fruit juice | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 1978 | Continuos (three time) | National | 5,46 | 0,00 | 30,71 | Householdindividual data | National | yes |
| fruit dry | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 1978 | Continuos (three time) | National | 0,71 | 0,00 | 0,00 | Householdindividual data | National | yes |
| homogenised | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 1978 | Continuos (three time) | National | 0,07 | 0,00 | 0,00 | Householdindividual data | National | yes |
| fruit preserved (nectar, puree) | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 1978 | Continuos (three time) | National | 0,78 | 0,00 | 28,57 | Householdindividual data | National | yes |
| milk flour | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 1978 | Continuos (three time) | National | 0,29 | 0,0 |  | Householdindividual data | National | yes |

## Consumers (total sample)

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 95 \% \\ \text { percentile } \\ \text { g/d } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 1200 | Continuos (three time) | National | 87,17 | 64,29 | 245,40 | Householdindividual data | National | yes |
| pear | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 607 | Continuos (three time) | National | 54,98 | 45,00 | 135,63 | Householdindividual data | National | yes |
| peach | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 532 | Continuos (three time) | National | 86,62 | 62,84 | 232,50 | Householdindividual data | National | yes |
| fruit juice | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 364 | Continuos (three time) | National | 76,39 | 53,57 | 212,80 | Householdindividual data | National | yes |
| citrus fruit juice | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 253 | Continuos (three time) | National | 42,67 | 17,86 | 171,43 | Householdindividual data | National | yes |
| fruit dry | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 61 | Continuos (three time) | National | 23,03 | 12,86 | 72,57 | Householdindividual data | National | yes |
| homogenised | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 24 | Continuos (three time) | National | 5,83 | 4,90 | 16,52 | Householdindividual data | National | yes |
| fruit preserved (nectar, puree) | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 57 | Continuos (three time) | National | 26,99 | 23,34 | 81,43 | Householdindividual data | National | yes |
| milk flour | 5/94-96 | Diary selfcompiled | All food consumed | 6 | Continuos (three time) | National | 94,43 | 78,15 |  | Householdindividual data | National | yes |

## Norway

Adults 19-79 years old (consumers only)

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 \% \\ & \text { percentile } \\ & \text { g/d } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Juice and nectar | Johannson, 1997 | Dietary record | Food intake | 4980 | spot | National | 74 | 21 | 300 | Dietary survey | national | Yes, but not for Patulin intake |

The data we have on consumption is from from 1997, and it includes all kinds of juices and nectars. Orange juice make $60 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$, i.e other juices and nectars make $14 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$. The biggest contributor to these $14 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ are apple juice and -nectar.

Average person (all population)

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{d} \text { or } \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d} \end{gathered}$ | Median | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { percentile } \end{gathered}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | $\begin{gathered} 1998- \\ 99 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Retail } \\ \text { statistics } \end{gathered}$ | Total consume | - | During one year | national | 2,5 | - | - | - | national | YES |
| apple nectar | $\begin{gathered} 1998- \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ | Retail statistics | Total consume | - | During one year | national | 13,1 | - | - | - | national | YES |
| apple cider | $\begin{gathered} 1998- \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ | Retail statistics | Total consume | - | During one year | national | 5,7 | - | - | - | national | YES |

The consumption data for all population are based on sales statistics of apple juice, - nectar and - cider for retail distribution.

## Portugal

Average person (all population)

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean ml/person.day or mg/person.day | Median | 95\% percentile | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| clear apple juice | 1/2001 |  |  |  |  | N | 0,86 |  |  |  | N | YES |
| cloudy apple juice | 1/2001 |  |  |  |  | N | 0,86 |  |  | " | N | YES |
| apple and other fruits cloudy juice | 1/2001 |  |  |  |  | N | 0,86 |  |  | " | N | YES |
| milk and apple juice | 1/2001 |  |  |  |  | N | 0,86 |  |  | " | N | YES |
| cloudy pear juice | 1/2001 |  |  |  |  | N | 0,86 |  |  | " | N | YES |
| pear and other fruits cloudy juice | 1/2001 |  |  |  |  | N | 0,86 |  |  | " | N | YES |
| milk and pear juice | 1/2001 |  |  |  |  | N | 0,86 |  |  | " | N | YES |
| apple puree | 1/2001 |  |  |  |  | N | * |  |  | " | N | YES |
| pear puree | 1/2001 |  |  |  |  | N | * |  |  | " | N | YES |

* There isn't direct consumption of apple and pear purees, because they are only used by industry.

The data we have on consumption is dated from 1997, and it includes all kinds of juices, without distinction. But we asked the industry on production of each category of juice, and they told us that there was a production of about $5 \%$ of each, and we assumed that the all production is consumed.

## Spain

| Food or group | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ref } \\ \text { Year } \end{array}$ | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/p/day | Median | $95 \%$ <br> percentile | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fruit juices (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ref } 1 \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | Purchase records | Purchase records | 5,400 households, 700 establishments , 200 institutions | Continuous (every 3 years) | National | 6.03 | (2) | (2) | Household budget survey | All regions | Yes |
| fruit juices (all fruit juices) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ref 2 } \\ & 1989 \end{aligned}$ | Dietary records 24-hours recall on three different days and a food frequency questionnaire | All foods and beverages | $\begin{aligned} & \quad \underset{ }{\mathrm{N}=} \\ & 2348 \text { (Adults, } 25- \\ & 65 \text { years) } \end{aligned}$ | Spot | Regional | 27.9 | (2) | (2) | Dietary survey | Basque Country | Yes for Basque Country |

(1) fruit juices except grape, orange, peach and pineapple juices. It is not possible to get data of apple juices.
(2) Not available

Ref. 1 The Food consumption data come from the publication "La alimentación en España" published in 1998 by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fishery and Food. The data are refered to purchases of foods ( kg or litre).
Ref. 2 Departamento de Sanidad, Gobierno Vasco, 1994, Encuesta de Nutrición de la Comunidad Autónoma del País Vasco. Servicio Central de Publicaciones de Gobierno Vasco, Vitoria-Gasteiz.

## Sweden

Adult people between 19-74 years old, both sexes

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 95 \% \\ & \text { percentile } \\ & \text { g/d } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| soups and purees | 1997 1) | dietary records | all foods | 1200 | 2) | national | 1,4* |  | 5 * | 4) | 3) | yes |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49 ** |  |  |  |  |  |
| apple juices | 1997 1) | dietary records | all foods | 1200 | 2) | national | 0,2 * |  |  | 4) | 3) | yes |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 ** |  |  |  |  |  |
| mixed juices | 1997 1) | dietary records | all foods | 1200 | 2) | national | 88 * |  |  | 4) | 3) | yes |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 182 ** |  |  |  |  |  |

* this is the mean value among all adult people between 19-74 years old, both sexes.
** this is the mean value among only consumers of the certain food categorie

1) Ref: Riksmaten
2) spread out, by chance, among the swedish population. Each participating person made notes during one week
3) all over the country
4) dietary surveys

## All adult people between 15-74 years old, both sexes, all population

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95\% } \\ & \text { percentile } \\ & \text { g/d } \end{aligned}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| soups and purees | 1994 1) | dietary records | all foods | 1650 | 2) | national | 62 |  | 170 | 4) | 3) | yes |
| all different juices | 1994 1) | dietary records | all foods | 1650 | 2) | national | 130 |  | 340 | 4) | 3) | yes |

1) Ref: HULKEN
2) spread out, by chance, among the swedish population. Each participating person made notes during one week
3) all over the country
4) dietary surveys

Children between 7-14 years old, both sexes, all population

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean g/d | Median g/d | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95\% } \\ & \text { percentile } \\ & \text { g/d } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| soups and purees | 1994 1) | dietary records | all foods | 200 | 2) | national | 74 |  | 180 | 4) | 3) | yes |
| all different juices *** | 1994 1) | dietary records | all foods | 200 | 2) | national | 150 |  | 400 | 4) | 3) | yes |

*** It is calculated that the proportion of orange juice of all juices imported to Sweden is about $50 \%$. But it is only in table 3 I have taken this into account in my calculations

1) Ref: HULKEN
2) spread out, by chance, among the swedish population. Each participating person made notes during one week
3) all over the country
4) dietary surveys

## United Kingdom

ADULTS (ages 16-64), consumers only

| Group | Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean | Median | $95 \%$ <br> percentile | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male and Female | Apple juice | 1986/87 | NDNS, dietary weighted record. | All beverages consumed | 185/2197 | Continuous 7days | National | 44.2 | - | 121.3 | Dietary surveys | National | Yes |
| Male | Apple juice | 1986/87 | NDNS, dietary weighted record. | All beverages consumed | 66/1087 | Continuous 7days | National | 47.4 | - | 147.4 | Dietary surveys | National | Yes |
| Female | Apple juice | 1986/87 | NDNS, dietary weighted record. | All beverages consumed | 119/1110 | Continuous 7days | National | 42.4 | - | 109.7 | Dietary surveys | National | Yes |

TODDLERS (ages 1.5-4.5), consumers only

| Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample <br> size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean | Median | $95 \%$ <br> percentile | Method | $\begin{gathered} \text { Regi } \\ \text { on } \end{gathered}$ | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple juice | 1992/93 | NDNS interview questionnaires and weighted dietary records | All food consumed | 205/1675 | Continuous 4days | National | 92 | - | 273.7 | Dietary survey | National | Yes |

YOUNG PEOPLE (AGES 4-18), consumers only

| Group | Food or group | Ref year | Survey methods | Typology of Data | Sample size | Data Collection | Geographical level | Mean | Median | $95 \%$ <br> percentile | Method | Region | Representative for the member state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-18 years | apple <br> juice | 1997 | NDNS interview questionnaires and weighted dietary records | All food consumed | 303/1701 | Continuous 7days | National | 76.3 | - | 224.3 | Dietary survey | National | Yes |
| 4-6 years | apple juice | 1997 | NDNS interview questionnaires and weighted dietary records | All food consumed | 70/355 | Continuous 7days | National | 67.7 | - | 241.2 | Dietary survey | National | Yes |
| 7-10 years | apple juice | 1997 | NDNS interview questionnaires and weighted dietary records | All food consumed | 99/482 | Continuous 7days | National | 78.9 | - | 228 | Dietary survey | National | Yes |
| 11-14 years | apple juice | 1997 | NDNS interview questionnaires and weighted dietary records | All food consumed | 92/475 | Continuous 7days | National | 74.8 | - | 194.2 | Dietary survey | National | Yes |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 15-18 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | apple juice | 1997 | NDNS interview questionnaires and weighted dietary records | All food consumed | 42/389 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Continuous - } \\ & 7 \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | National | 85.6 | - | 223.5 | Dietary survey | National | Yes |

Table 2B: Essential of the best estimates of food consumption for each country

## Austria

## Adults, all population

|  | Food or group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \% ~ p e r c e n t i l e ~$ <br> $(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age $=25$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 63,3 | 0,0 | 312,5 |
|  | grape juice | 5,9 | 0,0 | 29,3 |
| Age 26-35 |  |  | 0,0 |  |
|  | apple juice | 38,8 | 0,0 | 259,0 |
|  | grape juice | 3,6 |  | 24,3 |
| Age 36-45 |  |  | 0,0 | 168,0 |
|  | apple juice | 25,8 | 0,0 | 15,7 |
|  | grape juice | 2,4 | 0,0 | 168,0 |
| Age 46-55 |  |  | 0,0 | 15,7 |
|  | apple juice | 2,8 |  |  |
|  | grape juice |  | 2,4 | 0,0 |
| Age $>56$ |  | 1,9 | 0,0 | 156,3 |
|  | apple juice | grape juice |  |  |

Adults, consumers only

|  | Food or group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95\% percentile } \\ & \text { (g/d) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age $=25$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 301 | 250 | 800 |
|  | grape juice | 362 | 300 | - |
| Age 26-35 |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 351 | 300 | 650 |
|  | grape juice | 263 | 250 | - |
| Age 36-45 |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 245 | 200 | 499 |
|  | grape juice | 307 | 250 | - |
| Age 46-55 |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 361 | 250 | 760 |
|  | grape juice | 415 | 300 | - |
| Age >56 |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 300 | 250 | - |
|  | grape juice | - | - | - |

Children up to 19, all population

|  | Food or group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ percentile <br> $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age 3-6 |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 61,85 | 50,60 | 204,87 |
|  | grape juice | 4,20 | 0,00 | 29,60 |
| Age 7-9 |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 92,1 | 81,3 | 249,0 |
| Age 10-12 | grape juice | 8,6 | 7,6 | 23,3 |
|  |  | 99,9 | 78,1 | 314,5 |
|  | apple juice | 9,4 | 7,3 | 29,5 |
| Age 13-14 | grape juice |  |  |  |
|  |  | 98,5 | 92,2 | 280,7 |
|  | apple juice | 10,8 | 8,6 | 26,3 |
| Age 15-19 | grape juice |  |  |  |
|  |  | 129,1 | 91,3 | 378,0 |
|  | apple juice | 12,1 | 8,6 | 32,2 |

Children up to 19, consumers only

|  | Food or group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | 95\% percentile (g/d) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age 3-6 |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 231 | 225 | 500 |
|  | grape juice | - | - | - |
| Age 7-9 |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 271 | 250 | 675 |
|  | grape juice | 173 | 225 | - |
| Age 10-12 |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 312 | 250 | 743 |
|  | grape juice | 163 | 200 | - |
| Age 13-14 |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 299 | 250 | 650 |
|  | grape juice | 270 | 200 | - |
| Age 15-19 |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 398 | 300 | 766 |
|  | grape juice | 207 | 225 | - |

Elderly persons, all population

|  | Food or group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ percentile <br> $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age $=74$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 26,7 | 0,0 | 156,3 |
|  | grape juice | 2,5 | 0,0 | 14,7 |
| Age 75-84 |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 20,8 | 0,0 | 133,9 |
|  | grape juice | 2,0 | 0,0 | 12,6 |

Elderly persons, consumers only, not enough data

Pregnant Women, lactating women, all population

|  | Food or group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ percentile <br> $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pregnant women |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 126,0 | 99,4 | 310,4 |
|  | grape juice | 11,8 | 9,3 | 29,1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| lactating women |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 110,0 | 62,5 | 378,9 |
|  | grape juice | 10,3 | 5,9 | 35,5 |

Pregnant Women, lactating women, consumers only

|  | Food or group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ percentile <br> $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pregnant women |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 257 | 236 | 282 |
|  | grape juice | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| lactating women |  | 419 | 300 | 600 |
|  | apple juice | - | - | - |

## Belgium

## Teenagers

|  | Food or group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ percentile <br> $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teenagers from Ghent: <br> population |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 16.5 | 0 | 114 |
|  | grape juice | 1.2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | apple compote | 11 | 0 | 50 |
| Teenagers from Ghent: <br> consumers only |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 108 | 64 | 374 |
|  | grape juice | 41 | 29 | - |
|  | apple compote | 30 | 23 | 70 |

## France

## Adults

|  | Food or group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ percentile <br> $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADULTS - all population |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 2.25 | 0 | 0 |
|  | apple puree | 6.08 | 0 | 42.9 |
|  | cider | 3.54 | 0 | 17.1 |
| ADULTS - consumers only |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 65.0 | 34.3 | 188.6 |
|  | apple puree | 33.3 | 24.6 | 85.7 |
|  | cider | 62.2 | 31.4 | 205.7 |
| ADULT MALES- all <br> population |  | 2.64 | 0 | 0 |
|  | apple juice | 4.59 | 0 | 28.6 |
|  | apple puree | 5.03 | 0 | 17.1 |
|  | cider |  |  |  |
| ADULT FEMALES - all <br> population |  | 1.92 | 0 | 0 |
|  | apple juice | 7.33 | 0.3 | 0 |
|  | apple puree |  |  | 17.1 |

Children

|  | Food or group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \% \text { percentile } \\ & \text { (g/d) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHILDREN - all population |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 9 | 0 | 57.1 |
|  | apple puree | 6.6 | 0 | 42.9 |
|  | cider | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |
| CHILDERN - consumeronly |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 55.3 | 35.7 | 171.4 |
|  | apple puree | 25.7 | 14.3 | 57.1 |
|  | cider | 23.8 | 21.4 | 44.6 |
| CHILDREN 3-5 years- all population |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 9.81 | 0 | 57.1 |
|  | apple puree | 8.28 | 0 | 42.8 |
|  | cider | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| CHILDREN 6-8 years- all population |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 12.69 | 0 | 71.4 |
|  | apple puree | 8.42 | 0 | 42.8 |
|  | cider | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |
| CHILDREN 9-11 yearsall population |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 6.64 | 0 | 35.7 |
|  | apple puree | 5.45 | 0 | 28.6 |
|  | cider | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| CHILDREN 12-14 yearsall population |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 6.72 | 0 | 51.4 |
|  | apple puree | 4.32 | 0 | 28.6 |
|  | cider | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |

## Germany

Consumers only

|  | Food or group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ percentile <br> ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-6 years old girls |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 114,8 | 78,6 | 341 |
|  | pear juice | 33,7 | 23,3 | 92 |
|  | grape juice red and white | 47,9 | 32,2 | 144,8 |
|  | apple puree and compote |  | 21,4 |  |
|  | tomato canned | 6,2 | 2,3 | 19,7 |
| 6-14 years old children |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 133,5 | 85,7 | 400 |
|  | pear juice | 51,6 | 28,6 | 155,7 |
|  | grape juice red and white | 63,3 | 40 | 211,4 |
|  | apple puree and compote | 26,6 | 25,7 | 50 |
|  | tomato canned | 7,7 | 2,6 | 25,7 |
| >14 years old adults |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 102,2 | 66,7 | 314,3 |
|  | pear juice | 43,9 | 28,6 | 114,3 |
|  | grape juice red and white | 55,2 | 42,9 | 142,9 |
|  | apple puree and compote | 27,5 | 18,5 | 85,3 |
|  | tomato canned | 9,5 | 2,6 | 40,5 |

## All population

|  | Food or group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | 90\% percentile (g/d) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| girls |  |  |  |  |
| 1 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | 50 | 65 | 83 |
| 1,5 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | 86 | 51 | 231 |
| 2 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | 72 | 69 | 175 |
| 3 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | 121 | 93 | 288 |
| boys |  |  |  |  |
| 1 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | 47 | 27 | 57 |
| 1,5 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | 120 | 106 | 287 |
| 2 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | 73 | 80 | 140 |
| 3 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | 157 | 59 | 547 |
|  | Food or group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ percentile $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| 4-6 years old girls |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 106 |  | 316 |
|  | pear juice | 1,7 | 0 | 4,7 |
|  | grape juice red and white | 2,7 | 0 | 8,0 |
|  | apple puree and compote | 0,2 | 0 |  |
|  | tomato canned | 1,4 | 0 | 4,3 |
| 6-14 years old children |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 42 | 0 | 126 |
|  | pear juice | 0,5 | 0 | 1,6 |
|  | grape juice red and white | 1,3 | 0 | 4,5 |
|  | apple puree and compote | 0,1 | 0 | 0,2 |
|  | tomato canned | 0,8 | 0 | 2,7 |
| >14 years old adults |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 16,6 | 0 | 51 |
|  | pear juice | 0,4 | 0 | 1,0 |
|  | grape juice red and white | 1,0 | 0 | 2,6 |
|  | apple puree and compote | 0,1 | 0 | 0,3 |
|  | tomato canned | 0,9 | 0 | 3,7 |

## Italy

## Total sample

| Food or group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ percentile <br> $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple | 52,88 | 25,00 | 203,81 |
| pear | 16,87 | 0,00 | 91,43 |
| peach | 23,30 | 0,00 | 142,86 |
| fruit juice | 14,06 | 0,00 | 100,00 |
| citrus fruit juice | 5,46 | 0,00 | 30,71 |
| fruit dry | 0,71 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| homogenised | 0,07 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| fruit preserved <br> (nectar, puree) | 0,78 | 0,00 | 28,57 |
| milk flour | 0,29 | 0,0 |  |

## Consumers (total sample)

| Food or group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ <br> $(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple | 87,17 | 64,29 | 245,40 |
| pear | 54,98 | 45,00 | 135,63 |
| peach | 86,62 | 62,84 | 232,50 |
| fruit juice | 76,39 | 53,57 | 212,80 |
| citrus fruit juice | 42,67 | 17,86 | 171,43 |
| fruit dry | 23,03 | 12,86 | 72,57 |
| homogenised | 5,83 | 4,90 | 16,52 |
| fruit preserved <br> (nectar, puree) | 26,99 | 23,34 | 81,43 |
| milk flour | 94,43 | 78,15 |  |

## Norway

Consumers only

|  | Food or <br> group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ percentile <br> $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults $19-79$ years old* | juice and nectar | 74 | 21 | 300 |

## All population

|  | Food or <br> group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ percentile <br> $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average person** |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 2,5 |  |  |
|  | apple nectar | 13,1 |  |  |
|  | apple cider | 5,7 |  |  |

* consumption data for all kind of juices and nectars (ref. Johansson, L., Sovoll, 1997) Orange juice makes $60 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$, that means other juices and nectars make $14 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$. The biggest contributor to these $14 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ are apple juice and -nectar.
** based on sale statistics for real distribution (ref. Nielsen Norge, 1998-1999)


## Portugal

## Average person (all population)

| Food or <br> group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ percentile <br> $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| clear apple juice | 0,86 |  |  |
| cloudy apple juice | 0,86 |  |  |
| apple and other fruits <br> cloudy juice | 0,86 |  |  |
| milk and apple juice | 0,86 |  |  |
| cloudy pear juice | 0,86 |  |  |
| pear and other fruits <br> cloudy juice | 0,86 |  |  |
| milk and pear juice | 0,86 |  |  |
| apple puree | $*$ |  |  |
| pear puree | $*$ |  |  |

[^5]
## Spain

## Adults, all population

| Food or <br> group | Mean <br> $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{day}$ | Median | $95 \%$ <br> percentile |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ref 1 (1) | 6.03 |  |  |
| Ref 2 all fruit juices | 27.9 |  |  |

(1) Fruit juices except grape, orange, peach and pineapple juices.

Ref. 1 The Food consumption data included in table 2A1 and table 2 enclosed with this document come from the publication "La alimentación en España" published in 1998 by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fishery and Food.
The data are refered to purchases of foods ( kg or litre).
Ref. 2 Departamento de Sanidad, Gobierno Vasco, 1994, Encuesta de Nutrición de la Comunidad Autónoma del País Vasco. Servicio Central de Publicaciones de Gobierno Vasco, Vitoria-Gasteiz.

## Sweden

Adults, both sexes, between 15-74 years old, all population

| Food or <br> group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ percentile <br> $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| purees and soups | 62 |  | 170 |
| all different juices * | 130 |  | 340 |

## Ref: HULKEN

* about $50 \%$ of all consumed juices is pure orange juice


## Children, both sexes, between 7-14 years old, all population

| Food or <br> group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ percentile <br> $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| purees and soups | 74 |  | 180 |
| all different juices $*$ | 150 |  | 400 |

Ref: HULKEN

* about $50 \%$ of all consumed juices are pure orange juice


## Adults, both sexes, between 19-74 years old

| Food or <br> group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ percentile <br> $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| purees and soups | $1,4^{*}$ |  | $5 *$ |
|  | $49 * *$ |  |  |
| apple juices | $0,2 *$ |  |  |
|  | $60 * *$ |  |  |
| mixed juices | $88^{*}$ |  |  |
|  | $182 * *$ |  |  |

Ref: Riksmaten

* this is the mean value among all adult people between 19-74 years old, both sexes.
** this is the mean value among only consumers (adult, both sexes, 19-74 y) of the certain food category


## United Kingdom

Consumers only

|  | Food or group | Mean (g/d) | Median (g/d) | $95 \%$ percentile <br> $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{d})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADULTS (Ages 16-64)*) |  |  |  |  |
| Male and Female | apple juice | 44.2 | - | 121.3 |
| Male | apple juice | 47.4 | - | 147.4 |
| Female | apple juice | 42.4 | - | 109.7 |
| TODDLERS (Ages 1.5 - <br> $4.5)^{* *}$ |  | 92.0 | - |  |
|  | apple juice |  |  |  |
| YOUNG PERSONS <br> (AGES 4 -18$)^{* * *}$ |  | 76.3 | - | 273.7 |
| $4-18$ years | apple juice | 67.7 | - | 241.2 |
| $4-6$ years | apple juice | 78.9 | - | 228. |
| $7-10$ years | apple juice | 74.8 | - | 194.2 |
| $11-14$ years | apple juice | 85.6 | - | 223.5 |
| $15-18$ years | apple juice |  | - |  |

*Sample size 2197. National study
**Sample size 1675, National study
***Sample size 1701, National study

Table 3A: Summary of best estimates of daily intake of patulin for special groups of population

## AUSTRIA

Adults n=3059, national, urban/rural, all population

| Population group | Food or group | Food consumption g/person/day |  | Mean patulin level ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day |  |  | Intake of patulin $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults |  | Mean | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile |
| Age $=25$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 63,3 | 312,5 | 7 | 14,9 | 443,1 | 943,2 | 2187,5 | 6,61 | 14,08 | 32,65 |
|  | grape juice | 5,9 | 29,3 | 8,2 | 15,2 | 48,4 | 89,7 | 240,3 | 0,72 | 1,34 | 3,59 |
| Age 26-35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 38,8 | 259,0 | 7 | 14,9 | 271,6 | 578,1 | 1813,0 | 3,83 | 8,14 | 25,54 |
|  | grape juice | 3,6 | 24,3 | 8,2 | 15,2 | 29,5 | 54,7 | 199,3 | 0,42 | 0,77 | 2,81 |
| Age 36-45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 25,8 | 168,0 | 7 | 14,9 | 180,6 | 384,4 | 1176,0 | 2,51 | 5,34 | 16,33 |
|  | grape juice | 2,4 | 15,7 | 8,2 | 15,2 | 19,7 | 36,5 | 128,7 | 0,27 | 0,51 | 1,79 |
| Age 46-55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 25,8 | 168,0 | 7 | 14,9 | 180,3 | 383,8 | 1176,0 | 2,44 | 5,19 | 15,89 |
|  | grape juice | 2,4 | 15,7 | 8,2 | 15,2 | 19,7 | 36,5 | 129,1 | 0,27 | 0,49 | 1,74 |
| Age >56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 20,6 | 156,3 | 7 | 14,9 | 144,2 | 306,9 | 1094,1 | 1,95 | 4,15 | 14,79 |
|  | grape juice | 1,9 | 14,7 | 8,2 | 15,2 | 15,6 | 28,9 | 120,5 | 0,21 | 0,39 | 1,63 |

Body weight in kg :
Age $=25 \quad 67$
Age 26-35 71
Age 36-45 72
Age 46-55 74
Age $>56 \quad 74$

Adults $\mathrm{n}($ total $)=3059$, n (apple juice) $=637$, n (grape juice) $=57$, national, urban/rural, consumers only

| Population group | Food or group | Food consumption g/person/day |  | Mean patulin level ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day |  |  | Intake of patulin $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults |  | Mean | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile |
| Age $=25$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 301 | 800 | 7 | 14,9 | 2107 | 4485 | 5600 | 31,45 | 66,94 | 83,58 |
|  | grape juice | 362 | - | 8,2 | 15,2 | 2968 | 5502 | - | 44,30 | 82,13 | - |
| Age 26-35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 351 | 650 | 7 | 14,9 | 2457 | 5230 | 4550 | 34,61 | 73,66 | 64,08 |
|  | grape juice | 263 | - | 8,2 | 15,2 | 2157 | 3998 | - | 30,37 | 56,30 | - |
| Age 36-45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 245 | 499 | 7 | 14,9 | 1715 | 3651 | 3493 | 23,82 | 50,70 | 48,51 |
|  | grape juice | 307 | - | 8,2 | 15,2 | 2517 | 4666 | - | 34,96 | 64,81 | - |
| Age 46-55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 361 | 760 | 7 | 14,9 | 2527 | 5379 | 5320 | 34,15 | 72,69 | 71,89 |
|  | grape juice | 415 | - | 8,2 | 15,2 | 3403 | 6308 | - | 45,99 | 85,24 | - |
| Age >56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 300 | - | 7 | 14,9 | 2100 | 4470 | - | 28,38 | 60,41 | - |
|  | grape juice | 215 | - | 8,2 | 15,2 | 1763 | 3268 | - | 23,82 | 44,16 | - |

Body weight in kg:
Age $=25 \quad 67$
Age 26-35 71
Age 36-45 72
Age 46-55 74
Age >56

Children up to $19 \mathrm{n}=2295$, national, urban/rural, all population

|  | Food or group | Food consumption g/person/day |  | Mean patulin level ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day |  |  | Intake of patulin $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population group |  | Mean | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile |
| Age 3-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 61,85 | 204,87 | 7 | 14,9 | 433,0 | 921,6 | 1434,1 | 21,65 | 46,08 | 71,70 |
|  | grape juice | 4,2 | 29,6 | 8,2 | 15,2 | 34,4 | 63,8 | 242,7 | 1,72 | 3,19 | 12,14 |
| Age 7-9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 92,1 | 249 | 7 | 14,9 | 644,7 | 1372,3 | 1743,0 | 20,80 | 44,27 | 56,23 |
|  | grape juice | 8,6 | 23,3 | 8,2 | 15,2 | 70,5 | 130,7 | 191,1 | 2,27 | 4,22 | 6,16 |
| Age 10-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 99,9 | 314,5 | 7 | 14,9 | 699,3 | 1488,5 | 2201,5 | 17,06 | 36,31 | 53,70 |
|  | grape juice | 9,4 | 29,5 | 8,2 | 15,2 | 77,1 | 142,9 | 241,9 | 1,88 | 3,48 | 5,90 |
| Age 13-14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 98,5 | 280,7 | 7 | 14,9 | 689,5 | 1467,7 | 1964,9 | 13,52 | 28,78 | 38,53 |
|  | grape juice | 10,8 | 26,3 | 8,2 | 15,2 | 88,6 | 164,2 | 215,7 | 1,74 | 3,22 | 4,23 |
| Age 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 129,1 | 378 | 7 | 14,9 | 903,7 | 1923,6 | 2646,0 | 14,81 | 31,53 | 43,38 |
|  | grape juice | 12,1 | 32,2 | 8,2 | 15,2 | 99,2 | 183,9 | 264,0 | 1,63 | 3,02 | 4,33 |

Body weight in kg:
Age 3-6 20
Age 7-9 31
Age 10-12
Age 13-14
Age 15-19 61
51
61

Children up to 19 n(total) $=2295$, $n$ (apple juice) $=778$, $n$ (grape juice) $=117$, national, urban/rural, consumers only

|  | Food or group | Food consumption g/person/day |  | Mean patulin level ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day |  |  | Intake of patulin $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population group |  | Mean | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level $95 \%$ percentile |
| Age 3-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 231 | 500 | 7 | 14,9 | 1617 | 3442 | 3500 | 80,85 | 172,10 | 175,00 |
|  | grape juice | 85 | - | 8,2 | 15,2 | 697 | 1292 | - | - | - | - |
| Age 7-9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 271 | 675 | 7 | 14,9 | 1897 | 4038 | 4725 | 61,19 | 130,25 | 152,42 |
|  | grape juice | 173 | - | 8,2 | 15,2 | 1419 | 2630 | - | 45,76 | 84,83 | - |
| Age 10-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 312 | 743 | 7 | 14,9 | 2184 | 4649 | 5201 | 53,27 | 113,39 | 126,85 |
|  | grape juice | 163 | - | 8,2 | 15,2 | 1337 | 2478 | - | 32,60 | 60,43 | - |
| Age 13-14  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 299 | 650 | 7 | 14,9 | 2093 | 4455 | 4550 | 41,04 | 87,35 | 89,22 |
|  | grape juice | 270 | - | 8,2 | 15,2 | 2214 | 4104 | - | 43,41 | 80,47 | - |
| Age 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 398 | 766 | 7 | 14,9 | 2786 | 5930 | 5362 | 45,67 | 97,22 | 87,90 |
|  | grape juice | 207 | - | 8,2 | 15,2 | 1697 | 3146 | - | 27,83 | 51,58 | - |

Body weight in kg:
Age 3-6
Age 7-9
Age 10-12
Age 13-14
Age 15-19
41
Age 15-19 61

Elderly persons n=78, regional, urban/Vienna, all population

|  | Food or group | Food consumption g/person/day |  | Mean patulin level ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day |  |  | Intake of patulin $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population group |  | Mean | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile |
| Age $=74$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 26,7 | 156,3 | 7 | 14,9 | 186,9 | 397,8 | 1094,1 | 2,67 | 5,68 | 15,63 |
|  | grape juice | 2,5 | 14,7 | 8,2 | 15,2 | 20,5 | 38,0 | 120,5 | 0,29 | 0,54 | 1,72 |
| Age 75-84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 110 | 378,9 | 7 | 14,9 | 770,0 | 1639,0 | 2652,3 | 11,00 | 23,41 | 37,89 |
|  | grape juice | 10,3 | 35,5 | 8,2 | 15,2 | 84,5 | 156,6 | 291,1 | 1,21 | 2,24 | 4,16 |

Body weight in kg:
Age $=75 \quad 70$
Age 75-84 70
Elderly persons $n($ total $)=78$, n (apple juice $)=6$, n (grape juice) $=3$, regional, urban/Vienna, consumers only
Too less data

|  | Food or group | Food consumption g/person/day |  | Mean patulin level ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day |  |  | Intake of patulin $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population group |  | Mean | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile |
| Age $=74$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 230 | - | 7 | 14,9 | 1610 | 3427 | - | - | - | - |
|  | grape juice | 160 | - | 8,2 | 15,2 | 1312 | 2432 | - | - | - | - |
| Age 75-84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 241 | - | 7 | 14,9 | 1687 | 3591 | - | - | - | - |
|  | grape juice | 88 | - | 8,2 | 15,2 | 722 | 1338 | - | - | - | - |

Pregnant, lactating women $\mathrm{n}=409$, regional, urban/Vienna, all population

|  | Food or group | Food consumption g/person/day |  | Mean patulin level ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day |  |  | Intake of patulin $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population group |  | Mean | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile |
| pregnant women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 126 | 310,4 | 7 | 14,9 | 882,0 | 1877,4 | 2172,8 | 12,25 | 26,08 | 30,18 |
|  | grape juice | 11,8 | 29,1 | 8,2 | 15,2 | 96,8 | 179,4 | 238,6 | 1,34 | 2,49 | 3,31 |
| lactating women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 110 | 378,9 | 7 | 14,9 | 770,0 | 1639,0 | 2652,3 | 12,22 | 26,02 | 42,10 |
|  | grape juice | 10,3 | 35,5 | 8,2 | 15,2 | 84,5 | 156,6 | 291,1 | 1,34 | 2,49 | 4,62 |

Body weight in kg:
pregnant women
lactating women

Pregnant, lactating women $n($ total $)=409, n($ apple juice $)=178$, $n$ (grape juice) $=6$, regional, urban/Vienna, consumers only

|  | Food or group | Food consumption g/person/day |  | Mean patulin level ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day |  |  | Intake of patulin $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population group |  | Mean | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile |
| pregnant women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 257 | 582 | 7 | 14,9 | 1799 | 3829 | 4074 | 24,99 | 53,18 | 56,58 |
|  | grape juice | 203 |  | 8,2 | 15,2 | 1665 | 3086 | - | - | - | - |
| lactating women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 419 | 600 | 7 | 14,9 | 2933 | 6243 | 4200 | 46,56 | 99,10 | 66,67 |
|  | grape juice | 504 |  | 8,2 | 15,2 | 4133 | 7661 | - | - | - | - |

## BELGIUM

## Occurrence data 1997-2001

Data from teenagers from Ghent: population based.
Body weight: 60 kg

| Food or group | Food Consumption g/person/day |  | Mean of patulin level in food $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg})$ |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day/ |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/kg body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile(**) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile(**) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| apple juice | 16.5 | 114 | 4.5 |  |  | 74 |  | 513 | 1.2 |  | 8.6 |
| grape juice | 1.2 | 0 | 4.6 |  |  | 5.5 |  | - | 0.1 |  | - |

## Occurrence data 1997-2001

Data from teenagers from Ghent: consumers only

| Food or group | Food Consumption g/person/day |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day/ |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/kg body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile(**) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile( } * *) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| apple juice | 108 | 374 | 4.5 |  |  | 486 |  | 1683 | 8.1 |  | 28 |
| grape juice | 41 | - | 4.6 |  |  | 189 |  | - | 3.1 |  | - |

## Occurrence data only 2001 for apple juice

Data from teenagers from Ghent: population based.
Body weight: 60 kg

| Food or group | Food Consumption g/person/day |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day/ |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/kg body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile }(* *) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile( }{ }^{* *} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |
| apple juice | 16.5 | 114 | 2.2 |  |  | 36 |  | 251 | 0.6 |  | 4.2 |
| grape juice | 1.2 | 0 | 4.6 |  |  | 5.5 |  | - | 0.1 |  | - |

Data from teenagers from Ghent: consumers only

| Food or group | Food Consumption g/person/day |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day/ |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/kg body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile(**) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile(**) } \end{gathered}$ |
| apple juice | 108 | 374 | 2.2 |  |  | 238 |  | 823 | 4.0 |  | 14 |
| grape juice | 41 | - | 4.6 |  |  | 189 |  | - | 3.1 |  | - |

(**) mean(1)

## France

## ADULTS - all population

Body weight (average adult person) $=66,4 \mathrm{~kg}$

| Food or group | Food Consumption (g/person/day) |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin (ng/person/day) |  |  | Intake of patulin(ng/kg body weight/day) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile( }{ }^{* *} \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile(**) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| apple juice | 2.25 | 0 | 8.37 | 14.34 | [1-130] | 18.8 | 32 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0 |
| apple puree | 6.08 | 42.9 | 6.28 | 20.5 | [0.35-86] | 38.2 | 125 | 269 | 0.6 | 2 | 4.1 |
| cider | 3.54 | 17.1 | 6.18 | 18.04 | [1-101] | 21.9 | 64 | 106 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.6 |

(**) mean (1)

ADULTS - consumers only
Body weight (average adult person) $=66,4 \mathrm{~kg}$

| Food or group | Food Consumption (g/person/day) |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin (ng/person/day) |  |  | Intake of patulin(ng/kg body weight/day) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile( } * * \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile( }(* *) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| apple juice | 65.0 | 188.6 | 8.37 | 14.34 | [1-130] | 544 | 930 | 1578 | 8.9 | 15 | 23.9 |
| apple puree | 33.3 | 85.7 | 6.28 | 20.5 | [0.35-86] | 209 | 683 | 538 | 3.3 | 11 | 8.4 |
| cider | 62.2 | 205.7 | 6.18 | 18.04 | [1-101] | 384 | 1122 | 1270 | 5.6 | 16 | 15.6 |

(**) mean (1)

## ADULT MALES - all population

Average body weight (adult male) $=73,9 \mathrm{~kg}$

| Food or group | Food Consumption (g/person/day) |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin (ng/person/day) |  |  | Intake of patulin (ng/kg body weight/day) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile( }(* *) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile( }{ }^{(* *)} \end{gathered}$ |
| apple juice | 2.63 | 0 | 8.37 | 14.34 | [1-130] | 22.1 | 37.7 | 0 | 0.36 | 0.62 | 0 |
| apple puree | 4.59 | 28.6 | 6.28 | 20.5 | [0.35-86] | 28.8 | 94.1 | 179.4 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 2.6 |
| cider | 5.03 | 17.14 | 6.18 | 18.04 | [1-101] | 31.1 | 90.7 | 105.9 | 0.43 | 1.26 | 1.49 |

[^6]ADULT FEMALES - all population
Average body weight (adult female) $=60,1 \mathrm{~kg}$

| Food or group | Food Consumption (g/person/day) |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin (ng/person/day) |  |  | Intake of patulin(ng/kg body weight/day) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile(**) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile }(* *) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| apple juice | 1.92 | 0 | 8.37 | 14.34 | [1-130] | 16.1 | 27.5 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.43 | 0 |
| apple puree | 7.33 | 42.86 | 6.28 | 20.5 | [0.35-86] | 46.0 | 150.3 | 269.1 | 0.78 | 2.56 | 4.92 |
| cider | 2.3 | 17.14 | 6.18 | 18.04 | [1-101] | 14.2 | 41.4 | 105.9 | 0.22 | 0.65 | 1.7 |

(**) mean (1)

## CHILDREN - all population

Average body weight (children person) $=32 \mathrm{~kg}$

| Food or group | Food Consumption (g/person/day) |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin (ng/person/day) |  |  | Intake of patulin (ng/kg body weight/day) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile(**) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile(**) } \end{gathered}$ |
| apple juice | 9.02 | 57.1 | 8.37 | 14.34 | [1-130] | 75 | 129 | 478 | 3 | 5.2 | 18.7 |
| apple puree | 6.6 | 42.9 | 6.28 | 20.5 | [0.35-86] | 41.5 | 136 | 269 | 1.7 | 5.7 | 9.1 |
| cider | 0.5 | 0 | 6.18 | 18.04 | [1-101] | 2.9 | 8.4 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0 |

[^7]CHILDREN - consumers only
Average body weight (children person) $=32 \mathrm{~kg}$

| Food or group | Food Consumption (g/person/day) |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin (ng/person/day) |  |  | Intake of patulin (ng/kg body weight/day) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile(**) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile }(* *) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| apple juice | 55.3 | 171.4 | 8.37 | 14.34 | [1-130] | 463 | 791 | 1435 | 18.8 | 32.2 | 60.9 |
| apple puree | 25.7 | 57.1 | 6.28 | 20.5 | [0.35-86] | 161 | 530 | 359 | 6.8 | 22 | 21.3 |
| cider | 23.8 | 44.6 | 6.18 | 18.04 | [1-101] | 147 | 429 | 276 | 5.4 | 15.9 | 16.5 |

(**) mean (1)

## CHILDREN 3-5 years- all population

| Average body weight (children 3-5 years) $=17.7 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food or group | Food Consumption (g/person/day) |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin (ng/person/day) |  |  | Intake of patulin(ng/kg body weight/day) |  |  |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile(**) } \\ \hline 170 \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile(**) } \end{gathered}$ |
| apple juice | 9.81 | 57.1 | 8.37 | 14.34 | [1-130] | 82 | 140 | 478 | 4.9 | 8.3 | 31.9 |
| apple puree | 8.28 | 42.8 | 6.28 | 20.5 | [0.35-86] | 52 | 170 | 269 | 3.1 | 10.2 | 18.4 |
| cider | 0.4 | 0 | 6.18 | 18.04 | [1-101] | 2.7 | 8 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0 |

[^8]
## CHILDREN 6-8 years- all population

Average body weight (children 6-8 years) $=26.3 \mathrm{~kg}$

| Food or group | Food Consumption (g/person/day) |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin (ng/person/day) |  |  | Intake of patulin(ng/kg body weight/day) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile( }{ }^{* *} \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile( }(* *) \end{gathered}$ |
| apple juice | 12.69 | 71.4 | 8.37 | 14.34 | [1-130] | 106 | 181 | 598 | 4.5 | 7.7 | 26 |
| apple puree | 8.42 | 42.8 | 6.28 | 20.5 | [0.35-86] | 53 | 173 | 269 | 2.2 | 7.4 | 11.4 |
| cider | 0.5 | 0 | 6.18 | 18.04 | [1-101] | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0 |

(**) mean (1)

## CHILDREN 9-11 years- all population

Average body weight (children 9-11 years) $=35.3 \mathrm{~kg}$

| Food or group | Food Consumption (g/person/day) |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin (ng/person/day) |  |  | Intake of patulin (ng/kg body weight/day) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile(**) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile( }{ }^{* *} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |
| apple juice | 6.64 | 35.7 | 8.37 | 14.34 | [1-130] | 56 | 95 | 299 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 10.4 |
| apple puree | 5.45 | 28.6 | 6.28 | 20.5 | [0.35-86] | 34 | 112 | 179 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 5.6 |
| cider | 0.4 | 0 | 6.18 | 18.04 | [1-101] | 2.7 | 7.8 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0 |

[^9]
## CHILDREN 12-14 years- all population

| Average body weight (children $12-14$ years) $=47.9 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food or group | Food Consumption (g/person/day) |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin (ng/person/day) |  |  | Intake of patulin (ng/kg body weight/day) |  |  |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile( }(* *) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile( }(* *) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| apple juice | 6.72 | 51.4 | 8.37 | 14.34 | [1-130] | 56 | 96 | 430 | 1.2 | 2 | 8.0 |
| apple puree | 4.32 | 28.6 | 6.28 | 20.5 | [0.35-86] | 27 | 88 | 179 | 0.6 | 2 | 4.5 |
| cider | 0.5 | 0 | 6.18 | 18.04 | [1-101] | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0.06 | 0.2 | 0 |

(**) mean (1)

## Germany

Mean body weight in kg:

| girls |  | boys |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 year | 9,4 | 1 year | 10,4 |
| 1,5 year | 11,4 | 1,5 year | 11,8 |
| 2 year | 13,0 | 2 year | 14,0 |
| 3 year | 14,3 | 3 year | 19,9 |

4-6 years old girls 19,4
6 -14 years old 35,1
children
$>14$ years old $\quad 70,4$
adults

## Consumers only

|  | Food or group | Food consumption g/person/day |  | Mean patulin level ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin $\mathrm{ng} /$ person/day |  |  | Intake of patulin $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population group |  | Mean | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Range | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile |
| 4-6 years old girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 114,8 | 341 | 7,9 | 22,1 | 1-415 | 907 | 2537 | 2694 | 47 | 131 | 139 |
|  | pear juice | 33,7 | 92 | 3,7 | 8,2 | 1-25 | 125 | 276 | 340 | 6,4 | 14 | 18 |
|  | grape juice red and white | 47,9 | 144,8 | 4,3 | 29,3 | 1,5-32 | 206 | 1403 | 623 | 11 | 72 | 32 |
|  | apple puree and compote | 19,6 |  | 1,6 |  | 1,5-2,5 | 31 |  |  | 1,6 |  |  |
|  | tomato canned | 6,2 | 19,7 | 1,7 | 3,5 | 1,5-3,5 | 11 | 22 | 33 | 0,6 | 1,1 | 1,7 |
| 6-14 years old children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 133,5 | 400 | 7,9 | 22,1 | 1-415 | 1055 | 2950 | 3160 | 30 | 84 | 90 |
|  | pear juice | 51,6 | 155,7 | 3,7 | 8,2 | 1-25 | 191 | 423 | 576 | 5,4 | 12 | 16 |
|  | grape juice red and white | 63,3 | 211,4 | 4,3 | 29,3 | 1,5-32 | 272 | 1855 | 909 | 7,7 | 52 | 26 |
|  | apple puree and compote | 26,6 | 50 | 1,6 |  | 1,5-2,5 | 43 |  | 80 | 1,2 |  | 2,3 |
|  | tomato canned | 7,7 | 25,7 | 1,7 | 3,5 | 1,5-3,5 | 13 | 27 | 44 | 0,4 | 0,8 | 1,2 |
| $>14$ years old adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 102,2 | 314,3 | 7,9 | 22,1 | 1-415 | 807 | 2259 | 2483 | 11 | 32 | 35 |
|  | pear juice | 43,9 | 114,3 | 3,7 | 8,2 | 1-25 | 162 | 360 | 423 | 2,3 | 5,1 | 6,0 |
|  | grape juice red and white | 55,2 | 142,9 | 4,3 | 29,3 | 1,5-32 | 237 | 1617 | 614 | 3,4 | 23 | 8,7 |
|  | apple puree and compote | 27,5 | 85,3 | 1,6 |  | 1,5-2,5 | 44 |  | 136 | 0,6 |  | 1,9 |
|  | tomato canned | 9,5 | 40,5 | 1,7 | 3,5 | 1,5-3,5 | 16 | 33 | 69 | 0,2 | 0,4 | 1,0 |

All population

| Population group | Food or group | Food consumption g/person/day |  | Mean patulin level ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day |  |  | Intake of patulin $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | High level 90\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Range | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level $90 \%$ percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 90\%percentile |
| Girls* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | 50 | 83 | 4,8 | 42,5 | 1,5-68 | 240 | 2125 | 398 | 25 | 225 | 42 |
| 1,5 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | 86 | 231 | 4,8 | 42,5 | 1,5-68 | 413 | 3655 | 1109 | 36 | 320 | 97 |
| 2 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | 72 | 175 | 4,8 | 42,5 | 1,5-68 | 346 | 3060 | 840 | 27 | 236 | 65 |
| 3 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | 121 | 288 | 4,8 | 42,5 | 1,5-68 | 581 | 5143 | 1382 | 41 | 360 | 97 |
| Boys* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | 47 | 57 | 4,8 | 42,5 | 1,5-68 | 226 | 1998 | 274 | 22 | 191 | 26 |
| 1,5 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | 120 | 287 | 4,8 | 42,5 | 1,5-68 | 576 | 5100 | 1378 | 49 | 433 | 117 |
| 2 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | 73 | 140 | 4,8 | 42,5 | 1,5-68 | 350 | 3103 | 672 | 25 | 222 | 48 |
| 3 year | fruit juice, canned and processed fruit | 157 | 547 | 4,8 | 42,5 | 1,5-68 | 754 | 6673 | 2623 | 51 | 448 | 176 |

* for the worst case it is assumed that $100 \%$ of the fruits are apples


## All population

|  | Food or group | Food consumption g/person/day |  | Mean patulin level ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day |  |  | Intake of patulin $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population group |  | Mean | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Range | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\%percentile |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-6 years old } \\ & \text { girls } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 106 | 316 | 7,9 | 22,1 | 1-415 | 837 | 2343 | 2496 | 43 | 121 | 129 |
|  | pear juice | 1,7 | 4,7 | 3,7 | 8,2 | 1-25 | 6,3 | 14 | 17 | 0,3 | 0,7 | 0,9 |
|  | grape juice red and white | 2,7 | 8,0 | 4,3 | 29,3 | 1,5-32 | 12 | 79 | 34 | 0,6 | 4,1 | 1,8 |
|  | apple puree and compote | 0,2 |  | 1,6 |  | 1,5-2,5 | 0,3 |  |  | 0,02 |  |  |
|  | tomato canned | 1,4 | 4,3 | 1,7 | 3,5 | 1,5-3,5 | 2,4 | 4,9 | 7,3 | 0,1 | 0,3 | 0,4 |
| 6-14 years old children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 42 | 126 | 7,9 | 22,1 | 1-415 | 332 | 928 | 995 | 9,5 | 26 | 28 |
|  | pear juice | 0,5 | 1,6 | 3,7 | 8,2 | 1-25 | 1,9 | 4,1 | 5,9 | 0,05 | 0,12 | 0,17 |
|  | grape juice red and white | 1,3 | 4,5 | 4,3 | 29,3 | 1,5-32 | 5,6 | 38 | 19 | 0,16 | 1,1 | 0,54 |
|  | apple puree and compote | 0,1 | 0,2 | 1,6 |  | 1,5-2,5 | 0,2 |  | 0,3 | 0,006 |  | 0,009 |
|  | tomato canned | 0,8 | 2,7 | 1,7 | 3,5 | 1,5-3,5 | 1,4 | 2,8 | 4,6 | 0,04 | 0,08 | 0,13 |
| $>14$ years old adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | apple juice | 16,6 | 51 | 7,9 | 22,1 | 1-415 | 131 | 367 | 403 | 1,9 | 5,2 | 5,7 |
|  | pear juice | 0,4 | 1,0 | 3,7 | 8,2 | 1-25 | 1,5 | 3,3 | 3,7 | 0,02 | 0,05 | 0,05 |
|  | grape juice red and white | 1,0 | 2,6 | 4,3 | 29,3 | 1,5-32 | 4,3 | 29 | 11 | 0,06 | 0,41 | 0,16 |
|  | apple puree and compote | 0,1 | 0,3 | 1,6 |  | 1,5-2,5 | 0,2 |  | 0,5 | 0,003 |  | 0,007 |
|  | tomato canned | 0,9 | 3,7 | 1,7 | 3,5 | 1,5-3,5 | 1,5 | 3,2 | 6,3 | 0,02 | 0,05 | 0,09 |

## Italy

## Population (total sample)

Mean body weight : 70 Kg
** the body weight considered is 10 Kg

| Food or group | Food Consumption g/person/day |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day/ |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/kg body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | High level 95\% percentile |
| fruit juice (apple, pear) | 14,06 | 100,00 | 47,62 | 65,60 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0,02- \\ & 1150,0 \end{aligned}$ | 669,54 | 922,34 | 4762 | 9,56 | 13,18 | 68,03 |
| baby food ** (homogenised) | 0,07 | 0,00 | 1,23 | 2,73 | 0,10-6,39 | 0,09 | 0,19 | 0,00 | 0,01 | 0,02 | 0,00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { baby food } * * \\ & \text { (milk flour) } \end{aligned}$ | 0,29 |  | 0,55 | 0,94 | 0,1-0,97 | 0,16 | 0,27 |  | 0,016 | 0,03 |  |
| fruit preserved (nectar, puree) | 0,78 | 0,00 | 2,90 | 1,60* | 0,02-5 | 2,26 | 1,25* | 0,00 | 0,03 | 0,02 | 0,00 |
| apple <br> (with peel) | 52,88 | 203,81 | 107,70 | 152,00 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0,1- \\ & 778,90 \end{aligned}$ | 5695,18 | 8037,76 | 21950,34 | 81,36 | 114,82 | 313,58 |
| pear | 16,87 | 91,43 | 0,34 | 1,23 | 0,25-1,23 | 5,74 | 20,75 | 31,09 | 0,08 | 0,30 | 0,44 |
| peach | 23,30 | 142,86 | 4,83 | 23,29 | $\begin{aligned} & 0,25- \\ & 23,29 \end{aligned}$ | 112,53 | 542,66 | 690,02 | 1,61 | 7,75 | 9,56 |

* The smaller value of mean 2 than mean 1 is produced by high differences between the LOD values


## Consumers (total sample)

Mean body weight: 70 Kg
$* *$ the body weight considered is 10 Kg

| Food or group | Food Consumption g/person/day |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day/ |  |  | Intake of patulinng/kg body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Range | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile(**) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile( }{ }^{* *} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |
| fruit juice (apple, pear) | 76,39 | 212,80 | 47,62 | 65,60 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0,02- \\ 1150,0 \end{array}$ | 3637,69 | 5011,84 | 10133,54 | 51,97 | 71,59 | 144,76 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { baby food } \\ & \text { (homogenised)** } \end{aligned}$ | 5,83 | 16,52 | 1,23 | 2,73 | 0,10-6,39 | 7,17 | 15,91 | 34,36 | 0,72 | 1,59 | 3,44 |
| baby food (milk flour)** | 94,43 |  | 0,55 | 0,94 | 0,1-0,97 | 51,94 | 88,76 |  | 5,19 | 8,88 |  |
| fruit preserved (nectar, puree) | 26,99 | 81,43 | 2,90 | 1,60* | 0,02-5 | 78,27 | 43,18* | 472,29 | 1,12 | 0,62 | 6,75 |
| apple <br> (with peel) | 87,17 | 245,40 | 107,70 | 152,00 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0,1- \\ & 778,90 \end{aligned}$ | 9288,21 | 13249,84 | 26429,58 | 134,12 | 189,28 | 377,56 |
| pear | 54,98 | 135,63 | 10,34 | 1,23 | 0,25-1,23 | 18,69 | 67,62 | 46,11 | 0,27 | 0,97 | 0,66 |
| peach | 86,62 | 232,50 | 4,83 | 23,29 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0,25- \\ & 23,29 \end{aligned}$ | 418,37 | 2017,38 | 1122,98 | 5,97 | 28,82 | 16,04 |

* The smaller value of mean 2 than mean1 is produced by high differences between the LOD values


## Norway

## Average person (all population)

Body weight 65 kg

| Food or group | Food Consumption ml/person/day |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/person/day |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/day/kg body weight |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% <br> percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Range | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\% percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\% percentile |
| apple juice | 2,5 |  | 6,6 | - | 2,5-23,9 | 16,5 | - | - | 0,25 | - | - |
| apple nectar | 13,1 |  | 3,2 | - | 2,5-12,1 | 41,9 | - | - | 0,64 | - | - |
| apple cider | 5,7 |  | 2,8 | - | 2,5-16,2 | 16,0 | - | - | 0,25 | - | - |

## Portugal

## Average person (all population)

Body weight 65 kg

| Food or group | Food Consumption ml/person.day |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/person.day |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/day/kg body weight |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Range | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\% percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\% percentile(**) |
| clear apple juice | 0,86 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| cloudy apple juice | 0,86 |  | 8,7 | 15,2 | 4,0-25,2 | 7,482 | 13,072 |  | 0,115 | 0,201 |  |
| apple and other fruits cloudy juice | 0,86 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| milk and apple juice | 0,86 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| cloudy pear juice | 0,86 |  | 5,1 | 18,0 | 0-23,4 | 4,386 | 15,480 |  | 0,068 | 0,238 |  |
| pear and other fruits cloudy juice | 0,86 |  | 8,4 | 8,4 | 7,2-9,6 | 7,224 | 7,244 |  | 0,111 | 0,111 |  |
| milk and pear juice | 0,86 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |

We surely needed more information on consumption of this kind of juices, because their expression on total juices consumed is very low. But assuming that all the production is consumed, we can use the values of total apple and pear juices production, to estimate daily intake.

## Spain


(*) fruit juices except grape, orange, peach and pineapple juices. It is not possible to get data of apple juices.
(**) body weight: 68 kg
Ref.1: UNPUBLISHED DATA. Internal survey conducted by Centro Nacional de Alimentación (Ministry of Health and Consumption)
Ref.2: ARMENTIA, A., JALON, M., URIETA, I. and MACHO, M.L., 2000, Vigilancia de la presencia de Patulina en zumos de manzana y sidras comercializados en la Comunidad Autónoma del País Vasco. Alimentaria, 310, 65-70.

## Sweden

Adult, both sexes, between 15-74 years old, all population

| Food or group | Food Consumption ml/person.day |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/person.day |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/day/kg body weight |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Range | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\% percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\% percentile(**) |
| purees and soups | 62 | 170 | 1 |  |  | 62 |  | 170 | 0,9 |  | 2,4 |
| all different juices * | 130 | 340 | 1,4 | 10,4 | 0-25 | 170 | 1350 | 480 | 2,4 | 19 | 7 |

## Ref.: HULKEN

Mean weight $=70 \mathrm{~kg}$

* about $50 \%$ of all consumed juices are pure orange juice

Children, both sexes, between 7-14 years old, all population

| Food or group | Food Consumption $\mathrm{ml} /$ person.day |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/person.day |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/day/kg body weight |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Range | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\% percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\% percentile(**) |
| purees and soups | 74 | 180 | 1 |  |  | 74 |  | 180 | 2 |  | 5 |
| all different juices * | 150 | 400 | 1,4 | 10,4 | 0-25 | 210 | 1560 | 560 | 5 | 40 | 14 |

Ref.: HULKEN
Mean weight $=38 \mathrm{~kg}$

* about $50 \%$ of all consumed juices are pure orange juice

Adult, both sexes, between 19-74 years old, all population

| Food or group | Food Consumption ml/person.day |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/person.day |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/day/kg body weight |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level <br> 95\% <br> percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Range | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\% percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\% percentile(**) |
| purees and soups | 1,4 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 5 | 0,01 |  | 0,05 |
| apple juice | 0,2 |  | 1,4 | 10,4 | 0-25 | 0,3 | 2 |  | 0 | 0,03 |  |
| mixed juices | 88 |  | 1 |  |  | 88 |  |  | 1,2 |  |  |

Ref.: Riksmaten
Mean weight $=75 \mathrm{~kg}$

Adult, both sexes, between 19-74 years old, consumer only

| Food or group | Food Consumption ml/person.day |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/person.day |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/day/kg body weight |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | Range | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\% percentile | Mean (1) | Mean (2) | High level 95\% percentile(**) |
| purees and soups | 49 |  | 1 |  |  | 49 |  |  | 0,6 |  |  |
| apple juice | 60 |  | 1,4 | 10,4 | 0-25 | 84 | 624 |  | 1,1 | 8,4 |  |
| mixed juices | 182 |  | 1 |  |  | 182 |  |  | 2,5 |  |  |

Ref.: Riksmaten
Mean weight $=75 \mathrm{~kg}$

## United Kingdom

ADULTS (AGES 16-64), consumers only

|  | Food or group | Food Consumption g/person/day |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{person} / \mathrm{day} /$ |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/kg body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | High level 95\% percentile | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Range | Averag | nsumer | High level 95\% percentile | Averag | nsumer | High level 95\% percentile |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Mean <br> (1) |
| Male and Female | Apple juice | 44.2 | 121.3 | 17.68 | 22.94 | $<7-50$ | 0.8 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 12 | 15 | 33 |
| Male | Apple juice | 47.4 | 147.4 | 17.68 | 22.94 | $<7-50$ | 0.8 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 11 | 15 | 32 |
| Female | Apple juice | 42.4 | 109.7 | 17.68 | 22.94 | $<7-50$ | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 11 | 15 | 32 |

Average body weight for an adult is quoted as 70.1 kg .

TODDLERS (Ages 1.5-4.5), consumers only

| Food or group | Food Consumption g/person/day |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{person} / \mathrm{day} /$ |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/kg body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { High level } \\ 95 \% \\ \text { percentile } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | Range | Avera | sumer | High level 95\% percentile | Averag | sumer | High level 95\% percentile |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Mean <br> (1) |
| apple juice | 92 | 273.7 | 17.68 | 22.94 | $<7-50.0$ | 1.6 | 2.1 | 4.8 | 120 | 149 | 360 |

Average body weight for a toddler is quoted as 14.4 kg .
YOUNG PEOPLE (Ages 4-18), consumers only

|  | Food or group | Food Consumption g/person/day |  | Mean of patulin level in food ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |  |  | Intake of patulin $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{person} / \mathrm{day} /$ |  |  | Intake of patulin ng/kg body weight/day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High level } \\ & 95 \% \\ & \text { percentile } \end{aligned}$ | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | Range | Aver | nsumer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High level } \\ & 95 \% \\ & \text { percentile } \end{aligned}$ | Averag | sumer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High level } \\ & 95 \% \\ & \text { percentile } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean <br> (1) | Mean (2) | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (1) | Mean <br> (2) | Mean <br> (1) |
| 4-18 years | apple juice | 76.3 | 224.3 | 17.68 | 22.94 | $<7-50$ | 1.3 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 40 | 52 | 128 |
| 4-6 years | apple juice | 67.7 | 241.2 | 17.68 | 22.94 | $<7-50$ | 1.2 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 57 | 74 | 213 |
| 7-10 years | apple juice | 78.9 | 228 | 17.68 | 22.94 | <7-50 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 44 | 57 | 142 |
| 11-14 years | apple juice | 74.8 | 194.2 | 17.68 | 22.94 | <7-50 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 30 | 39 | 91 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15-18 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | apple juice | 85.6 | 223.5 | 17.68 | 22.94 | <7-50 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 25 | 33 | 60 |

Average body weight for a young person is quoted as 37 kg

Table 3B: Summary of daily intake of patulin by body weight ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight/day)

| Country | Population group |  |  | Mean (1) | High level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Austria |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All population | children | Age 3-6 | 23,37 | 83,84 |
|  | All population | children | Age 7-9 | 23,07 | 62,39 |
|  | All population | children | Age 10-12 | 18,94 | 59,60 |
|  | All population | children | Age 13-14 | 15,26 | 42,76 |
|  | All population | children | Age 15-19 | 16,44 | 47,71 |
|  | All population | adults | Age $=25$ | 7,34 | 36,24 |
|  | All population | adults | Age 26-35 | 4,24 | 28,34 |
|  | All population | adults | Age 36-45 | 2,78 | 18,12 |
|  | All population | adults | Age 46-55 | 2,70 | 17,64 |
|  | All population | adults | Age >56 | 2,16 | 16,41 |
|  | All population | elderly | Age $=74$ | 2,96 | 17,35 |
|  | All population | elderly | Age 75-84 | 12,21 | 42,05 |
|  | All population | pregnant women |  | 13,59 | 33,49 |
|  | All population | lactating women |  | 13,56 | 46,72 |
|  | Consumers only | children | Age 3-6 | 80,85 | 175,00 |
|  | Consumers only | children | Age 7-9 | 106,95 | 152,42 |
|  | Consumers only | children | Age 10-12 | 85,87 | 126,85 |
|  | Consumers only | children | Age 13-14 | 84,45 | 89,22 |
|  | Consumers only | children | Age 15-19 | 73,50 | 87,90 |
|  | Consumers only | adults | Age $=25$ | 75,75 | 83,58 |
|  | Consumers only | adults | Age 26-35 | 64,98 | 64,08 |
|  | Consumers only | adults | Age 36-45 | 58,78 | 48,51 |
|  | Consumers only | adults | Age 46-55 | 80,14 | 71,89 |
|  | Consumers only | adults | Age >56 | 28,38 | - |
|  | Consumers only | elderly | Age $=74$ | - | - |
|  | Consumers only | elderly | Age 75-84 | - | - |
|  | Consumers only | pregnant women |  | 24,99 | 56,58 |
|  | Consumers only | lactating women |  | 46,56 | 66,67 |


| Country |  | Population group |  | Mean (1) | High level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All population | teenagers population Ghent occurrence data 1997-2001 | Age 14-18 | 1.3 | 8.6 |
|  | Consumers only | teenagers occurrence data 1997-2001 | Age 14-18 | 11.2 | 28 |
|  | All population | teenagers population Ghent occurrence data 2001 | Age 14-18 | 0.7 | 4.2 |
|  | Consumers only | teenagers occurrence data 2001 | Age 14-18 | 7.1 | 14 |
| France ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All population | all adults |  | 1.23 | 6.4 |
|  | All population | adult males |  | 1.19 | 5.77 |
|  | All population | adult females |  | 1.26 | 6.62 |
|  | Consumers only | adult |  | 4.9 | 13.6 |
|  | All population | all children |  | 4.9 | 23.4 |
|  | All population | children | 3-5 years | 8.1 | 40.7 |
|  | All population | children | 6-8 years | 6.9 | 35.6 |
|  | All population | children | 9-11 years | 2.7 | 14.0 |
|  | All population | children | 12-14 years | 1.8 | 10.6 |
|  | Consumers only | children |  | 12.9 | 49 |
| Germany |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Consumers only | girls | 4-6 years | 67 | 191 |
|  | Consumers only | children | 6-14 years | 45 | 136 |
|  | Consumers only | adults | >14 years | 18 | 53 |
|  | All population | girls | 4-6 years | 44 | 132 |
|  | All population | children | 6-14 years | 9.8 | 29 |
|  | All population | adults | >14 years | 2.0 | 6.0 |
|  | All population | girls | 1 year | 25 | 42 |
|  | All population | girls | 1.5 year | 36 | 97 |
|  | All population | girls | 2 year | 27 | 65 |
|  | All population | girls | 3 year | 41 | 97 |
|  | All population | boys | 1 year | 22 | 26 |
|  | All population | boys | 1.5 year | 49 | 117 |
|  | All population | boys | 2 year | 25 | 48 |
|  | All population | boys | 3 year | 51 | 176 |

[^10]| Country |  | Population group |  | Mean (1) | High level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Italy |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All population ${ }^{2}$ | fresh fruit |  | 83.05 | 323.88 |
|  | Consumers only ${ }^{2}$ | fresh fruit |  | 140.36 | 394.27 |
|  | All population ${ }^{3}$ | derived products |  | 9.60 | 68.03 |
|  | Consumers only ${ }^{3}$ | derived products |  | 53.08 | 148.14 |
|  | All population | baby food |  | 0.03 | 0.00 |
|  | Consumers | baby food |  | 5.91 | 2.03 |
| Norway |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All population | average person |  | 1,14 | - |
| Portugal |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All population |  |  | 0.294 | - |
| Spain |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All population | Ref $1{ }^{6}$ |  | 0.18 |  |
|  | All population | Ref 2 All Fruit juices | 25-65 years | 0.34 |  |
|  | All population | Ref $2{ }^{6}$ |  | 0.07 |  |
| Sweden |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All population | adults ${ }^{4}$ | 19-74 years | 1.21 | 1.24 |
|  | Consumers only | adults ${ }^{4}$ | 19-74 years | 4.20 | 11.5 |
|  | All population | adults $^{5}$ | 15-74 years | 2.1 | 5.9 |
|  | All population | children ${ }^{5}$ | 7-14 years | 4.5 | 12 |
| United Kingdom |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Consumers only | toddlers | Ages 1.5-4.5 | 120 | 360 |
|  | Consumers only | children | 4-18 years | 40 | 128 |
|  | Consumers only | children | 4-6 years | 57 | 213 |
|  | Consumers only | children | 7-10 years | 44 | 142 |
|  | Consumers only | children | 11-14 years | 30 | 91 |
|  | Consumers only | children | 15-18 years | 25 | 60 |
|  | Consumers only | male | 16-64 years | 11 | 32 |
|  | Consumers only | female | 16-64 years | 11 | 32 |

${ }^{2}$ For the summary of daily intake only the occurrence data of apple without peel are not considered.
${ }^{3}$ For the summary of daily intake only the occurrence data of the fruit juices and the fruit preserved are considered.
${ }^{4}$ this values are based on the consumption investigation reported in " Riksmaten (1997)"
${ }^{5}$ this values are based on the consumption investigation reported in "HULKEN (1994)". Taken into account that about 50 \% of all juice consumption is orange juice.
${ }^{6}$ fruit juices except grape. orange, peach and pineapple juices. It is not possible to get data of apple juices.
Sweden: Except the food products analysed and described here it might be possible to find Patulin in different types of jam. Earlier calculation show that a possible intake from these products is $0.5-4.3 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{kg}$ day.
Ref.1: UNPUBLISHED DATA. Internal survey conducted by Centro Nacional de Alimentación (Ministry of Health and Consumption)
Ref.2: ARMENTIA. A., JALON. M., URIETA. I. and MACHO. M.L., 2000. Vigilancia de la presencia de patulina en zumos de manzana y sidras comercializados en la Comunidad Autónoma del País Vasco. Alimentaria. 310. 6570.

Table 3C. Ranking for dietary intakes of patulin for adults
ng / kg body weight / day

| Country | Mean 1 | High level | Population group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Italy | $9,6^{*}$ | $68^{*}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| Austria | 2,8 | 18 | Adults 36-45 years old, $\mathbf{P}$ |
| Germany | 2,0 | 6,0 | Adults >14 years old, $\mathbf{P}$ |
| Belgium | 1,3 | 8,6 | Teenagers population Ghent, 14-18 years old, $\mathbf{P}$ |
| France | $1,2^{* *}$ | 6,4 | Adults $\mathbf{P}$ |
| Sweden | 1,2 | 1,2 | Adults 19-74 years old, $\mathbf{P}$ |
| Norway | 1,1 |  | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| Portugal | 0,3 |  | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| Spain | 0,2 |  | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| Weighed intake for all <br> population | $\mathbf{3 , 0} / \mathbf{1 , 3} * * *$ | $\mathbf{2 2 / 6 , 6} \boldsymbol{6}^{* * *}$ |  |


| Country | Mean 1 | High level | Population group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Italy | $53^{*}$ | $148^{*}$ | C |
| Austria | 59 |  | Adults 36-45 years old, C |
| Germany | 18 | 53 | Adults >14 years old, C |
| Belgium | 11 | 28 | Teenagers population Ghent, 14-18 years old, C |
| United Kingdom | 11 | 32 | Adults 16-64 years old, C |
| France | $4,9^{* *}$ | 13,6 | Adults, C |
| Sweden | 4,2 | 11,5 | Adults 19-74 years old, C |
| Weighed intake for <br> consumer | $\mathbf{2 1 / 1 3 * * *}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 / 3 3 * * *}$ |  |

* It is calculated without apple, pear and peach
** Normally the main contributor for patulin intake is apple juice, only in France apple puree seems to be the main contributor for all population
*** Calculated without Italy
P Population
C Consumer
For the calculation of the weighed mean and high level the authors make use of the population data in millions shown under the internet address http://europa.eu.int/abc/eu_members/index_de.htm.

| Austria | 8,1 | Portugal | 10,8 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Belgium | 10,2 | Spain | 39,4 |
| France | 60,4 | Sweden | 9,8 |
| Germany | 82 | UK | 58,6 |

Italy $\quad 57,6$
Norway 4,4
Europe $\quad 372,6$

Table 3D. Ranking for dietary intakes of patulin for 3-6 years old children
ng / kg body weight / day

| Country | Mean 1 | High level | Population group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Germany | 44 | 132 | Girls 4-6 years old, P |
| Austria | 23 | 84 | Children 3-6 years old, P |
| France | 8,1 | 40,7 | Children 3-5 years old, P |
| Weighed intake for <br> all population | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 3}$ |  |


| Country | Mean 1 | High level | Population group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Austria | 81 | 175 | Children 3-6 years old, C |
| Germany | 67 | 191 | Girls 4-6 years old, C |
| United Kingdom | 57 | 213 | Children 4-6 years old, C |
| Weighed intake for <br> consumer | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9}$ |  |

## P Population <br> C Consumer

For the calculation of the weighed mean and high level the authors make use of the population data in millions shown under the internet address http://europa.eu.int/abc/eu_members/index_de.htm.

| Austria | 8,1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| France | 60,4 |
| Germany | 82 |
| UK | 58,6 |
| Europe | 372,6 |

Table 4 Summary of contamination levels of patulin

| Food product | No samples | No samples $25-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | Percentage of samples $>25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | No samples $>50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | Percentage of samples $>50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apple concentrate | 316 | 5 |  | 258** |  |
| apple concentrate juice, clear | 859 | 34 |  | 0 |  |
| reconstituted apple juice from these (dilution factor 7) | 1175 | 57 | 8,5 | 43 | 3,7 |
| apple juice freshly pressed, cloudy or clear and from concentrates | 4495 | 991 | 23 | 50 | 1,1 |
| apple drink | 64 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| apple nectar | 74 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| sum apple drinks and nectars | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| pear juice and nectar | 100 | 2 | 3,0 | 1 | 1,0 |
| cider and drink based on cider | 339 | 18 | 20 | 51 | 15 |
| grape juice | 160 | 14 | 8,8 | 0 | 0 |
| grape must* | 164 | 14 | 23 | 24 | 15 |
| mixed juices and other juices than apple, pear, grape | 166 | 2 | 1,2 | 0 |  |
| apple or pear with milk | 8 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| sum of other juices, including must and cider | 937 | 50 | 13 | 76 | 8,1 |
| apple puree and compote | 96 | 0 |  | 1 |  |
| blueberry soup and puree | 42 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| apple and mango/apple pulp | 2 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| pear puree | 7 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| sum of purees | 147 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0,7 |
| baby food | 312 | 2 | 1,3 | 2 | 0,6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cranberry | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| fruit dry | 8 | 0 |  | 3 |  |
| fruit vinegar | 12 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| jam and jelly | 23 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| peach | 7 | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| pears canned | 5 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| tomato concentrate and puree | 23 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| tomato juice | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| sum of other commodities | 73 | 1 | 5,5 | 3 | 4,1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7277 | 1101**** | 17,5 | 175**** | 2,4 |
| apple, pear, peach (unaffected with /without peel and rotten area)*** | 85 | 1 | 31 | 25 | 28 |
| apple juice, nectar and concentrate (not reconstituted) (Federation German fruit juice industry) | 8286 | 1459 | 26 | 672 | 8,1 |
| * the fresh grape must is only available during a very short period of the year (vintage season) <br> ** the values from the contamination class LOQ-69.9 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ are enclosed <br> *** not considered in the total number of samples <br> **** calculation with the number of positive samples of apple concentrates after division with 7 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Dietary intakes of patulin in each Member State by commodity
(ng / kg bw / day )

|  | apple <br> juice | pear <br> juice | grape <br> juice | fruit juice | cider | puree | baby <br> food | others | fresh fruit | total dietary intake |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Austria (Children: 3-6 y, P) | 21,65 |  | 1,72 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23,37 |
| Austria (Children: 7-9 y, P) | 20,80 |  | 2,27 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23,07 |
| Austria (Children: 10-12 y, P) | 17,06 |  | 1,88 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18,94 |
| Austria (Children: 13-14 y, P) | 13,52 |  | 1,74 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,26 |
| Austria (Children: 15-19 y, P) | 14,81 |  | 1,63 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16,44 |
| Austria (Adults: $=25 \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{P}$ ) | 6,61 |  | 0,72 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,34 |
| Austria (Adults: 26-35y, P ) | 3,83 |  | 0,42 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,24 |
| Austria (Adults: 36-45y, P ) | 2,51 |  | 0,27 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,78 |
| Austria (Adults: 46-55y, P ) | 2,44 |  | 0,27 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,70 |
| Austria (>56y, P) | 1,95 |  | 0,21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,16 |
| Austria (elderly persons: $=75$ y, P ) | 2,67 |  | 0,29 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,96 |
| Austria (elderly persons: 75-84 y, P ) | 11,00 |  | 1,21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,21 |
| Austria (pregnant women, P ) | 12,25 |  | 1,34 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,59 |
| Austria (lactating women, P ) | 12,22 |  | 1,34 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,56 |
| Austria (Children: 3-6 y, C) | 80,85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80,85 |
| Austria (Children: 7-9 y, C) | 61,19 |  | 45,76 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 106,95 |
| Austria (Children: 10-12 y, C) | 53,27 |  | 32,60 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85,87 |
| Austria (Children: 13-14 y, C) | 41,04 |  | 43,41 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84,45 |
| Austria (Children: 15-19 y, C) | 45,67 |  | 27,83 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73,50 |
| Austria (Adults: $=25 \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{C}$ ) | 31,45 |  | 44,30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75,75 |
| Austria (Adults: 26-35y, C ) | 34,61 |  | 30,37 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64,98 |
| Austria (Adults: 36-45y, C ) | 23,82 |  | 34,96 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58,78 |
| Austria (Adults: 46-55y, C ) | 34,15 |  | 45,99 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80,14 |
| Austria (>56y, C) | 28,38 |  | 23,82 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56,20 |
| Austria (pregnant women, C ) | 24,99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24,99 |
| Austria (lactating women, C ) | 46,56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46,56 |
| Belgium (Teenager, 14-18 y, Gent, P) ${ }^{11}$ | 1,20 |  | 0,10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,30 |
| Belgium (Teenager, 14-18 y, Gent, C) ${ }^{11}$ | 8,10 |  | 3,10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11,20 |


|  | apple juice | pear <br> juice | grape juice | fruit juice | cider | puree | baby <br> food | others | fresh <br> fruit | total dietary intake |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| France (Children: P) ${ }^{\mathbf{7}}$ | 3,00 |  |  |  | 0,10 | 1,70 |  |  |  | 4,90 |
| France (Children: C) ${ }^{7}$ | 18,80 |  |  |  | 5,40 | 6,80 |  |  |  | 12,90 |
| France (Children: 3-5 y, P) ${ }^{7}$ | 4,90 |  |  |  | 0,10 | 3,10 |  |  |  | 8,10 |
| France (Children: 6-8 y, P) ${ }^{7}$ | 4,50 |  |  |  | 0,10 | 2,20 |  |  |  | 6,90 |
| France (Children: 9-11 y, P) ${ }^{7}$ | 1,60 |  |  |  | 0,10 | 1,00 |  |  |  | 2,70 |
| France (Children: 12-14 y, P) ${ }^{7}$ | 1,20 |  |  |  | 0,06 | 0,60 |  |  |  | 1,80 |
| France (Adults: P) ${ }^{7}$ | 0,30 |  |  |  | 0,30 | 0,60 |  |  |  | 1,23 |
| France (Adults: C) ${ }^{7}$ | 8,90 |  |  |  | 5,60 | 3,30 |  |  |  | 4,90 |
| France (Adults: males, P) ${ }^{7}$ | 0,36 |  |  |  | 0,43 | 0,40 |  |  |  | 1,19 |
| France (Adults: females, $\mathbf{P}$ ) ${ }^{7}$ | 0,25 |  |  |  | 0,22 | 0,78 |  |  |  | 1,26 |
| Germany (Children, girls, 1 y, P) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25,00 |  |  | 25,00 |
| Germany (Children, girls, 1,5 y, P) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36,00 |  |  | 36,00 |
| Germany (Children, girls, $2 \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{P}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27,00 |  |  | 27,00 |
| Germany (Children, girls, 3 y, P) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41,00 |  |  | 41,00 |
| Germany (Children, boys, 1 y, P) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22,00 |  |  | 22,00 |
| Germany (Children, boys, 1,5 y, P) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49,00 |  |  | 49,00 |
| Germany (Children, boys, 2 y, P) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25,00 |  |  | 25,00 |
| Germany (Children, boys, 3 y, P) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51,00 |  |  | 51,00 |
| Germany (Children, girls, 4-6 y, C) | 47,00 | 6,40 | 11,00 |  |  | 1,60 |  | 0,60 |  | 66,60 |
| Germany (Children, girls, 4-6 y, P) | 43,00 | 0,30 | 0,60 |  |  | 0,02 |  | 0,10 |  | 44,02 |
| Germany (Children, 6-14 y, C) | 30,00 | 5,40 | 7,70 |  |  | 1,20 |  | 0,40 |  | 44,70 |
| Germany (Children, 6-14 y, P) | 9,50 | 0,05 | 0,16 |  |  | 0,01 |  | 0,04 |  | 9,76 |
| Germany (Adults, >14 y, C) | 11,00 | 2,30 | 3,40 |  |  | 0,60 |  | 0,20 |  | 17,50 |
| Germany (Adults, >14 y, P) | 1,90 | 0,02 | 0,06 |  |  | 0,01 |  | 0,02 |  | 2,01 |
| Italy (only juice and puree, $P$ ) ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  | 9,56 |  | 0,03 |  |  |  | 9,59 |
| Italy (only juice and puree, C$)^{5}$ |  |  |  | 51,97 |  | 1,12 |  |  |  | 53,09 |
| Italy (only fresh fruit, P) ${ }^{\mathbf{6}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83,05 | 83,05 |
| Italy (only fresh fruit, C) ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 140,36 | 140,36 |
| Italy (fresh fruit, juice and puree, $P$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92,64 |
| Italy (fresh fruit, juice and puree, C ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 193,45 |
| Italy (Toddlers, P) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0,02 |  |  | 0,02 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | apple juice | pear <br> juice | grape juice | fruit juice | cider | puree | baby food | others | fresh <br> fruit | total dietary intake |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Norway (P) | 0,89 ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |  | 0,25 |  |  |  |  | 1,14 |
| Portugal (P) | 0,115 | 0,068 |  | 0,111 |  |  |  |  |  | 0,29 |
| Spain ( $\mathbf{P})^{3}$ |  |  |  | 0,18 ${ }^{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0,18 |
| Spain (P) ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  | 0,07 ${ }^{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0,07 |
| Spain (Adults, 25-65 y, P) ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  | 0,34 ${ }^{9}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0,34 |
| Sweden (Adults, 15-74 y, P) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | 1,20 ${ }^{12}$ |  | 0,90 |  |  |  | 2,10 |
| Sweden (Adults, 19-74 y, P) ${ }^{2}$ | 0,00 |  |  | 1,20 |  | 0,01 |  |  |  | 1,21 |
| Sweden (Adults, 19-74 y, C) ${ }^{2}$ | 1,10 |  |  | 2,50 |  | 0,60 |  |  |  | 4,20 |
| Sweden (Children , 7-14 y, P) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | 2,50 ${ }^{12}$ |  | 2,00 |  |  |  | 4,50 |
| UK (Toddlers: 1,5-4,5 y, C) | 120,00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120,00 |
| UK (Children 4-18 y, C) | 40,00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40,00 |
| UK (Children 4-6 y, C) | 57,00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57,00 |
| UK (Children 7-10 y, C) | 44,00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44,00 |
| UK (Children 11-14 y, C) | 30,00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30,00 |
| UK (Children 15-18 y, C) | 25,00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25,00 |
| UK (Adults, 16-64 y, C) | 12,00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,00 |

P All population
C Consumers
1 Ref. Hulken
2 Ref. Riksmaten
3 UNPUBLISHED DATA. Internal survey conducted by Centro Nacional de Alimentación (Ministry of Health and Consumption) 4 ARMENTIA, A., JALON, M., URIETA, I. and MACHO, M.L.
5 For the summary of daily intake the occurrence data of apple (with and without peel, peach and pear) are not considered.
6 For the summary of daily intake the occurrence data of apple without peel are not considered.
7 Instead of summing up the daily intakes of each commodity the French representative proposed to do the calculation of the daily intake of patulin with the real disaggregated data on individuals from all the commodities
8 It is the sum of apple juice and -nectar
9 All fruit juices
10 Fruit juice except grape, orange, peach and pineapple juice
11 With occurrence data from 1997-2001
12 About $50 \%$ of all consumed juices are pure orange juice, it was calculated wit $50 \%$ of the consumption of fruit juice

Table 6. Summary of LOD and LOQ for patulin of each country

| Country | commodity | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOD } \\ \text { in } \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LOQ } \\ \text { in } \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Austria | apple juice |  | 4-16 |
|  | grape juice |  | 4-8 |
|  | baby food |  | 5-10 |
|  | grape must |  | 4-8 |
|  | apple concentrate |  | 5-20 |
| Belgium | apple juice |  | 5-10 |
|  | apple compote |  | 5-21 |
|  | baby food |  | 5-17 |
|  | grape juice |  | 6-7 |
|  | cider |  | 7 |
|  | canned pears |  | 5 |
| France | apple juice | 2 | 5 |
|  | apple puree | 0,7 | 2 |
|  | baby food | 0,7 | 2 |
|  | cider and drink based on cider | 2 | 5 |
| Germany |  | 2-10 | 5-20 |
| Italy | A | 0,2-0,4 | 0,75-0,90 |
|  | B | 0,5-5 | 1,0-10 |
|  | C-juice | 0,03-3,1 | 10 |
|  | C-baby food | 0,03 | 1,0 |
|  | D-dry fruit |  | 50 |
|  | D-apple puree |  | 10-20 |
|  | D-baby food |  | 25 |
|  | D- juice and nectar |  | 2-50 |
| Norway |  |  | 5 |
| Portugal |  | 5 | 15 |
| Spain |  | 2,5 | 5 |
| Sweden |  | 2 |  |
| United Kingdom |  | 5-7 | 15-20 |


[^0]:    (a) one sample of concentrated juice contained $94 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, however this means $19 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ as consumed ( 5 x diluted as advised), so this sample is treated as containing $19 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ and is not the maximum.
    (b) results given as sold, not as consumed, although most products are concentrated juices to be diluted

[^1]:    ${ }^{\circ}$ detection with LOQ > $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$

[^2]:    ${ }^{\circ}$ detection with LOQ > $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$

[^3]:    *Austrian Study of Nutritional Status (Inst. of Nutritional Sciences, Vienna, Univ.Prof.Dr.I.Elmadfa)

[^4]:    *Austrian Study of Nutritional Status (Inst. of Nutritional Sciences, Vienna, Univ.Prof.Dr.I.Elmadfa)
    ** Study Inst. of Nutritional Sciences, Vienna, Univ.Prof.Dr.I.Elmadfa

[^5]:    * There isn't direct consumption of apple and pear purees, because they are only used by industry.

[^6]:    (**) mean (1)

[^7]:    (**) mean (1)

[^8]:    (**) mean (1)

[^9]:    (**) mean (1)

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Instead of summing up the daily intakes of each commodity the French representative proposeded to do the calculation of the daily intake of patulin with the real disaggregated data on individuals from all the commodities.

