_1. INTRODUCTION 1.1 What is the name of your organisation?

SCA UNEAL

1.2 What stakeholder group does your organisation belong to?

Company operating on national level

1.2.1 Please specify

1.3 Please write down the address (postal, e-mail, telephone, fax and web page if available) of your organisation

SCA UNEAL 1 RUE MARCEL LEBLANC 62233 SAINT LAURENT BLANGY FRANCE

2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

2.1 Are the problems defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

Yes

2.2 Have certain problems been overlooked?

Yes

2.2.1 Please state which one(s)

Problems are the impact of selection and marketing of seeds on production: * improvement of productiveness and quality (flour-milling wheat, barley brewing) * impact of the seeds sector in the reduction of inputs (chemicals, fertilizers)

2.3 Are certain problems underestimated or overly emphasized?

Underestimated

2.3.1 Please indicate the problems that have not been estimated rightly

They keep too much importance to the liberalization of the market. It is necessary to think about the Sustainable development wich must be carried. In seeds, regulations are there to encourage a good management of common gene patrimony (notion of Public Goods).

2.4 Other suggestions or remarks

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW

3.1 Are the objectives defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

3.2 Have certain objectives been overlooked?

Yes

3.2.1 Please state which one(s)

It is to advocat a sustainable agriculture with 3 objectives: economic, social, environmental.

3.3 Are certain objectives inappropriate?

No

3.3.1 Please state which one(s)

3.4 Is it possible to have a regime whereby a variety is considered as being automatically registered in an EU catalogue as soon as a variety protection title is granted by CPVO?

No

3.5 If there is a need to prioritise the objectives, which should be the most important ones? (Please rank 1 to 5, 1 being first priority)

Ensure availability of healthy high quality seed and propagating material

Secure the functioning of the internal market for seed and propagating material

Empower users by informing them about seed and propagating material

Contribute to improve biodiversity, sustainability and favour innovation

Promote plant health and support agriculture, horticulture and forestry

3.6 Other suggestions and remarks

These objectives can be taken into account at the same time in a global thought.

4. OPTIONS FOR CHANGE

4.1 Are the scenarios defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

Nο

4.2 Have certain scenarios been overlooked?

Yes

4.2.1 Please state which one(s)

it would be a scenario wich indeed takes into account all objectives without setting them against each other wile allowing a reduction of expenses by delegating certain functionalities to the firme.

4.3 Are certain scenarios unrealistic?

Yes

4.3.1 Please state which one(s) and why

Scenario 3 and 4 are very liberal.

4.4 Do you agree with the reasoning leading to the discard of the "no-changes" and the "abolishment" scenarios?

Yes

4.5 Other suggestions and remarks

We are in favour of the fact that the totality of the commercialized varieties are inscribed to the catalogue and subjected to all the control tests.

5. ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS

5.1 Are the impacts correctly analysed in the context of S&PM marketing?

No

5.2 Have certain impacts been overlooked?

Yes

5.2.1 Please state which one(s)

Impacts on plant health and environment were neglected.

5.3 Are certain impacts underestimated or overly emphasized? Underestimated

5.3.1 Please provide evidence or data to support your assessment:

The seed regulation favours the provisioning to the farmers of diseases-free varieties (for instance cereal ergot). This avoids, on middle-long term, important health problems to be managed by authorities.

5.4 How do you rate the proportionality of a generalised traceability/labelling and fit-for-purpose requirement (as set out in scenario 4)?

4 = not very proportional

5.5 How do you assess the possible impact of the various scenarios on your organisation or on the stakeholders that your organisation represents? Scenario 1

Rather negative

Scenario 2

Very beneficial

Scenario 3

Rather negative

Scenario 4

Very negative

Scenario 5

Neutral

5.5.1 Please state your reasons for your answers above, where possible providing evidence or data to support your assessment:

we are favourable of a certain number of common rules for inscription in the catalogue and a certain seeds trade control. As a cooperative, we are favourable to an objective broadcast of information on varieties through a European Catalogue who takes into account productiveness, quality but also future environmental value of varietes.

6. ASSESSMENT OF SCENARIOS

6.1 Which scenario or combination of scenarios would best meet the objectives of the review of the legislation?

Scenario 2

6.1.1 What are your views with regards to combining elements from the various scenarios into a new scenario?

6.1.1 Please explain the new scenario in terms of key features

6.2 Do you agree with the comparison of the scenarios in the light of the potential to achieve the objectives?

No

6.2.1 Please explain:

The evaluation made seems to us subjective and likely to orientate persons that are questioned and might not be specialized in the very technical domaine of seeds, towards the scenario 4 wich

we reject

7. OTHER COMMENTS

- 7.1 Further written comments on the seeds and propagating material review:
- 7.2 Please make reference here to any available data/documents that support your answer, or indicate sources where such data/documents can be found:

Results of the Millenium Ecosystem Assesment show the necessity of correction of a trajectory only foundede on performance and market.