# CODEX COMMITTEE ON GENERAL PRINCIPLES (29<sup>th</sup> Session) Paris, France, 9-13 March 2015

# **European Union Comments on**

# **Agenda item 2: Matters Referred to the Committee**

# <u>Part A. MATTERS ARISING FROM THE 37<sup>TH</sup> SESSION OF THE CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION (CAC)</u>

#### Codex Strategic Plan 2014-2019

# Mixed Competence Member States Vote

Strategic Goal	Objective	Activity	Expected	Measurable
			Outcome	Indicators/Outputs
1: Establish	1.1: Establish new and	1.1.1: Consistently apply	New or updated	- Priority setting criteria
international food	review existing Codex	decision-making and	standards are	are reviewed, revised as
standards that	standards, based on	priority-setting criteria	developed in a	required and applied.
address current and	priorities of the CAC	across Committees to	timely manner	- # of standards revised
emerging food		ensure that the standards		and # of new standards
issues.		and work areas of highest		developed based on these
		priority are progressed in a		criteria.
		timely manner.		

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. Not only is CCGP a committee that is able to set standards, it is also responsible to ensure the necessary mechanisms are in place for other Committees to conduct their standard setting work effectively.

Does the Committee use any specific criteria for standards development?

Like all Committees, CCGP utilises the criteria laid down in the Procedural Manual to develop standards. The EUMS continue to believe that CCGP should be kept apprised of other Committees' work in order to ensure consistency of the provisions of the Procedural Manual in standard development.

Does the Committee intend to develop such criteria?

Not at present.

riot at present.				
	1.2: Proactively identify	1.2.1: Develop a systematic	Timely Codex	- Committees implement
	emerging issues and	approach to promote	response to	systematic approaches for
	Member needs and,	identification of emerging	emerging issues	identification of emerging
	where appropriate,	issues related to food safety,	and to the needs	issues.
	develop relevant food	nutrition, and fair practices	of Members.	- Regular reports on
	standards.	in the food trade.		systematic approach and
				emerging issues made to
				the CCEXEC through the
				Codex Secretariat.

# Question to the Committee:

*Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?* 

It could be, particularly if related to procedural and/or general matters.

How does the Committee identify emerging issues and members needs? Is there a systematic approach? Is it necessary to develop

		directly to Committees or the		
		art of CCGP. In that event, the ent approach works well. No ne		
		1.2.2: Develop and revise international and regional standards as needed, in response to needs identified by Members and in response to factors that affect food safety, nutrition and fair practices in the food trade.	Improved ability of Codex to develop standards relevant to the needs of its Members.	- Input from committees identifying and prioritizing needs of Members Report to CCEXEC from committees on how standards developed address the needs of the Members as part of critical review process.
	mittee: nt to the work of the Commit t to the work of CCGP.	ttee?		
How does the Commisuch an approach? See above.	ttee identify emerging issue.	s and members needs? Is there	a systematic approaci	h? Is it necessary to develop
2: Ensure the application of risk analysis principles in the development of Codex standards.	2.1: Ensure consistent use of risk analysis principles and scientific advice.	2.1.1: Use the scientific advice of the joint FAO/WHO expert bodies to the fullest extent possible in food safety and nutrition standards development based on the "Working Principles of Risk Analysis for Application in the Framework of the Codex Alimentarius".	Scientific advice consistently taken into account by all relevant committees during the standard setting process.	# of times the need for scientific advice is: - identified, - requested and, - utilized in a timely manner.
The application of ris to the work of CCGP laid down in the Proceed Does the committee re-	at to the work of the Commin ik analysis principles in the in a general sense. CCGP a edural Manual can be applie	ttee? development of Codex standar lso has a role to play in ensurin ad consistently by all Committee ourse of its work, how often doe	g that the provisions ces.	on risk analysis principles as
	lways use the scientific advi	ice, if not, why not?		
N/a.				
		2.1.2: Encourage engagement of scientific and technical expertise of Members and their representatives in the development of Codex standards.	Increase in scientific and technical experts at the national level contributing to the development of Codex standards.	<ul> <li># of scientists and technical experts as part of Member delegations.</li> <li># of scientists and technical experts providing appropriate input to country positions.</li> </ul>
Not applicable to CC	GP.			
		2.1.3: Ensure that all relevant factors are fully considered in exploring risk management options in the context of Codex standard development.	Enhanced identification, and documentation of all relevant factors considered by committees during the development of Codex standards.	- # of committee documents identifying all relevant factors guiding risk management recommendations # of committee documents clearly reflecting how those relevant factors were considered in the context of standards development.
Question to the Commercial Is this activity relevant	<b>mittee:</b> nt to the work of the Commit	ttee?		

Yes, it is relevant when CCGP develops standards.

How does the Committee ensure that all relevant factors have been taken into account when developing a standard and how are these documented?

The Procedural Manual is already sufficiently clear in its Working Principles for Risk Analysis that risk management should follow a structured approach including preliminary risk management activities, evaluation of risk management options, monitoring and review of the decision taken. These principles require a transparent, consistent and fully documented risk management process, and a presentation of the conclusion of the risk assessment before making final proposals or decisions on the available risk management options.

E 1			
	2.1.4: Communicate the risk	Risk management	- # of web publication/
	management	recommendations	communications relaying
	recommendations to all	are effectively	Codex standards.
	interested parties.	communicated	- # of media releases
		and disseminated	disseminating Codex
		to all interested	standards.
		parties.	

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Not particularly, except when it is linked to the setting of a standard by CCGP.

When taking a risk management decision, does the committee give guidance to members how to communicate this decision? Would more consideration of this be helpful to members?

Such consideration could be helpful. The EUMS believe that once the Codex general communication strategy is developed, this could facilitate Committees ability to communicate risk management recommendations to interested parties as necessary.

3: Facilitate the	3.1: Increase the	3.1.5: To the extent	Active participation	- Report on number of
effective participation	effective participation	possible, promote the use	of Members in	committees and
of all Codex Members.	of developing countries	of the official languages	committees and	working groups using
	in Codex.	of the Commission in	working groups.	the languages of the
		committees and working		Commission
		groups.		

#### **Question to the Committee:**

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes, promoting the effective participation of developing countries is of interest for all Committees, particularly CCGP where issues of interest to the entire organisation are discussed.

Is the use of official languages in working groups of the committee sufficient?

The EUMS would recommend using as many languages as possible in WGs in order to facilitate members' participation.

What are the factors determining the choice of languages?

This mainly depends on the Member chairing the WG.

How could the situation be improved?

The EUMS are open to suggestions on how the situation may be improved. Hosting countries have a set of criteria to meet as hosts. These include provisions with respect to language diversity. Where host countries or members anticipate difficulties, the EUMS believe these should be signalled to the Codex secretariat, in sufficient time, to find a remedy.

Being beneve these should be signahed to the codex secretariat, in sufficient time, to find a remedy.					
	3.2: Promote capacity	3.2.3: Where practical, the	Enhancement of the	# of activities	
	development	use of Codex meetings as	opportunities to	hosted on the margins	
	programs that assist	a forum to effectively	conduct concurrent	of Codex meetings.	
	countries in creating	conduct educational and	activities to maximize		
	sustainable national	technical capacity	use of the resources of		
	Codex structures.	building activities.	Codex and Members.		

#### Question to the Committee:

*Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?* 

Yes, insofar as such capacity development programs can help all member countries to participate in Codex work.

Does the Committee organize technical capacity activities or other activities in the margins of Committee sessions? If yes – how many and with which topics have been organized in the past.

Capacity building that will allow members to play a more active role in CCGP is most welcome. The EUMS believe it is not necessarily useful to organise technical capacity activities or other activities in the margins of CCGP unless such activities are directly related to the procedural or more general issues dealt with by CCGP.

If no – could this be useful and what topics could be addressed?

The EUMS believe that capacity building in itself is a good thing however the topics chosen for such activities should be selected in consultation with the parent organisations in order to avoid inconsistencies and duplication of work.

4: Implement effective	4.1: Strive for an	4.1.4: Ensure timely	Codex documents	- Baseline Ratio (%)
and efficient work	effective, efficient,	distribution of all Codex	distributed in a	established for
management systems	transparent, and	working documents in the	more timely	documents distributed
and practices.	consensus based	working languages of the	manner consistent	at least 2 months prior
	standard setting	Committee/Commission.	with timelines in	to versus less than 2
	process.		the Procedural	months prior to a
			Manual.	scheduled meeting.
				- Factors that
				potentially delay the
				circulation of
				documents identified
				and addressed.
				- An increase in the
				ratio (%) of documents
				circulated 2 months or
				more prior to
				meetings.

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. The EUMS strongly believe that it is essential to maintain transparent and consensus-based decision making in the framework of Codex Alimentarius, particularly when dealing with procedural and general issues and where the standards adopted apply globally. This is necessary to ensure the legitimacy, credibility and worldwide acceptance of Codex standards.

Furthermore, every possible effort should be made to ensure the timely distribution of documents in order to ensure the best possible input into the decision-making process.

Does the Committee have a mechanism in place to ensure timely distribution of documents? What could be done to further improve the situation?

The requirement for timely distribution of documents already exists in the Procedural Manual. Both the Codex Secretariat and concerned members should be more disciplined in ensuring its implementation. Where timely distribution of documents cannot be achieved, members should be informed immediately as a matter of course. The EUMS believe that the untimely distribution of documents occurs to the detriment of members and the entire organisation alike, and should thus be avoided at all costs.

4.1.5: Increase the	Improved	- # of physical working
scheduling of Work Group	efficiency in use	group meetings in
meetings in conjunction	of resources by	conjunction with
with Committee meetings.	Codex	committee meetings,
	committees and	where appropriate.
	Members	

### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. In order to be more effective, these should be well-known in advance and planned accordingly in order to feed into, and facilitate, the work of the Committee.

 $Does\ the\ Committee\ hold\ physical\ working\ groups\ independent\ of\ Committee\ sessions?\ If\ yes-why\ is\ this\ necessary?$ 

There does not seem to be any added value of holding physical working groups independent of Committee sessions, unless justified by very specific needs. The EUMS remain concerned about the additional resources that such organisation would require.

. 1				
	4.2: Enhance capacity	4.2.1: Improve the	Members and	- Training material on
	to arrive at consensus in	understanding of Codex	delegates	guidance to achieve
	standards setting	Members and delegates of	awareness of the	consensus developed and
	process.	the importance of and	importance of	made available in the
		approach to consensus	consensus in the	languages of the
		building of Codex work.	Codex standard	Commission to delegates.
			setting process	- Regular dissemination
			improved.	of existing material to
				Members through Codex
				Contact Points.
				- Delegate training
				programs held in
				association with Codex
				meetings.
				- Impediments to
				consensus being achieved
				in Codex identified and
				analysed and additional
				guidance developed to
				address such

		impediments, necessary.	if
		necessary.	

#### Question to the Committee:

*Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?* 

Yes. Consensus-based decision making is enshrined in the Procedural Manual of the Codex Alimentarius and in particularly necessary for a global standard setting body such as Codex. It is incumbent on the chair of the Committee to explore all possible means to reach consensus before taking any final decision on progressing a standard. Where such attempts fail, the Procedural Manual provides for decisions to be taken on the basis of a vote. The EUMS recognise that CCGP is the appropriate place for any discussion on such matters in order to find a way to ensure Codex enjoys legitimacy, credibility and worldwide acceptance of its standards. The EUMS would encourage all members to participate in any such discussion.

Are there problems with finding consensus in the Committee? If yes – what are the impediments to consensus? What has been attempted and what more could be done?

There may be. Consensus may not be possible when opposing views are held by different members. Where efforts fail to unravel these differences, a decision should be taken as to whether the matter can be postponed, discontinued altogether or put to a vote. Members should be clear what the implications of each choice are and what the relevant timelines are. Only then should a decision, that represents the interests of the largest majority of members, be taken.