_1. INTRODUCTION 1.1 What is the name of your organisation?

Centre wallon de Recherches Agronomiques (CRAW) - Département Productions et Filières -Unité Stratégies Phytotechniques

1.2 What stakeholder group does your organisation belong to?

Competent Authority (CA) involved in S&PM variety and material registration

1.2.1 Please specify

1.3 Please write down the address (postal, e-mail, telephone, fax and web page if available) of your organisation

CRAW - D2U5 Bâtiment Arthur Petermann - 4 rue du Bordia 5030 Gembloux BELGIUM tel: 003281625000 fax: 003281614152 e-mail: goffart@cra.wallonie.be; g.jacquemin@cra.wallonie.be www.cra.wallonie.be

2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

2.1 Are the problems defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

2.2 Have certain problems been overlooked?

No

2.2.1 Please state which one(s)

2.3 Are certain problems underestimated or overly emphasized?

Overestimated

2.3.1 Please indicate the problems that have not been estimated rightly

In the S and PM works in the EU Member States, the fact that it represents 1500-2000 jobs for the 27 MS in Europe is not really a problem from an economic point of view

2.4 Other suggestions or remarks

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW

3.1 Are the objectives defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

3.2 Have certain objectives been overlooked?

No

3.2.1 Please state which one(s)

3.3 Are certain objectives inappropriate?

3.3.1 Please state which one(s)

3.4 Is it possible to have a regime whereby a variety is considered as being automatically registered in an EU catalogue as soon as a variety protection title is granted by CPVO?

No

3.5 If there is a need to prioritise the objectives, which should be the most important ones? (Please rank 1 to 5, 1 being first priority)

Ensure availability of healthy high quality seed and propagating material

Secure the functioning of the internal market for seed and propagating material

Empower users by informing them about seed and propagating material

Contribute to improve biodiversity, sustainability and favour innovation

Promote plant health and support agriculture, horticulture and forestry

3.6 Other suggestions and remarks

Contribute to improve biodiversity, sustainability and favour innovation is also important and relevant!

4. OPTIONS FOR CHANGE

4.1 Are the scenarios defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing? Yes

4.2 Have certain scenarios been overlooked?

No

4.2.1 Please state which one(s)

4.3 Are certain scenarios unrealistic?

Yes

4.3.1 Please state which one(s) and why

Scenario 3 because it is really to liberal Scenario 4 because there is no more insurance for enough control and regulation on S and PM evaluation

4.4 Do you agree with the reasoning leading to the discard of the "no-changes" and the "abolishment" scenarios?

No

4.5 Other suggestions and remarks

the "No-changes" option should be one of the considered scenarios

5. ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS

5.1 Are the impacts correctly analysed in the context of S&PM marketing?

Yes

5.2 Have certain impacts been overlooked?

No opinion

5.2.1 Please state which one(s)

- 5.3 Are certain impacts underestimated or overly emphasized? No opinion
- 5.3.1 Please provide evidence or data to support your assessment:
- 5.4 How do you rate the proportionality of a generalised traceability/labelling and fit-for-purpose requirement (as set out in scenario 4)?

5 = not proportional at all

5.5 How do you assess the possible impact of the various scenarios on your organisation or on the stakeholders that your organisation represents?

Scenario 1

Neutral

Scenario 2

Very negative

Scenario 3

Very negative

Scenario 4

Very negative

Scenario 5

Fairly beneficial

5.5.1 Please state your reasons for your answers above, where possible providing evidence or data to support your assessment:

Scenario 1: no impact on the Job for itself Scenarios 2, 3 and 4: negative impact on employment and jobs in the public sector Scenario 5: potential development of the DUS/VCU activities

- 6. ASSESSMENT OF SCENARIOS
- 6.1 Which scenario or combination of scenarios would best meet the objectives of the review of the legislation?

Scenario 5

- 6.1.1 What are your views with regards to combining elements from the various scenarios into a new scenario?
- 6.1.1 Please explain the new scenario in terms of key features
- 6.2 Do you agree with the comparison of the scenarios in the light of the potential to achieve the objectives?

Yes

6.2.1 Please explain:

7. OTHER COMMENTS

7.1 Further written comments on the seeds and propagating material review:

7.2 Please make reference here to any available data/documents that support your answer, or indicate sources where such data/documents can be found: