

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 What is the name of your organisation?

FRANCOIS Rose Marie

1.2 What stakeholder group does your organisation belong to?

Consumer

1.2.1 Please specify

1.3 Please write down the address (postal, e-mail, telephone, fax and web page if available) of your organisation

4 rue jules Pottier F 59320 Sequedin

2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

2.1 Are the problems defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

No

2.2 Have certain problems been overlooked?

Yes

2.2.1 Please state which one(s)

Le règlement permet d'avoir des informations précises sur la qualité des produits.

2.3 Are certain problems underestimated or overly emphasized?

Underestimated

2.3.1 Please indicate the problems that have not been estimated rightly

La résistance aux maladies , l'appétence..

2.4 Other suggestions or remarks

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW

3.1 Are the objectives defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

Yes

3.2 Have certain objectives been overlooked?

Yes

3.2.1 Please state which one(s)

Sécurité alimentaire , liée à la parfaite connaissance des variétés produites : seul un organisme officiel peut sécuriser ces informations , pour l'inscription de variété autorisée à la vente. (dans certains pays du sud de l'U.E des gazons très laids sont vendus car il n'y a pas de règle technique pour cela)

3.3 Are certain objectives inappropriate?

No

3.3.1 Please state which one(s)

3.4 Is it possible to have a regime whereby a variety is considered as being automatically registered in an EU catalogue as soon as a variety protection title is granted by CPVO?

No

3.5 If there is a need to prioritise the objectives, which should be the most important ones? (Please rank 1 to 5, 1 being first priority)

Ensure availability of healthy high quality seed and propagating material

Secure the functioning of the internal market for seed and propagating material

Empower users by informing them about seed and propagating material

Contribute to improve biodiversity, sustainability and favour innovation

1

Promote plant health and support agriculture, horticulture and forestry

2

3.6 Other suggestions and remarks

Un règlement précis , sérieux , appliqué à tous , permet le développement d'un marché animé d'une saine compétitivité. De plus , il évite les fraudes , nombreuses là ou il n'y a pas de règlement (pureté , mauvaises herbes , mauvaise variété)

4. OPTIONS FOR CHANGE

4.1 Are the scenarios defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

No

4.2 Have certain scenarios been overlooked?

Yes

4.2.1 Please state which one(s)

scenario 5 : aucune sécurité , pas confiance aux entreprises

4.3 Are certain scenarios unrealistic?

Yes

4.3.1 Please state which one(s) and why

scenario 5

4.4 Do you agree with the reasoning leading to the discard of the "no-changes" and the "abolishment" scenarios?

Yes

4.5 Other suggestions and remarks

5. ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS

5.1 Are the impacts correctly analysed in the context of S&PM marketing?

No

5.2 Have certain impacts been overlooked?

Yes

5.2.1 Please state which one(s)

Sécurité des consommateurs Traçabilité des semences et des plantes

5.3 Are certain impacts underestimated or overly emphasized?

Underestimated

5.3.1 Please provide evidence or data to support your assessment:

L'importance de la valorisation génétique par des notes sérieuses et officielles (pas privées)

5.4 How do you rate the proportionality of a generalised traceability/labelling and fit-for-purpose requirement (as set out in scenario 4)?

5 = not proportional at all

5.5 How do you assess the possible impact of the various scenarios on your organisation or on the stakeholders that your organisation represents?

Scenario 1

Very beneficial

Scenario 2

Fairly beneficial

Scenario 3

Rather negative

Scenario 4

Rather negative

Scenario 5

Very negative

5.5.1 Please state your reasons for your answers above, where possible providing evidence or data to support your assessment:

Notes officielles : très important pour la sécurité du consommateur

6. ASSESSMENT OF SCENARIOS

6.1 Which scenario or combination of scenarios would best meet the objectives of the review of the legislation?

Scenario with new features

6.1.1 What are your views with regards to combining elements from the various scenarios into a new scenario?

6.1.1 Please explain the new scenario in terms of key features

Des tests officiels mais avec des partenariats entre pays , pour diminuer les couts.

6.2 Do you agree with the comparison of the scenarios in the light of the potential to achieve the objectives?

No

6.2.1 Please explain:

Trop d'incertitude sur la qualité des informations concernant les variétés

7. OTHER COMMENTS

7.1 Further written comments on the seeds and propagating material review:

L'importance de maintenir le choix , avec des entreprises de taille moyenne ou petites , qui n'auront les mêmes moyens que les multinationales pour préparer et tester leur variété : des essais officiels de notations et de distinction sont très importants

7.2 Please make reference here to any available data/documents that support your answer, or indicate sources where such data/documents can be found:

Le catalogue des variétés de gazon Les notes dans la revue Elevage Laitier des semences pour prairies.

