

# CODEX COMMITTEE ON FOOD HYGIENE

## Forty-eighth Session

Los Angeles, California, USA, 7 – 11 November 2016

### PROVISIONAL ANNOTATED AGENDA

#### Division of Competence

Between the European Union and its Member States according to Rule of Procedure II Paragraph 5 of the Codex Alimentarius Commission

Agenda Item	Subject Matter	Document Reference
1	Adoption of the Agenda <b>Member States Competence.</b> <b>Member States Vote.</b> <sup>1</sup>	CX/FH 16/48/1
2	Matters Referred by the Codex Alimentarius Commission and/or Other Codex Subsidiary Bodies to the Food Hygiene Committee <b>Mixed Competence.</b> <b>European Union Vote.</b>	CX/FH 16/48/2
3	Matters arising from the Work of FAO, WHO and other International Intergovernmental Organizations: (a) Progress Report on the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Meetings on Microbiological Risk Assessment (JEMRA) and Related Matters (b) Information from the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) <b>Mixed Competence.</b> <b>Member States Vote.</b>	CX/FH 16/48/3  CX/FH 16/48/4
4	Proposed Draft Revision of the General Principles of Food Hygiene (CAC/RCP 1-1969) and its HACCP Annex at Step 4 - Comments at Step 3 <b>Mixed Competence.</b> <b>European Union Vote.</b>	CX/FH 16/48/5  CX/FH 16/48/5-Add.1
5	Proposed Draft Revision of the Code of Hygienic Practice for Fresh Fruits and Vegetables (CAC/RCP 53-2003) at Step 4 - Comments at Step 3 <b>Mixed Competence.</b> <b>European Union Vote.</b>	CX/FH 16/48/6  CX/FH 16/48/6-Add.1

<sup>1</sup> This is without prejudice to the substantive competence that the European Union has for individual items on the agenda.

6	<p><b>Proposed Draft Guidance on histamine control and sampling plans for histamine at Step 4</b></p> <p>- Comments at Step 3</p> <p><b>Mixed Competence.</b> <b>European Union Vote.</b></p>	<p><b>CX/FH 16/48/7</b></p> <p><b>CX/FH 16/48/7-Add.1</b></p>
7	<p><b>Proposal to merge all guidance for control of foodborne parasites: <i>Guidelines on the Application of General Principles of Food Hygiene to the Control of Foodborne Parasites</i></b></p> <p><b>Mixed Competence.</b> <b>European Union Vote.</b></p>	<p><b>CX/FH 16/48/8</b></p>
8	<p><b>Other Business and Future Work:</b></p> <p><b>(a) New Work / Forward Workplan (Proposals in reply to CL 2016/18-FH)</b></p> <p><b>Mixed Competence.</b> <b>European Union Vote.</b></p>	<p><b>CX/FH 16/48/9</b></p>
9	<p><b>Date and Place of the Next Session</b></p> <p><b>Member States Competence.</b> <b>Member States Vote.</b></p>	
10	<p><b>Adoption of the Report</b></p> <p><b>Member States Competence.</b> <b>Member States Vote.<sup>2</sup></b></p>	

---

<sup>2</sup> This is without prejudice to the substantive competence that the European Union has for individual items of the Report.