## \_1. INTRODUCTION 1.1 What is the name of your organisation?

PEPINIERES DU VALOIS

### 1.2 What stakeholder group does your organisation belong to?

Breeder of S± Supplier of S± SME company; International company

### 1.2.1 Please specify

### 1.3 Please write down the address (postal, e-mail, telephone, fax and web page if available) of your organisation

2 RUE DE PLAISANCE 02600 VILLERS COTTERETS FRANCE

### 2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

### 2.1 Are the problems defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

No

### 2.2 Have certain problems been overlooked?

Yes

### 2.2.1 Please state which one(s)

the question of the genetic progress is fully missed

### 2.3 Are certain problems underestimated or overly emphasized?

Overestimated

### 2.3.1 Please indicate the problems that have not been estimated rightly

The transfer of certain tasks performed by industry under official supervision does not reduce the cost

### 2.4 Other suggestions or remarks

### 3. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW

### 3.1 Are the objectives defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

No

### 3.2 Have certain objectives been overlooked?

Yes

### 3.2.1 Please state which one(s)

Inovation has been overlooked. It is a key issue

### 3.3 Are certain objectives inappropriate?

Yes

### 3.3.1 Please state which one(s)

the specific objective related to the costs and administrative burden is not well defined

### 3.4 Is it possible to have a regime whereby a variety is considered as being automatically registered in an EU catalogue as soon as a variety protection title is granted by CPVO? Yes

### 3.5 If there is a need to prioritise the objectives, which should be the most important

ones? (Please rank 1 to 5, 1 being first priority)
Ensure availability of healthy high quality seed and propagating material

Secure the functioning of the internal market for seed and propagating material

Empower users by informing them about seed and propagating material

Contribute to improve biodiversity, sustainability and favour innovation

Promote plant health and support agriculture, horticulture and forestry 2

3.6 Other suggestions and remarks

#### 4. OPTIONS FOR CHANGE

**4.1 Are the scenarios defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?** No

### 4.2 Have certain scenarios been overlooked?

Yes

### 4.2.1 Please state which one(s)

The scenarii proposed are exclusive and the most appropriate answer shall be based on the combination of different aspects of each scenario

### 4.3 Are certain scenarios unrealistic?

No

### 4.3.1 Please state which one(s) and why

### 4.4 Do you agree with the reasoning leading to the discard of the "no-changes" and the "abolishment" scenarios?

Nο

### 4.5 Other suggestions and remarks

### 5. ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS

5.1 Are the impacts correctly analysed in the context of S&PM marketing? No

### 5.2 Have certain impacts been overlooked?

Yes

### 5.2.1 Please state which one(s)

weakening of suppliers, operators position on national, EU and international levels

### 5.3 Are certain impacts underestimated or overly emphasized?

Underestimated

### 5.3.1 Please provide evidence or data to support your assessment:

the loose of mandatory certification for agricultural crops could lead to the reinforcement of phytosanitary problems

### 5.4 How do you rate the proportionality of a generalised traceability/labelling and fit-forpurpose requirement (as set out in scenario 4)?

5 = not proportional at all

# 5.5 How do you assess the possible impact of the various scenarios on your organisation or on the stakeholders that your organisation represents? Scenario 1

Fairly beneficial

### Scenario 2

Very negative

#### Scenario 3

Very negative

#### Scenario 4

Fairly beneficial

### Scenario 5

Very negative

### 5.5.1 Please state your reasons for your answers above, where possible providing evidence or data to support your assessment:

Scenario 1 is good for the certification of fruit trees Scenario 4 is good for the registration of varieties

### 6. ASSESSMENT OF SCENARIOS

### 6.1 Which scenario or combination of scenarios would best meet the objectives of the review of the legislation?

A combination of scenarios

### 6.1.1 What are your views with regards to combining elements from the various scenarios into a new scenario?

Scenario 1 for the certification and scenario 4 for the registration of varieties

### 6.1.1 Please explain the new scenario in terms of key features

### 6.2 Do you agree with the comparison of the scenarios in the light of the potential to achieve the objectives?

No opinion

#### 6.2.1 Please explain:

### 7. OTHER COMMENTS

7.1 Further written comments on the seeds and propagating material review:

### 7.2 Please make reference here to any available data/documents that support your answer, or indicate sources where such data/documents can be found: