

Title

**Summary of the Literature Review for T25 corn
October 1, 2019 – September 30, 2020**

Report

Data or Guideline Requirement

Explanatory note on literature searching
conducted in the context of GMO applications for (renewed) market authorization
and annual post-market environmental monitoring reports on GMOs authorised in the EU market.
EFSA supporting publications 2019:EN-1614

Completion date

December 4, 2020

Authors



Report Number
20-RSCR0017

Activity ID
RSCR0017

The following statement is only intended and valid for submissions which are subject to the United States – Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA)


STATEMENT OF NO DATA CONFIDENTIALITY CLAIMS

No claim of confidentiality, on any basis whatsoever, is made for any information contained in this document. I acknowledge that the information not designated as within the scope of FIFRA, section 10 (d)(1)(A),(B), or (C) in the USA and which pertains to a registered or previously registered pesticide is not entitled to confidential treatment and may be released to the public, subject to provisions regarding disclosure to multinational entities under FIFRA 10(g) in the USA.

However, these data are the property of BASF Agricultural Solutions Seed GmbH or one of its affiliates and, as such, are considered to be a trade secret and confidential for all purposes other than compliance with FIFRA 10 in USA.

Submission of these data in compliance with FIFRA does not constitute a waiver of any right to confidentiality which may exist under any other statute or in any country other than the USA.

Submitter
signature:



Date:

2020-12-04
(YYYY-MM-DD)

Typed name of submitter:




Typed name of company:

BASF Belgium Coordination Center CommV

The above statement supersedes all other statements of confidentiality that may occur elsewhere in this report.

SIGNATURE PAGE

Principal author:



Date

2020-12-04

(YYYY-MM-DD)

STUDY PERSONNEL

Electronic database search	[REDACTED]
Manual search	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Stage 1 assessment	[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Stage 2 assessment	<u>Food and Feed safety</u> [REDACTED] [REDACTED] <u>Molecular characterization</u> [REDACTED] [REDACTED] <u>Environmental safety</u> [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Report	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT OF NO DATA CONFIDENTIALITY CLAIMS	2
SIGNATURE PAGE	3
STUDY PERSONNEL.....	4
TABLE OF CONTENTS	5
TABLES	6
APPENDICES	6
SUMMARY	7
1. INTRODUCTION	8
2. OVERALL METHODS	8
2.1. Objective of the scoping review	8
2.2. Review questions.....	8
2.3. Criteria for relevance	9
2.4. Reference study searches.....	12
3. SEARCH METHODS AND OUTCOMES	12
3.1. Time window and date of the literature search.....	12
3.2. Databases used in the literature search	13
3.3. Search strategy.....	13
4. MANUAL SEARCHES.....	15
4.1. Manual searches of web pages of food safety, agriculture, and biotechnology-related authority webpages	15
4.2. Manual searches of reference lists of recent review articles.....	16
5. RESULTS OF THE STUDY IDENTIFICATION AND SELECTION PROCESS	19
5.1. Screening of titles and abstracts to exclude obviously irrelevant references (Stage 1)...	19
5.2. Detailed assessment of eligible references (Stage 2)	20
6. NARRATIVE SYNTHESIS/SUMMARY OF RELEVANT STUDIES	24
7. CONCLUSION	25
8. REFERENCES	25
9. APPENDICES	26

TABLES

Table 1:	Eligibility/inclusion criteria to establish the relevance of retrieved publications	9
Table 2:	Search profile for database search.....	14
Table 3:	Overview of the selected databases and summary of search results from each database	15
Table 4:	Results of search of food safety, agriculture, and biotechnology-related authority websites	15
Table 5:	Documents for which reference lists were scanned for relevant studies	17
Table 6:	Results of the publication selection process	20
Table 7:	Report of all relevant publications retrieved after detailed assessment of full-text documents for relevance: ordered by category of information/data requirement(s)	21
Table 8:	Report of publications excluded from the risk assessment after detailed assessment of full-text documents.....	21
Table 9:	Report of unobtainable/unclear publications	23
Table 10:	Report of the summary of all relevant publications retrieved after detailed assessment of full-text documents for relevance: ordered by category of information/data requirement(s)	24
Table 11:	Report of the reliability and implications for the risk assessment of all relevant publications retrieved after detailed assessment of full-text documents for relevance: ordered by category of information/data requirement(s)	24

APPENDICES

Appendix 1	Database descriptions	26
Appendix 2	Search history	31

SUMMARY

Zea mays (maize, corn) plants were transformed by direct gene transfer using transformation vector pUC/Ac, carrying a phosphinothricin acetyltransferase (*pat*) gene and a beta-lactamase (*bla*) gene cassette. The *pat* gene encodes the phosphinothricin acetyltransferase (PAT/*pat*) protein conferring tolerance to glufosinate-ammonium herbicides. The *bla* gene is not expressed in T25 plants. The OECD unique identifier is ACS-ZMØØ3-2.

A scoping review was performed for the T25 corn and its newly expressed protein, phosphinothricin acetyltransferase. The objective of this scoping review was to determine if there were studies about the molecular characterization of T25 corn, its effect on food and feed safety, or in environmental safety, that might require in-depth examination. A set of broad literature searches was performed using several bibliographic databases covering scientific literature from October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020. Additional sources of information, such as web pages of food safety, agriculture, and biotechnology-related authorities were searched for the same time window, along with the bibliographies of relevant reviews. The references identified were evaluated for potential relevance to the scoping review questions according to pre-defined criteria.

These literature searches identified a total of 469 unique publications, which were subject to rapid assessment to exclude obviously irrelevant publications. A total of five publications were progressed for detailed assessment. All five publications were determined to be not relevant after detailed review.

No new publications were found that contained new data on the molecular characterization of the T25 corn and its newly expressed protein, phosphinothricin acetyltransferase. Similarly, no new publications were found that suggested any potential adverse effects of this event on human health, animal health, or the environment.

In summary, these literature searches and review of the retrieved publications identified no relevant publications to change the existing safety assessment of the T25 corn.

1. INTRODUCTION

Zea mays (maize, corn) plants were transformed by direct gene transfer using transformation vector pUC/Ac, carrying a phosphinothricin acetyltransferase (*pat*) gene and a beta-lactamase (*bla*) gene cassette. The *pat* gene encodes the phosphinothricin acetyltransferase (PAT/*pat*) protein conferring tolerance to glufosinate-ammonium herbicides. The *bla* gene is not expressed in T25 plants. The OECD unique identifier is ACS-ZMØØ3-2.

The objective of the literature searches described here was to determine if there were publications published between October 1, 2019 and September 30, 2020 that mention the molecular characterization of the T25 corn, and/or any adverse effect of T25 corn in food, feed or the environment. In that context, a broad and inclusive literature search was performed and the articles retrieved were reviewed in a comprehensive and transparent manner. This was intended as a scoping review. The literature review was performed as recommended in the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) explanatory note on literature searching conducted in the context of Genetically Modified Organisms (GMO)¹ applications and post-market environmental monitoring activities (2019).

The literature searches were performed for the T25 corn and its newly expressed protein phosphinothricin acetyltransferase. The search terms also included relevant synonyms, intended trait, plant species and general GMO terms.

2. OVERALL METHODS

2.1. Objective of the scoping review

The objective of the scoping review was to survey the evidence base for the T25 corn and its newly expressed protein phosphinothricin acetyltransferase, in order to identify any specific issues related to food or feed safety, molecular characterization or environmental safety that might require in-depth examination.

2.2. Review questions

Review questions were formulated to conform to PECO structure (Population, Exposure, Comparators, Outcome) if possible, and to address data requirements. They were modeled after the review question examples provided in the EFSA 2019 explanatory note¹.

Question 1: Were any studies published during the reporting period that describe adverse effects on human or animal health or the environment of the T25 corn and its newly expressed protein phosphinothricin acetyltransferase?

Key elements:

Population: Human health; animal health; environmental safety

Exposure: T25 corn, derived food/feed products, newly expressed protein in T25 corn

Comparators: When applicable, comparable populations or subjects exposed to appropriate controls (e.g., vehicle only, innocuous control protein, non-GM comparator) or conventional counterpart used for comparative analysis of plant material

Outcome: Adverse effects

Question 2: Were any studies published during the reporting period that focus on molecular characterization of the T25 corn and its newly expressed protein phosphinothricin acetyltransferase in corn?

Key elements:

Population: T25 corn and newly expressed protein in T25 corn

Outcome: Molecular characterization (which would indicate the information/data requirement for molecular characteristics)

2.3. Criteria for relevance

Criteria for establishing the relevance of retrieved publications were defined prior to conduct of the search. These criteria were modeled after those given in the EFSA 2019 explanatory note¹ and are described in Table 1.

Table 1: Eligibility/inclusion criteria to establish the relevance of retrieved publications

Concepts	Criteria	Comment
Key elements of review questions with PECO structure		
Intervention/exposure	The publication addresses the GMO, derived food/feed products, and/or the intended trait(s) (e.g., newly expressed protein(s)) that are identical or like those under regulatory review	This enables the selection of publications that address the GMO, derived food/feed products, and/or the intended trait(s) under consideration
Population	The publication addresses human and animal health, and/or the environment (including biodiversity, ecosystem services, service providing units, and endangered species) as general protection goals	From the publications that address the GMO under consideration, those that address protection goals relevant to the risk assessment of the GMO are eligible
Outcome	The publication addresses effects/impacts on human and animal health, and/or the environment	Publications that address the GMO under consideration also need to address effects/impacts on entities of concern, and potential determinants of exposure that place these entities at risk, in order to be relevant to the risk assessment of the GMO
Comparator	If the publication reports a comparative study that uses plant material as test material, eligible publications must report a non-GM variety as comparator	In those cases where the publication addresses the GMO under consideration, reports a comparative analysis study and uses plant material as test material, eligible publications also need to include an appropriate non-GM line as comparator

Additional concepts		
Information/data requirements	The publication reports information pertaining to one or more information/data requirement(s) outlined in Appendix A for the GMO and derived food/feed products under consideration, including the intended trait(s)	Publications that potentially contribute to the knowledge informing the risk assessment of the GMO under consideration, and thus the risk hypotheses addressed, taking account of both hazard and exposure, can be considered relevant according to this eligibility/inclusion criterion. Publications addressing other issues such as benefits, socio-economics, ethics, crop protection, detection methods, efficacy, public perception and risk communication can be excluded, as they are not necessarily relevant to the risk assessment of GMOs
Plant species	The publication addresses the same plant species as the GMO under consideration	This eligibility/inclusion criterion permits the exclusion of publications on GMOs that contain the same intended trait(s) as the GMO under consideration, but which are introduced in another plant species
Scope of GMO application	The publication addresses pathways and levels of exposure to the GMO, derived food/feed products, and the intended trait(s) that are relevant for the intended uses of the GMO and derived food/feed products under regulatory review	From the publications that address the GMO under consideration, those that consider pathways and levels of exposure relevant to the scope of the GMO application (i.e., import and processing for food/feed uses, cultivation) are eligible
Target pests/organisms	The publication addresses target pests/organisms that are established in the EU	This permits the exclusion of publications that address interactions between the GMO and target pests/organisms that do not occur in the EU

Stacked events obtained by conventional crosses/subcombinations	The publication addresses the higher stacked event and/or a subcombination or subcombinations of the single events of the higher stacked event, independently of its/their origin	This permits the selection of publications on the higher stacked event and/or subcombinations of the single events of the higher stacked event that are in the scope of the GMO application(e), independently of their origin. This permits the exclusion of publications on the single events of the higher stacked event, because the risk assessment of GMO applications for stacked events covers only the products in the scope of the GMO application – i.e., the higher stacked event and subcombinations of the singles involved, independently of their origin
Molecular stacks	The publication addresses: the molecular stack; all newly expressed proteins in the molecular stack; and/or one or several of the newly expressed proteins in the molecular stack that has/have not been previously risk assessed by EFSA and/or its GMO Panel and for which no safe use has been determined yet by EFSA and/or its GMO Panel	This permits the exclusion of publications that address one or several (not all) of the newly expressed proteins in the molecular stack that has/have been previously risk assessed by EFSA and/or its GMO Panel and for which the safe use has been determined by EFSA and/or its GMO Panel
Previously risk assessed publications	The publication has not been previously risk assessed by EFSA and/or its GMO Panel and is not cited/referenced in an EFSA/GMO Panel output	This permits the exclusion of publications that have been previously risk assessed by EFSA and/or its GMO Panel and cited/referenced in an EFSA/GMO Panel output
Access	Full-text document is accessible	If potentially relevant full-text documents cannot be obtained, they should be listed in a table with a description of the (unsuccessful) methods that have been used to try to obtain a copy

Reporting format	The publication presents original/primary data, or it is a risk assessment from a relevant key organisation (such as regulatory agencies and risk assessment bodies involved in the risk assessment of GMOs)	This permits the exclusion of publications that do not present original/primary data (e.g., editorials, position papers), and the inclusion of relevant risk assessments performed and reported by relevant key organisations. Reviews should only be included if they present data that are not available from a primary research study
Reporting format	A study in a publication should only be presented once, but if it is presented in more than one publication, all publications should be listed and grouped	Duplicate publications should be excluded at the screening stage. Only one copy of a study is required even if it is reported in different publications, and identified in more than one database

Table adapted from EFSA, 2019: Explanatory note on literature searching conducted in the context of GMO applications for (renewed) market authorisation and annual post-market environmental monitoring reports on GMOs authorised in the EU market.

2.4. Reference publication

No relevant publications for T25 corn were known before starting the search, therefore, a related publication. This publication was selected as reference publication because it includes the intended trait (glufosinate resistance) and the crop of interest (corn)

- Krenchinski FH; Carbonari CA; Cesco VJ; Albrecht AJ; Campos Arcuri ML; de Godoy MI; Velini ED (2018). Glufosinate resistance level is proportional to phosphinothricin acetyltransferase gene expression in glufosinate-resistant maize. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 66(48):12641-12650

Since this article was published outside the search period, the search profiles were tested excluding the time limitation used in the final search profile (UP>=20191001 and UP<=20200930)

3. SEARCH METHODS AND OUTCOMES

The search strategies used here followed the 2019 EFSA explanatory note on literature searching conducted in the context of GMO applications and post-market environmental monitoring activities¹. The search strategies were designed to be broad and sensitive enough to capture any relevant publications, if available.

An information specialist with background in plant biotechnology selected the databases, identified relevant search terms, developed search profiles, designed search strategies and conducted the searches.

3.1. Time window and date of the literature search

The database searches were performed on October 7, 2020. Only documents updated between October 1, 2019 and September 30, 2020, were considered in the search. The dates of most recent database updates are provided in Table 3.

3.2. Databases used in the literature search

All searches were performed in the host STN (Scientific and Technical Information Network), an online database service operated jointly by CAS and FIZ Karlsruhe. STN provides access to a broad range of databases from the most renowned database producers worldwide.

The searches described here were performed in five databases: three multidisciplinary/large databases (Biosis, Medline and CA-Plus) and two subject-specific databases focused on agriculture-related topics (Agricola and CABA).

See Appendix 1 for detailed database descriptions.

3.3. Search strategy

The search profiles were designed to cover event name, trade name, newly expressed proteins and intended traits. Since the 'trade name' profile, 'newly expressed protein' profile and 'intended trait' profile produced too many results when used on their own, they were combined with additional profiles: the 'trade name' profile and the 'newly expressed protein' profile were combined with a 'plant species' profile while the 'intended trait' profile was combined with a 'general GMO' profile as well as with the 'plant species' profile. See Table 2 for a detailed search profile.

All searches were performed in the Basic Index (BI) field, which includes the following subject headings/field names:

- **Agricola:** title (TI), controlled term (CT), supplementary term (ST), abstract (AB), named person (NA), corporate name (CO), note (NTE), geographic term, CABA and other fields (GT)
- **Biosis:** title (TI), abstract (AB), biosystematic codes (BC), chemical name (CN), controlled term (CT), gene name (GEN), geographic term (GT), organism (ORGN) and supplementary term (ST); as well as CAS Registry Numbers (RN)
- **CA-Plus:** title (TI), supplementary term (ST), index term (IT) and abstract (AB); as well as CAS Registry Numbers
- **CABA:** title (TI), controlled term (CT), supplementary term (ST), broader term (BT), abstract (AB), organism name (ORGN) and geographic term (GT); as well as CAS Registry Numbers
- **Medline:** title (TI), chemical name (CN), gene name (GEN), controlled term (excluding MeSH numbers) (CT), supplementary term (ST), named person (NA), other source (OS), and abstract (AB), as well as CAS Registry Numbers and GenBank Numbers

The search results were limited to documents updated between October 1, 2019 and September 30, 2020 (UP>20191001 and UP<20200930), and to non-patent documents (not P/DT). To ensure that documents with indexing errors where two DTs (one eligible and one ineligible) were attached to a single record were not missed, documents with both 'journal' and 'patent' as *document type* were also kept. These putative documents would be identified with (P/DT AND J/DT) in CABA and CAPlus.

Table 3 summarizes the number of results obtained from each of the databases searched.
See Appendix 2 for a complete search history.

Table 2: Search profile for database search

Set	Search string	Concepts
1	T25 or T(w)25 or ACS-ZMØØ3-2 or ACS-ZM003-2 or ACS-ZM003-2 or ACS(w)ZMØØ3(w)2 or ACS(w)ZM003(w)2 or ACS(w)ZM003(w)2 or ACSZMØØ3-2 or ACSZM003-2 or ACSZM003-2	Event name
2	libertylink or libertylinktm or libertylinkrtm or liberty(w)link or liberty(w)linktm or liberty(w)linkrtm or LL or LLTM or LLRTM	Trade name
3	((bar or pat)(2a)(gene# or protein# or enzyme#)) or ppt(2w)acetyltransferase or ppt(2w)acetyl(w)transferase or pt(w)n(2w)acetyltransferase or pt(w)n(2w)acetyl(w)transferase or phosphinothricin(w)n(w)acetyltransferase or phosphinothricin(2w)acetyltransferase or phosphinothricin(2w)acetyl(w)transferase or phosphinothricinacetyl(w)transferase	Newly expressed protein
4	(herbicide? or bialaphos or basta or glufosinate or phosphinothricin or liberty?)(5a)(resist? or toleran? or protect?)	Intended trait
5	corn# or maize# or maiz or zea(w)mays or z(w)mays or chardon	Plant species
6	GMO OR GMOs OR LMO OR LMOs OR GM OR GE OR transgen? OR (genetic?(3a)(modif? OR transform? OR manipulat? OR improv? OR engineer?))	GMO general
7	2 AND 5	Trade name AND Plant species
8	3 AND 5	Newly expressed protein AND Plant species
9	4 AND 5 AND 6	Intended trait AND Plant species AND GMO general
10	1 or 7 or 8 or 9	Event name OR (Trade name AND plant species) OR (Newly expressed protein AND Plant species) OR (Intended trait AND Plant species AND GMO general)

Table 3: Overview of the selected databases and summary of search results from each database

Database	AGRICOLA	BIOSIS	CAB Abstracts	CAPLUS	MEDLINE
Database Provider	STN International	STN International	STN International	STN International	STN International
Coverage	1970-present	1926-present	1973-present	1907-present	1946-present
Date of search	7 Oct 2019	7 Oct 2019	7 Oct 2019	7 Oct 2019	7 Oct 2019
Datespan of the search	1 Oct 2019 – 30 Sept 2020	1 Oct 2019 – 30 Sept 2020	1 Oct 2019 – 30 Sept 2020	1 Oct 2019 – 30 Sept 2020	1 Oct 2019 – 30 Sept 2020
Latest database update	24 Aug 2020	30 Sept 2020	7 Oct 2020	6 Oct 2020	6 Oct 2020
Number of records retrieved	38	120	85	241	112
Number of records after duplicate removal	29	99	56	173	112
Number of relevant records after rapid assessment	0	0	0	0	5

4. MANUAL SEARCHES

4.1. Manual searches of web pages of food safety, agriculture, and biotechnology-related authority webpages

In accordance with the EFSA 2019 explanatory note¹ the search in electronic bibliographic databases has been complemented with an internet search in webpages of relevant key organisations involved in the risk assessment of GM plants. Of the thirteen key organisations cited in the EFSA 2019 explanatory note¹, two (Environment and Climate Change Canada and CIBIOGEM) are not involved in the risk assessment of GM plants. US-EPA regulates only GM plants with Plant-Incorporated Protectant (PIP), and Genetic Engineering Approval Committee (GAEC) is included only for cotton. Therefore, the internet search focused on nine key organisations as listed below in Table 4. Search results were manually examined for relevant records that were either published during the time period under consideration (date span of search: October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020) or refer to relevant records published during this time frame. Relevance of results were determined based on the criteria listed in Table 1. Search terms consisted of T25, Libertylink Corn, ACS-ZM003-2, PAT/pat or Phosphinothricin in T25 corn. (All searched singly, with no search limits applied).

Table 4: Results of search of food safety, agriculture, and biotechnology-related authority websites

Source Site Name	Website URL	Date of Most Recent Site Update	Date of Search	No. of Relevant Records
US Department of Agriculture (USDA)	https://www.usda.gov/	Oct 30 2020	Nov 2-3 2020	0
US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)	https://www.fda.gov/	Oct 30 2020	Nov 2-3 2020	0
Health Canada	https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada.html	Oct 30 2020	Nov 2-3 2020	0
Canadian Food Inspection Agency	https://www.canada.ca/en/food-inspection-agency.html	Oct 31 2020	Nov 2-3 2020	0
Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ)	http://www.foodstandards.gov.au/Pages/default.aspx	Oct 30 2020	Nov 2-3 2020	0
Office of the Gene Technology Regulator (OGTR)	http://www.ogtr.gov.au/	Oct 15 2020	Nov 2-3 2020	0
National Technical Commission on Biosafety (CTNBio)	http://ctnbio.mcti.gov.br/en	Oct-20	Oct 22-28 2020	0
National Advisory Commission on Agricultural Biotechnology (CONABIA)	http://www.agroindustria.gob.ar/sitio/areas/biotecnologia/conabia/	later than Aug 21 2020	Oct 21-22 2020	0
Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF)	http://www.maff.go.jp/	Oct 26 2020	Oct 26 2020	0

4.2. Manual searches of reference lists of recent review articles

Recent review articles as sources of reference lists to search for potentially relevant studies were identified via searches of PubMed.gov for general terms such as “GMO” or “GM crops” in the titles and abstracts. The search of PubMed.gov was also restricted to recent reviews published between October 1, 2019 and September 30, 2020. The resulting number of relevant studies found within the bibliographies of these review articles is given in Table 5.

Table 5: Documents for which reference lists were scanned for relevant studies

No	Author(s) and Year	Title	Source	Number of relevant bibliographic references retrieved
1	Ansari WA, Chandanshive SU, Bhatt V, Nadaf AB, Vats S, Katara JL, Sonah H, Deshmukh R. 2020	Genome Editing in Cereals: Approaches, Applications and Challenges	Int J Mol Sci. 2020 Jun 5;21(11):4040. doi: 10.3390/ijms21114040.	0
2	Arpaia S, Christiaens O, Giddings K, Jones H, Mezzetti B, Moronta-Barrios F, Perry JN, Sweet JB, Taning CNT, Smagghe G, Dietz-Pfeilstetter A. 2020	Biosafety of GM Crop Plants Expressing dsRNA: Data Requirements and EU Regulatory Considerations	Front Plant Sci. 2020 Jun 24;11:940. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00940. eCollection 2020.	0
3	Babar U, Nawaz MA, Arshad U, Azhar MT, Atif RM, Golokhvast KS, Tsatsakis AM, Shcherbakova K, Chung G, Rana IA. 2020	Transgenic crops for the agricultural improvement in Pakistan: a perspective of environmental stresses and the current status of genetically modified crops	GM Crops Food. 2020;11(1):1-29. doi: 10.1080/21645698.2019.1680078. Epub 2019 Nov 3.	0
4	Bachtarzi H, Farries T. 2019	The Genetically Modified Organism Medicinal Framework in Europe, United States, and Japan: Underlying Scientific Principles and Considerations Toward the Development of Gene Therapy and Genetically Modified Cell-Based Products	Hum Gene Ther Clin Dev. 2019 Sep;30(3):114-128. doi: 10.1089/humc.2019.042. Epub 2019 Jun 21.	0
5	Bedair M, Glenn KC. 2020	Evaluation of the use of untargeted metabolomics in the safety assessment of genetically modified crops	Metabolomics. 2020 Oct 9;16(10):111. doi: 10.1007/s11306-020-01733-8.	0
6	Feng XJ, Yi HM, Ren XX, Ren JL, Ge JR, Wang FG. 2020	[Digital PCR and its application in biological detection]	Yi Chuan. 2020 Apr 20;42(4):363-373. doi: 10.16288/j.yczz.19-351.	0

No	Author(s) and Year	Title	Source	Number of relevant bibliographic references retrieved
7	Giraldo PA, Shinozuka H, Spangenberg GC, Cogan NOI, Smith KF. 2019	Safety Assessment of Genetically Modified Feed: Is There Any Difference From Food?	Front Plant Sci. 2019 Dec 11;10:1592. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01592. eCollection 2019.	0
8	Hameed A, Mehmood MA, Shahid M, Fatma S, Khan A, Ali S. 2020	Prospects for potato genome editing to engineer resistance against viruses and cold-induced sweetening	GM Crops Food. 2020 Oct 1;11(4):185-205. doi: 10.1080/21645698.2019.1631115. Epub 2019 Jul 6.	0
9	Holme IB, Gregersen PL, Brinch-Pedersen H. 2019	Induced Genetic Variation in Crop Plants by Random or Targeted Mutagenesis: Convergence and Differences	Front Plant Sci. 2019 Nov 14;10:1468. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01468. eCollection 2019.	0
10	Jyoti A, Kaushik S, Srivastava VK, Datta M, Kumar S, Yugandhar P, Kothari SL, Rai V, Jain A. 2019	The potential application of genome editing by using CRISPR/Cas9, and its engineered and ortholog variants for studying the transcription factors involved in the maintenance of phosphate homeostasis in model plants	Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2019 Dec;96:77-90. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2019.03.010. Epub 2019 Apr 7.	0
11	Kadoić Balaško M, Mikac KM, Bažok R, Lemic D. 2020	Modern Techniques in Colorado Potato Beetle (<i>Leptinotarsa decemlineata</i> Say) Control and Resistance Management: History Review and Future Perspectives	Insects. 2020 Sep 1;11(9):581. doi: 10.3390/insects11090581.	0
12	Kamle M, Mahato DK, Devi S, Soni R, Tripathi V, Mishra AK, Kumar P. 2020	Nanotechnological interventions for plant health improvement and sustainable agriculture	3 Biotech. 2020 Apr;10(4):168. doi: 10.1007/s13205-020-2152-3. Epub 2020 Mar 14.	0
13	Kauffmann F, Van Damme P, Leroux-Roels G, Vandermeulen C, Berthels N, Beuneu C, Mali S. 2019	Clinical trials with GMO-containing vaccines in Europe: Status and regulatory framework	Vaccine. 2019 Sep 30;37(42):6144-6153. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.08.018. Epub 2019 Sep 4.	0
14	Kenter MJH, Clevers JC, Cornelissen J, Medema RH. 2019	[Environmental regulations impede cancer research and treatment]	Ned Tijdschr Geneesk. 2019 Dec 5;163:D4267.	0

No	Author(s) and Year	Title	Source	Number of relevant bibliographic references retrieved
15	Keshani P, Sharifi MH, Heydari MR, Joulaei H. 2020	The Effect of Genetically Modified Food on Infertility Indices: A Systematic Review Study	ScientificWorldJournal. 2020 Aug 13;2020:1424789. doi: 10.1155/2020/1424789. eCollection 2020.	0
16	Kumar K, Gambhir G, Dass A, Tripathi AK, Singh A, Jha AK, Yadava P, Choudhary M, Rakshit S., 2020	Genetically modified crops: current status and future prospects	Planta. 2020 Mar 31;251(4):91. doi: 10.1007/s00425-020-03372-8.	0
17	Papadopoulou N, Devos Y, Álvarez-Alfageme F, Lanzoni A, Waigmann E., 2020	Risk Assessment Considerations for Genetically Modified RNAi Plants: EFSA's Activities and Perspective	Front Plant Sci. 2020 Apr 21;11:445. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00445. eCollection 2020.	0
18	Pottinger SE, Innes RW. 2020	RPS5-Mediated Disease Resistance: Fundamental Insights and Translational Applications	Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2020 Aug 25;58:139-160. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-010820-012733. Epub 2020 Apr 13.	0
19	Rumin J, Nicolau E, Junior RGO, Fuentes-Grünwald C, Picot L. 2020	Analysis of Scientific Research Driving Microalgae Market Opportunities in Europe	Mar Drugs. 2020 May 18;18(5):264. doi: 10.3390/md18050264.	0
20	Woźniak E, Waszkowska E, Zimny T, Sowa S, Twardowski T. 2019	The Rapeseed Potential in Poland and Germany in the Context of Production, Legislation, and Intellectual Property Rights	Front Plant Sci. 2019 Nov 5;10:1423. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01423. eCollection 2019.	0

5. RESULTS OF THE STUDY IDENTIFICATION AND SELECTION PROCESS

The database searches (Section 3) identified a total of 596 references, which were reduced to 469 after removal of duplicates (Table 3). No additional studies were identified in the manual searches (Section 4).

5.1. Screening of titles and abstracts to exclude obviously irrelevant references (Stage 1)

All references identified in the database searches described in Section 3 were assessed for relevance based on information in their title and abstract by two reviewers independently. If opinions of relevance differed, the discrepancies were discussed between the reviewers and if a disagreement persisted, an additional reviewer was involved to reach a consensus. In this specific search, both stage 1 evaluators were in agreement in 100% of the documents evaluated.

Clearly irrelevant records were tagged as "Not Relevant". These included:

- Duplicated entries
- Secondary literature (reviews), other than assessments from Regulatory agencies

- Articles on non-relevant topics like detection methods, socio-economic implications of GM crops, GM policy, agronomical performance, other herbicide resistant GM crops, unrelated topics, etc.

Publications which appear to be relevant and those of unclear relevance were tagged as “Relevant” and progressed to Stage 2 (detailed assessment; see Section 5.2).

The number of publications excluded after rapid assessment for relevance is presented in Table 6 documenting the selection process.

5.2. Detailed assessment of eligible references (Stage 2)

Publications tagged as “Relevant” in Stage 1 were assessed in detail independently by two scientific experts in each of three corresponding areas (i.e., Molecular Biology, Food and Feed Safety, Environmental Safety), based on the full text of the publications.

If opinions of relevance differed between reviewers within each area, the initial reviewers discussed the discrepancy as necessary and consulted additional reviewers to resolve the discrepancy if needed. All eligible references were assessed in detail. This detailed assessment included evaluation of the scope of the article and the study quality and reliability. Categorization of reliability (as described in the EFSA 2019 explanatory note¹ and reported in Table 11) was dependent upon the following:

- appropriateness of methodology
- whether the description of methodology would allow independent repetition of the study
- extent of characterization of test materials
- reporting of evidence of reproducibility

Table 6 gives an overview of the reference selection process and results of the detailed assessment.

Table 6: Results of the publication selection process

Total number of publications retrieved after all searches of the scientific literature (excluding duplicates)	469
Number of publications excluded from the search results after rapid assessment for relevance (Stage 1)	464
Total number of full-text documents assessed in detail	5
Number of publications excluded from further consideration after detailed assessment for relevance (Stage 2)	5
Total number of unobtainable/unclear publications	0
Total number of relevant publications	0

Table 7 lists the publications determined to be relevant along with their potential impact on the safety assessment based on detailed evaluation. Publications that were clearly not relevant after a detailed assessment are listed in Table 8. Table 9 lists the publications for which full-text documents were unobtainable for detailed assessment or for which relevance was unclear after detailed assessment.

Table 7: Report of all relevant publications retrieved after detailed assessment of full-text documents for relevance: ordered by category of information/data requirement(s)

Main category of information/data requirement	Study (Author(s) and year)	Title	Source
No publications in this category.			

Table 8: Report of publications excluded from the risk assessment after detailed assessment of full-text documents

Study (Author(s) and year)	Title	Source	Reason(s) for exclusion based on eligibility/inclusion criteria listed in Table 1
Fast Brandon J Shan Guomin Herman Rod A Gampala Satyalinga Srinivas. 2020.	Transgene expression in sprayed and non-sprayed herbicide -tolerant genetically engineered crops is equivalent.	Regulatory toxicology and pharmacology : RTP, (2020 Mar) Vol. 111, pp. 104572.	T25 corn was not included in the study.
Sivamani Elumalai Nalapalli Samson Prairie Anna Bradley David Richbourg Lee Strebe Tim Liebler Tara Wang Daolong Que Qiudeng	A study on optimization of pat gene expression cassette for maize transformation.	Molecular biology reports, (2019 Jun) Vol. 46, No. 3, pp. 3009-3017.	The study was for transformation frequency, but not about safety assessment. Also T25 corn was not included in the study.

Study (Author(s) and year)	Title	Source	Reason(s) for exclusion based on eligibility/inclusion criteria listed in Table 1
Carlson Anne B Mathesius Carey A Huang Emily Mukerji Pushkor Hoban Denise Thurman J Dale Herman Rod A Roper Jason M. 2020.	DP-202216-6 maize does not adversely affect rats in a 90-day feeding study.	Regulatory toxicology and pharmacology : RTP, (2020 Sep 02) Vol. 117, pp. 104779.	Study does not make use of T25 corn in the feeding and toxicological tests. Study evaluates the safety of DP-202216-6 (DP202216) corn.
Duncan Bill Leyva-Guerrero Elisa Werk Todd Stojin Duska Baltazar Baltazar M Meng Chen Garcia-Lara Silverio Zavala-Lopez Mariana de la Fuente-Martinez Juan Manuel. 2019.	Assessment of potential impacts associated with gene flow from transgenic hybrids to Mexican maize landraces.	Transgenic research, (20191200) Vol. 28, No. 5-6, pp. 509-523.	No ERA related to T25 corn. The VT3Pro was used in the study.
Lovei Gabor L Ferrante Marco Bacle Victor Lang Andreas. 2020.	Can the growing of transgenic maize threaten protected Lepidoptera in Europe?	Insect science, (2020 Jul 16) . Electronic Publication Date: 16 Jul 2020 Journal code: 101266965.	Evaluated the potential exposure of GM maize to protected European butterflies. No ERA related to T25 corn.

Table 9: Report of unobtainable/unclear publications

Study (Author(s) and year)	Title	Source	Description of (unsuccessful) methods used to try and obtain a copy of the publication
No publications in this category.			

6. NARRATIVE SYNTHESIS/SUMMARY OF RELEVANT STUDIES

A total of five publications were selected during Stage 1 evaluation (rapid assessment based on title and abstract). After Stage 2 evaluation (detailed review based on full text), it was determined that no publication was relevant for the safety assessment of the T25 corn and its newly expressed protein phosphinothricin acetyltransferase.

There were no relevant publications for Table 10 and Table 11.

Table 10: Report of the summary of all relevant publications retrieved after detailed assessment of full-text documents for relevance: ordered by category of information/data requirement(s)

Main category of information/data requirement	Study (Author(s) and year)	Intervention/test materials used	Adverse effects reported	Which adverse effect reported
No publications in this category.				

Table 11: Report of the reliability and implications for the risk assessment of all relevant publications retrieved after detailed assessment of full-text documents for relevance: ordered by category of information/data requirement(s)

Main category of information/data requirement	Study (Author(s) and year)	Summary of reliability appraisal	Implications for risk assessment
No publications in this category.			

7. CONCLUSION

The literature searches performed for the T25 corn and its newly expressed protein phosphinothricin acetyltransferase for the period from October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020, identified a total of 469 unique publications (after duplicate removal). A total of five publications were progressed for detailed assessment after excluding 464 obviously irrelevant publications during Stage 1 evaluation (rapid assessment based on title and abstract).

The five publications that progressed to Stage 2 were evaluated in detail, based on full text, for potential relevance, following the pre-established criteria listed in Table 1. No relevant publications with bearing on molecular characterization, food and feed safety or environmental safety were identified. No issues or topics were identified that would trigger or warrant more specific question formulation.

8. REFERENCES

No.	Author(s), title, source, edition, year, pages
1.	Devos Y, Guajardo IM, Alvarez F and Glanville J. Explanatory note on literature searching conducted in the context of GMO applications for (renewed) market authorisation and annual post-market environmental monitoring reports on GMOs authorised in the EU market. EFSA supporting publications 2019:EN-1614. 62 pages. doi:10.2903/sp.efsa.2019.EN-1614.

9. APPENDICES

Appendix 1 Database descriptions

Host	File	Description
STN	AGRICOLA	<p>Agriculture Online Access is a bibliographic database containing selected worldwide literature of agriculture and related fields. AGRICOLA is the locator and bibliographic access and control system of the National Agricultural Library (NAL) collections and also includes records from other cooperating institutions. Coverage of the database includes agricultural economics and rural sociology, agricultural production, animal sciences, chemistry, entomology, food and human nutrition, forestry, natural resources, pesticides, plant science, soils and fertilizers, and water resources. Also covered are related areas such as biology and biotechnology, botany, ecology, and natural history.</p> <p>The database draws on bibliographies, serial articles, book chapters, monographs, computer files, serials, maps, audiovisuals, and reports. Bibliographic information, abstracts, geographic terms, controlled terms, and supplementary terms are searchable.</p>
STN	BIOSIS	<p>BIOSIS Previews® is the largest and most comprehensive life science database in the world. Amongst others subject coverage includes Agriculture, Biochemistry, Biophysics, Botany, Environmental Biology, Physiology, Toxicology.</p> <p>Sources include periodicals, journals, conference proceedings, reviews, reports, patents, and short communications. Nearly 6,000 life source journals, 1,500 international meetings as well as review articles, books, and monographs are reviewed for inclusion.</p> <p>Bibliographic information, indexing terms, abstracts, and CAS Registry Numbers are all searchable.</p>
STN	CABA/CAB	<p>The CAB Abstracts database covers worldwide literature from all areas of agriculture and related sciences including Agriculture, Agricultural chemicals, Animal sciences and production, Crop protection, Crop sciences and production, Environment, Soils and fertilizers.</p> <p>Sources for CABA include journals, books, reports, published theses, conference proceedings, and patents.</p> <p>Bibliographic information, indexing terms, abstracts, and CAS Registry Numbers are searchable.</p>
STN	CAS-CA/CAPLUS	<p>The Chemical Abstracts (CA) database covers all areas of Biochemistry, Chemistry and Chemical engineering, and related sciences.</p> <p>Sources include over 8,000 journals, patents from 38 national patent offices and two international patent organizations, technical reports, books, conference proceedings, and dissertations. Electronic only journals and Web preprints are also covered.</p> <p>Bibliographic terms, indexing terms, roles, CAS Registry Numbers, International Patent Classification, and abstracts are searchable.</p>

Host	File	Description
STN	MEDLINE	<p>MEDLINE contains information on every area of medicine. The MEDLINE database corresponds to Index Medicus, Index to Dental Literature, and International Nursing Index; OLDMEDLINE, with data from NLM's from the Cumulated Index Medicus (1960-1965) and Current List of Medical Literature (1958-1959); and, since August 2001, IN-PROCESS records, the latest documents before they have been completely indexed for inclusion on MEDLINE.</p> <p>Sources include journals and chapters in books or symposia. Bibliographic information, indexing terms, abstracts, chemical names, and CAS Registry Numbers are all searchable.</p> <p>Online thesauri are available for the Medical Subject Headings (/MN), Controlled Terms (/CT) and Chemical Name (/CN) fields.</p>

Appendix 2 Search history

FILE 'MEDLINE' ENTERED AT 09:27:17 ON 07 OCT 2020

L1 1044 SEA T25 OR T(W)25 OR ACS-ZMØØ3-2 OR ACS-ZM003-2 OR ACS-ZMOO3-2
OR ACS(W)ZMØØ3(W)2 OR ACS(W)ZM003(W)2 OR ACS(W)ZMOO3(W)2 OR
ACSZMØØ3-2 OR ACSZM003-2 OR ACSZMOO3-2

L2 12914 SEA LIBERTYLINK OR LIBERTYLINKTM OR LIBERTYLINKRTM OR LIBERTY(W)
)LINK OR LIBERTY(W)LINKTM OR LIBERTY(W)LINKRTM OR LL OR LLTM
OR LLRTM

L3 1389 SEA ((BAR OR PAT)(2A)(GENE# OR PROTEIN# OR ENZYME#)) OR
PPT(2W)ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PPT(2W)ACETYL(W)TRANSFERASE OR
PT(W)N(2W)ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PT(W)N(2W)ACETYL(W)TRANSFERASE

L4 202 SEA PHOSPHINOTHRICIN(W)N(W)ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PHOSPHINOTHRICI
N(2W)ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PHOSPHINOTHRICIN(2W)ACETYL(W)TRANSFER
ASE OR PHOSPHINOTHRICINACETYL(W)TRANSFERASE

L5 1463 SEA (L3 OR L4)

L6 3073 SEA (HERBICID? OR BIALAPHOS OR BASTA OR GLUFOSINATE OR
PHOSPHINOTHRICIN OR LIBERTY?) (5A) (RESIST? OR TOLERAN? OR
PROTECT?)

L7 73315 SEA CORN# OR MAIZE# OR MAIZ OR ZEA(W)MAYS OR Z(W)MAYS OR
CHARDON

L8 3600314 SEA GMO OR GMOS OR LMO OR LMOS OR GM OR GE OR TRANSGEN? OR
(GENETIC?(3A) (MODIF? OR TRANSFORM? OR MANIPULAT? OR IMPROV? OR
ENGINEER?))

L9 48 SEA L2 AND L7

L10 124 SEA L5 AND L7

L11 369 SEA L6 AND L7 AND L8

L12 1508 SEA L1 OR L9 OR L10 OR L11

L13 228 SEA L12 AND PY>=2018

L14 112 SEA L13 AND UP>20191001 AND UP<20200930

FILE 'BIOSIS' ENTERED AT 09:27:45 ON 07 OCT 2020

L15 1177 SEA T25 OR T(W)25 OR ACS-ZMØØ3-2 OR ACS-ZM003-2 OR ACS-ZMOO3-2
OR ACS(W)ZMØØ3(W)2 OR ACS(W)ZM003(W)2 OR ACS(W)ZMOO3(W)2 OR
ACSZMØØ3-2 OR ACSZM003-2 OR ACSZMOO3-2

L16 14005 SEA LIBERTYLINK OR LIBERTYLINKTM OR LIBERTYLINKRTM OR LIBERTY(W)
)LINK OR LIBERTY(W)LINKTM OR LIBERTY(W)LINKRTM OR LL OR LLTM
OR LLRTM

L17 2746 SEA ((BAR OR PAT)(2A)(GENE# OR PROTEIN# OR ENZYME#)) OR
PPT(2W)ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PPT(2W)ACETYL(W)TRANSFERASE OR
PT(W)N(2W)ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PT(W)N(2W)ACETYL(W)TRANSFERASE

L18 326 SEA PHOSPHINOTHRICIN(W)N(W)ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PHOSPHINOTHRICI
N(2W)ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PHOSPHINOTHRICIN(2W)ACETYL(W)TRANSFER
ASE OR PHOSPHINOTHRICINACETYL(W)TRANSFERASE

L19 2846 SEA (L17 OR L18)

L20 9411 SEA (HERBICID? OR BIALAPHOS OR BASTA OR GLUFOSINATE OR
PHOSPHINOTHRICIN OR LIBERTY?) (5A) (RESIST? OR TOLERAN? OR
PROTECT?)

L21 238536 SEA CORN# OR MAIZE# OR MAIZ OR ZEA(W)MAYS OR Z(W)MAYS OR
CHARDON

L22 446451 SEA GMO OR GMOS OR LMO OR LMOS OR GM OR GE OR TRANSGEN? OR
(GENETIC?(3A) (MODIF? OR TRANSFORM? OR MANIPULAT? OR IMPROV? OR
ENGINEER?))

L23 148 SEA L16 AND L21

L24 296 SEA L19 AND L21

L25 571 SEA L20 AND L21 AND L22

L26 2070 SEA L15 OR L23 OR L24 OR L25

L27 266 SEA L26 AND PY>=2018

L28 120 SEA L27 AND UP>20191001 AND UP<20200930

FILE 'AGRICOLA' ENTERED AT 09:28:05 ON 07 OCT 2020

L29 345 SEA T25 OR T(W)25 OR ACS-ZMØØ3-2 OR ACS-ZM003-2 OR ACS-ZMOO3-2
OR ACS(W)ZMØØ3(W)2 OR ACS(W)ZM003(W)2 OR ACS(W)ZMOO3(W)2 OR

ACSZM003-2 OR ACSZM003-2 OR ACSZM003-2

L30 2864 SEA LIBERTYLINK OR LIBERTYLINKTM OR LIBERTYLINKRTM OR LIBERTY(W)
)LINK OR LIBERTY(W)LINKTM OR LIBERTY(W)LINKRTM OR LL OR LLTM
OR LLRTM

L31 723 SEA ((BAR OR PAT) (2A) (GENE# OR PROTEIN# OR ENZYME#)) OR
PPT (2W) ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PPT (2W) ACETYL (W) TRANSFERASE OR
PT (W) N (2W) ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PT (W) N (2W) ACETYL (W) TRANSFERASE

L32 240 SEA PHOSPHINOTHRICIN (W) N (W) ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PHOSPHINOTHRICI
N (2W) ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PHOSPHINOTHRICIN (2W) ACETYL (W) TRANSFER
ASE OR PHOSPHINOTHRICINACETYL (W) TRANSFERASE

L33 798 SEA (L31 OR L32)

L34 7656 SEA (HERBICID? OR BIALAPHOS OR BASTA OR GLUFOSINATE OR
PHOSPHINOTHRICIN OR LIBERTY?) (5A) (RESIST? OR TOLERAN? OR
PROTECT?)

L35 136495 SEA CORN# OR MAIZE# OR MAIZ OR ZEA(W)MAYS OR Z(W)MAYS OR
CHARDON

L36 93951 SEA GMO OR GMOS OR LMO OR LMOS OR GM OR GE OR TRANSGEN? OR
(GENETIC? (3A) (MODIF? OR TRANSFORM? OR MANIPULAT? OR IMPROV? OR
ENGINEER?))

L37 80 SEA L30 AND L35

L38 128 SEA L33 AND L35

L39 397 SEA L34 AND L35 AND L36

L40 871 SEA L29 OR L37 OR L38 OR L39

L41 104 SEA L40 AND PY>=2018

L42 38 SEA L41 AND UP>20191001 AND UP<20200930

FILE 'CABA' ENTERED AT 09:28:30 ON 07 OCT 2020

L43 643 SEA T25 OR T(W)25 OR ACS-ZM003-2 OR ACS-ZM003-2 OR ACS-ZMOO3-2
OR ACS(W)ZM003(W)2 OR ACS(W)ZM003(W)2 OR ACS(W)ZMOO3(W)2 OR
ACSZM003-2 OR ACSZM003-2 OR ACSZM003-2

L44 4863 SEA LIBERTYLINK OR LIBERTYLINKTM OR LIBERTYLINKRTM OR LIBERTY(W)
)LINK OR LIBERTY(W)LINKTM OR LIBERTY(W)LINKRTM OR LL OR LLTM
OR LLRTM

L45 1482 SEA ((BAR OR PAT) (2A) (GENE# OR PROTEIN# OR ENZYME#)) OR
PPT (2W) ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PPT (2W) ACETYL (W) TRANSFERASE OR
PT (W) N (2W) ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PT (W) N (2W) ACETYL (W) TRANSFERASE

L46 368 SEA PHOSPHINOTHRICIN (W) N (W) ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PHOSPHINOTHRICI
N (2W) ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PHOSPHINOTHRICIN (2W) ACETYL (W) TRANSFER
ASE OR PHOSPHINOTHRICINACETYL (W) TRANSFERASE

L47 1582 SEA (L45 OR L46)

L48 17308 SEA (HERBICID? OR BIALAPHOS OR BASTA OR GLUFOSINATE OR
PHOSPHINOTHRICIN OR LIBERTY?) (5A) (RESIST? OR TOLERAN? OR
PROTECT?)

L49 333066 SEA CORN# OR MAIZE# OR MAIZ OR ZEA(W)MAYS OR Z(W)MAYS OR
CHARDON

L50 173590 SEA GMO OR GMOS OR LMO OR LMOS OR GM OR GE OR TRANSGEN? OR
(GENETIC? (3A) (MODIF? OR TRANSFORM? OR MANIPULAT? OR IMPROV? OR
ENGINEER?))

L51 181 SEA L44 AND L49

L52 278 SEA L47 AND L49

L53 934 SEA L48 AND L49 AND L50

L54 1856 SEA L43 OR L51 OR L52 OR L53

L55 197 SEA L54 AND PY>=2018

L56 85 SEA L55 AND UP>20191001 AND UP<20200930

L57 85 SEA L56 NOT P/DT

L58 0 SEA L56 AND (P/DT AND J/DT)

L59 85 SEA L57 OR L58

FILE 'HCAPLUS' ENTERED AT 09:28:57 ON 07 OCT 2020

L60 3093 SEA T25 OR T(W)25 OR ACS-ZM003-2 OR ACS-ZM003-2 OR ACS-ZMOO3-2
OR ACS(W)ZM003(W)2 OR ACS(W)ZM003(W)2 OR ACS(W)ZMOO3(W)2 OR
ACSZM003-2 OR ACSZM003-2 OR ACSZM003-2

L61 18867 SEA LIBERTYLINK OR LIBERTYLINKTM OR LIBERTYLINKRTM OR LIBERTY(W)

) LINK OR LIBERTY(W) LINKTM OR LIBERTY(W) LINKRTM OR LL OR LLTM
OR LLRTM

L62 6876 SEA ((BAR OR PAT) (2A) (GENE# OR PROTEIN# OR ENZYME#)) OR
PPT(2W) ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PPT(2W) ACETYL(W) TRANSFERASE OR
PT(W) N(2W) ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PT(W) N(2W) ACETYL(W) TRANSFERASE

L63 761 SEA PHOSPHINOTHRICIN(W) N(W) ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PHOSPHINOTHRICI
N(2W) ACETYLTRANSFERASE OR PHOSPHINOTHRICIN(2W) ACETYL(W) TRANSFER
ASE OR PHOSPHINOTHRICINACETYL(W) TRANSFERASE

L64 7165 SEA (L62 OR L63)

L65 26403 SEA (HERBICID? OR BIALAPHOS OR BASTA OR GLUFOSINATE OR
PHOSPHINOTHRICIN OR LIBERTY?) (5A) (RESIST? OR TOLERAN? OR
PROTECT?)

L66 378064 SEA CORN# OR MAIZE# OR MAIZ OR ZEA(W) MAYS OR Z(W) MAYS OR
CHARDON

L67 663495 SEA GMO OR GMOS OR LMO OR LMOS OR GM OR GE OR TRANSGEN? OR
(GENETIC?(3A) (MODIF? OR TRANSFORM? OR MANIPULAT? OR IMPROV? OR
ENGINEER?))

L68 154 SEA L61 AND L66

L69 738 SEA L64 AND L66

L70 6330 SEA L65 AND L66 AND L67

L71 9949 SEA L60 OR L68 OR L69 OR L70

L72 1926 SEA L71 AND PY>=2018

L73 666 SEA L72 AND UP>20191001 AND UP<20200930

L74 241 SEA L73 NOT P/DT

L75 0 SEA L73 AND (P/DT AND J/DT)

L76 241 SEA L74 OR L75

FILE 'MEDLINE, BIOSIS, AGRICOLA, CABA, HCAPLUS' ENTERED AT 09:29:25 ON 07
OCT 2020

L77 469 DUP REM L14 L28 L42 L59 L76 (127 DUPLICATES REMOVED)
ANSWERS '1-112' FROM FILE MEDLINE
ANSWERS '113-211' FROM FILE BIOSIS
ANSWERS '212-240' FROM FILE AGRICOLA
ANSWERS '241-296' FROM FILE CABA
ANSWERS '297-469' FROM FILE HCAPLUS