

Public Consultation on the revision of EU rules on food contact materials (FCMs)

FCM stakeholders

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

PLEASE NOTE

THIS DOCUMENT IS PURELY A DOCUMENTATION TOOL TO ENABLE THE QUESTIONS TO BE VIEWED TOGETHER AND DOES NOT CONSTITUTE THE OFFICIAL PUBLIC CONSULTATION. ONLY VALIDATED RESPONSES RECEIVED THROUGH THE EU SURVEY INTERFACE WILL BE ACCEPTED.

THE COMMISSION ACCEPTS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF ANY DATA OR INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT NOR FOR ITS USE OR RE-USE

Introduction

Food contact materials ('FCMs') include all articles that come into contact with food during its production, processing, storage, transport, preparation and serving, before its eventual consumption. Examples include food packaging, kitchenware and tableware like cups, bowls and cutlery and appliances such as food blenders or coffee machines. It also includes items used in professional food manufacturing, preparation, storage and distribution like conveyor belts and tanks.

No material is completely inert and chemical substances, such as those used in the production of the food contact material may be present in the final article and may transfer to food, potentially resulting in exposure of people consuming that food. Current EU rules are in place to protect consumers and which aim to ensure an effective functioning of the EU market. More information can be found on our [website](#).

The Commission's findings of a recent [evaluation](#) of the current EU rules on food contact materials was published in June 2022, which identifies gaps and areas for improvement. This survey seeks your views on a revision of the current EU rules in order to address these gaps and to improve the current legislation.

FCM stakeholders

The following questions are for stakeholders with some knowledge of food contact materials (FCMs) and the relevant EU legislation. They cover the scope and main elements of the FCM Regulation that the Commission is seeking to revise, in response to the problems identified during the [evaluation](#) and commitments given in its various strategies. These concern **placing greater emphasis of the rules onto the final FCM article, prioritisation of substances** including the **most hazardous, supporting safe and more sustainable FCMs** and **improving supply chain information, compliance and enforcement**.

Scope of FCM legislation

Q1. To what extent do you agree that the following should be considered a food contact material or article and subject to safety rules:

| | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | No opinion |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| * Paper napkins | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Kitchen paper towels | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Table cloths | <input type="radio"/> |

| | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| * Table mats | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| * Baby or child's bib | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| * Kitchen work surfaces | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| * Toys with a similar shape and form as real kitchenware | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| * Interior of refrigerators | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| * Dining table surfaces | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| * Table or desk surfaces not specifically intended for eating | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| * Kitchen tiles, splashboards, and other vertically mounted kitchen surfaces | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| * Ovens and furnaces, excluding baking trays | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| * Shopping bags /boxes available at food retailers | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| * Plastic storage containers not marked as suitable for food contact (unlabelled) | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| * Inkjet printers if used in combination with edible ink | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Lubricants used with FCM machinery | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Coolants used in food industry | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Fishing equipment (e.g. nets) | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Serving trays | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Wooden chips or planks to smoke food | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Feeding tubes for medical purposes | <input type="radio"/> |

If necessary please add examples or elaborate your responses.

Q2. To what extent do you agree that FCM legislation should address the following:

| | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | No opinion |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| * <i>Allergens</i> that may be present in FCMs (e.g. wheat straws) | <input type="radio"/> |
| * <i>Physical safety</i> of food contact materials (e.g. choking hazards, sharp edges) | <input type="radio"/> |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| <p>* <i>Hygiene and risks from bacteria and other microorganisms from the handling of FCM including reuse (e.g. in supermarkets or catering establishments)</i></p> | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ |
| <p>* <i>Environmental concerns</i></p> | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ |

Safety and Risk Management

The [FCM roadmap](#) foresees a ‘tiered’ approach to prioritising substances in FCMs including a ‘generic risk approach’ (GRA) for the most harmful substances, in line with the [Chemicals Strategy for Sustainability \(CSS\)](#), where decision-making is based primarily on generic risk considerations for certain hazardous properties of the substances. Depending on these properties, some substances would be prohibited, with the possibility for limited exceptions where their use is considered essential. Other substances may be subject to a more specific risk assessment at EU level, taking into consideration exposure from FCMs, whereas others would need to be risk assessed and managed primarily by the business operator.

Q3. On what basis should the following FCM substances be risk-managed:

| Substances that are: | Priority 1: Generic approach to risk management (GRA) | Priority 2: Specific risk assessment (SRA) | Priority 3: Industry self-assessment | They are not relevant for FCMs | No opinion |
|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| * Genotoxic | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * <i>Known or presumed</i> to be carcinogenic, mutagenic or reprotoxic (CMR 1A and B) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * <i>Suspected</i> to be carcinogenic, mutagenic or reprotoxic (CMR 2) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * <i>Known or presumed</i> to be disruptive to the endocrine system (known or presumed 'ED') | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * <i>Suspected</i> to be disruptive to the endocrine system (suspected 'ED') | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic (PBT) and very persistent and very bioaccumulative (vPvB) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Immunotoxic (adverse effects on the immune system) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| * Neurotoxic (adverse effects on the neurological system) | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Toxic to a specific organ (single target organ toxicity or 'STOT') | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Skin sensitizers (able to cause an allergic response following skin contact) | <input type="radio"/> |
| * In nano form | <input type="radio"/> |
| Other types of substances or hazards (please specify below) | <input type="radio"/> |

Q4 (a). Regulatory intervention can be made at different stages in the supply chain and employ different tools to achieve its aim. For the different priority groups, indicate at what point you consider intervention most appropriate:

| | Priority 1 substances | Priority 2 substances | Priority 3 substances | No opinion/ answer |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| * Prohibition or restriction on the use of the substance(s) to manufacture FCM , even if they are not present in the final FCM article (e.g. substance X cannot be used to manufacture FCM) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Prohibition or restriction on substance(s) that may be present in the final FCM article , even if they can be controlled or migration is safe (e.g. substance X cannot be present in FCM) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Prohibition or restriction on substance(s) that migrate from the final FCM article into food (e.g. no migration of substance X allowed or an applicable SML) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Q4 (b). To what extent do you agree that the following tools are appropriate for the risk management of FCM substances:

| | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | No opinion/ answer |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| * Overall migration limit | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Purity criteria for substance(s) | <input type="radio"/> |

| | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| * Specific conditions of use for substance(s) | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Requirement to identify substances and other information requirements | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Traceability requirements | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Labelling requirements for the end user of FCMs | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Testing requirements and other methods for measuring single substances and groups of similar substances | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Testing requirements for all potentially migrating substances (multi-analyte methods) | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Mandatory registration of businesses | <input type="radio"/> |

Sustainability and Future Developments

Sustainable development is a priority objective for the EU's policies and features in the Farm to Fork Strategy. The following questions concern sustainability of FCMs.

Q5. To what extent do you agree with the following:

| | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | No opinion/ answer |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| * Prohibiting the most hazardous substances in the revised legislation is sufficient to address sustainability as it will contribute to the core sustainable development goal (SDG) of 'good health and well-being' | <input type="radio"/> |
| * FCM legislation should prioritise and incentivise sustainable FCMs to support the functioning of the EU market (e.g. including harmonised safety rules on bio-based materials, reuse and recycling) | <input type="radio"/> |

| | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| <p>* FCM legislation should require that information relevant to sustainability is made available, e.g. energy and other resources used in production and recycling levels</p> | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ |
| <p>* FCM legislation should include requirements on sustainability of FCMs, as well as safety</p> | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ |
| <p>* Environmental legislation (Packaging and Packaging Waste, Eco-design, Sustainable Products Initiative) and the Framework for the Sustainability of Food Systems should achieve sustainable use of FCMs, not the FCM legislation</p> | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ |

* Q6. In your view, which aspects of sustainability of FCMs should be assessed?

- Sustainability of product only (sustainably sourced and produced)
- Lifecycle-based assessment ([LCA](#))
- Broader societal framework

- Impact on environment only
- Socio, economic and environmental impacts (three pillars of sustainability)

Q7 (a). How do you see the market for the following materials develop in the next 10 years?

| | Increase significantly | Increase to some extent | Stay the same | Decrease to some extent | Decrease significantly | No opinion |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| * Plastics or other polymers originating from non-fossil fuel sources (e.g. bioplastics) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Materials derived from natural or plant-based sources not including paper and board (e.g. wood, bamboo, cotton [textiles]) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Materials that are biodegradable or compostable | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Paper and board from primary materials | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Paper and board from secondary (recycled) materials | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Plastic from primary materials | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Plastic from secondary (recycled) materials | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Active and intelligent FCM | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Q7 (b). Are there any other types of materials or other new or emerging technologies that you consider should be regulated at EU level? Please motivate your answer:

Q8. In your views, what are the main elements that support innovation of FCMs?

Information along the Supply Chain

Objectives D and E of the [roadmap](#) seek to pursue the objectives of improving quality and accessibility of FCM production chain information and supporting this with a system that better ensures compliance and enforcement.

Q9. Concerning demonstration of compliance in the FCM production chain, to what extent do you agree with the following:

| | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | No opinion/ answer |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| * The current declaration of compliance (DoC) (e.g. for plastic FCM) and requirements for information passed in the supply chain are satisfactory | <input type="radio"/> |
| * A DoC should be mandatory for all FCMs | <input type="radio"/> |
| * The DoC should be based on a fixed format with obligatory fields | <input type="radio"/> |

| | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <p>* An approval step of the final FCM article will improve compliance and safety along the supply chain</p> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <p>* An approval step of the final FCM article will improve marketing and commercial benefits for businesses</p> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <p>* Full information on the composition of products shall at all times be easily available to competent authorities throughout the supply chain</p> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <p>* The supply chain should provide manufactures of final food contact materials with complete information on substances potentially migrating above 10 ppb, whether those are intentionally used or not</p> | <input type="radio"/> |

| | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| * Compliance information and usage indications can be made available at a batch level for intermediate FCMs | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Compliance information and usage indications should be made available on individual final articles | <input type="radio"/> |
| * The permitted use shall be clearly indicated but disclaimers disallowed | <input type="radio"/> |

Q10 (a). To what extent do you agree that the following *information* should be required to pass from one business to the next in the production chain, to determine the eventual compliance of the final FCM article:

| | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | No opinion/ answer |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| * Identity of substance(s) used to manufacture FCM | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Identity of substance(s) used in the processing or conversion of FCM | <input type="radio"/> |

| | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| * Identity of substance(s) generated adventitiously in the production process (e.g. degradation or reaction products) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| * Identification of hazardous properties and/ or other toxicological information of the identified substances | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| * A statement that substances of a high concern (genotoxic, CMRs, EDs) are not present in the product | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| * Physical and chemical properties of the identified substances | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| * Stability and reactivity of the identified substances | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| * Expected migration | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <p>* Exposure data to the identified substances including from other sources besides FCM</p> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <p>* Restrictions or limitations of the material(s) as regards the food (s) with which it is intended to be brought into contact</p> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <p>* Restrictions or limitations of the material(s) as regards the time and temperature of treatment and storage in contact with the food</p> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <p>* Analytical testing to demonstrate the level of substances in the material</p> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <p>* Analytical testing to demonstrate the level of substances that may migrate into food</p> | <input type="radio"/> |

Q10 (b). What other information should be required to pass from one business to the next in the production chain? In particular, what toxicological information should be provided for tier 3 substances?

Q11. Concerning a *system* for transfer of information in the supply chain, to what extent do you agree with the following:

| | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | No opinion/ answer |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| * A DoC and documentation supporting compliance (supporting documentation) should be contained and transferred within a digital or electronic system as opposed to a paper-based system | <input type="radio"/> |
| * There is already a digital information exchange system such as radiofrequency identification (RFID) or machine readable information (QR) in place in my FCM production | <input type="radio"/> |

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <p>chain (or will be in the near future) that can be used to pass safety-related information related to FCM</p> | | | | | | |
| <p>* Each individual FCM article should have a QR code or equivalent to give information to users of FCMs, including food businesses and consumers and to control authorities for enforcement purposes</p> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <p>* The system must prevent disclosure of commercially sensitive information in the supply chain, e.g. by using notified bodies/ third parties</p> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <p>* A centralised digital system should be established for exchange of compliance information</p> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <p>*</p> | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| A decentralised digital system should be established for exchange of compliance information | <input type="radio"/> |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|

Q12. Concerning the roles and responsibilities of different actors, to what extent do you agree with the following:

| | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | No opinion/ answer |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| * FCM legislation should clearly identify to which actors (manufacturers of starting substances, convertors, final FCM article producers) specific rules or information requirements apply | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Notified Bodies should be used for the verification of compliance and would help businesses to ensure safety | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Notified Bodies would help businesses | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| reduce costs of placing their products on the market in the long term, particularly for SMEs | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Member States competent authorities should carry out regular physical and documentary checks on FCMs | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Member States competent authorities should be supported by the use of delegated bodies as provided by Regulation (EU) 2017/625 for official controls | <input type="radio"/> |

Q13. Please upload any additional documents (e.g. position papers) to support your contribution to the consultation.

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Contact

SANTE-FCM-REVISION@ec.europa.eu