



Better Training for Safer Food *Initiative*

ASF EPIDEMIOLOGY

VITTORIO GUBERTI ISPRA, ITALY


AFRICAN SWINE FEVER

This presentation is delivered under contract with the Consumers, Health, Agriculture and Food Executive Agency (<http://ec.europa.eu/chafea>). The content of this presentation is the sole responsibility of Opera S.u.r.l., the Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale Lombardia e Emilia Romagna and the State Food and Veterinary Service of Latvia and it can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the Consumers, Health, Agriculture and Food Executive Agency or any other body of the European Union. The Consumers, Health, Agriculture and Food Executive Agency or any other body of the European Union will not be responsible under any circumstances for the contents of communication items prepared by the contractors.

BTSF

Belgrade, Serbia 6-8/11/2018

Tracing the origin



Georgia June 2007




Europe

- International Boundary
- Road
- River
- ★ National Capital
- City or Town

0 250 500 KM
0 250 500 Miles


© 2007 Geology.com








Biosecurity measures




Caucasus: ASF persistence and spread

- 98% of pigs in the back yard sector
- Absence of the minimal biosecurity measures
- 80% free ranging pigs
- Veterinary Services weak and/or privatised
- Lack of any legislation on Veterinary profession
- Absence of compensation (home slaughtering and selling of diseased pigs)
- Old and/or privatised Veterinary Faculties
- Few abattoirs (1-2 in the countries)
- Lack of equipped laboratories




d



1. The anthropogenous factor is the leading one in the spread of ASF in Russia.
2. The backyard livestock is the main target population for ASF.
3. There is a trend of diffuse spreading of ASF in the territories bordering on primary and secondary epidemic zones.

Unexpected long distance spread of the virus



What does it mean?

- ASF is in some locality
- ASF is not locally controlled/eradicated
- After a certain period the virus is carried/transported by humans from the infected locality to another distant – free - area;
- The cycle starts again

- LOCAL PERSISTENCE and long distance transport of the virus

- Each new arrival of the virus initiates a new local persistence.....that – sooner or later – will originated another long distance spread: a new infected area

Non EU countries






JAN
MAYAN

SVALBARD

© MAPS.COM

1. LITHUANIA
2. LUXEMBOURG
3. LIECHTENSTEIN
4. CZECH REPUBLIC
5. BOSNIA & HERZEGOVINA
6. SERBIA
7. MONTENEGRO
8. KOSOVO

Finally in the EU
January 2014



ISLE OF MAN

UNITED KINGDOM

DENMARK

NETHERLANDS

GERMANY

POLAND

RUSSIA

ESTONIA

LATVIA

IRELAND

GUERNSEY

JERSEY

BELGIUM

SWITZERLAND

AUSTRIA

HUNGARY

ROMANIA

UKRAINE

MOLDOVA

FRANCE

SLOVENIA

CROATIA

ITALY

SAN MARINO

BULGARIA

MACEDONIA

GREECE

ALBANIA

TURKEY

ANDORRA

SPAIN

MONACO

VATICAN CITY

MALTA

ARMENIA

GEORGIA

AZERBAIJAN

AZER.

Black Sea

Caspian Sea

5°

0°

5°

15°

25°

35°

45°

70°

60°

50°


40°

ASF in Baltic countries and Poland


2014

2015

2016



Afrikanische Schweinepest im Baltikum, Moldawien, Polen, Rumänien, Tschechien, Ungarn und Ukraine 2018 Datenquelle: ADNS, OIE (Stand: 11.07.2018 - 09:00 Uhr);
Restriktionsgebiete nach Anhang des Durchführungsbeschlusses 2014/709/EU





INVOLVED
in the sole European Union
250.000 kmsq
About 300.000 wild boars

ASF 2017



ASF 2018



(Source: ADNS & OIE WAHIS)

Country	Outbreaks ^(a) in domestic pigs	Cases ^(b) in wild boar	
		Found dead	Hunted
Lithuania	118	4969	792
Poland	213	4361	335
Latvia	63	2371	2226
Estonia	27	3844	
Czech Republic	0	211	19
Romania	990	87	10
Hungary	0	34	2
Bulgaria	1	0	0
Belgium	0	3	15

Scientific Opinion on African swine fever

(EFSA Journal 2014;12(4):3628)
All domestic outbreaks in all infected countries

Table 1: Main sources and routes of transmission established during the outbreaks of ASF in domestic pigs in years 2008-2012

Source and transmission of virus		
	Number	%
Selling infected pigs	1	0,3
Neighbourhood (infected pigs in backyards)	5	1,7
Direct contact with humans (having a meal right at the farm)	1	0,3
Contact during transportation, shipping, movement	108	38
ASFV infected wild boar	4	1,4
Swill feeding	100	35
Not established	65	23
Total:	284	100


Source: Belyanin, 2013

ASF in the back yard sector in Estonia

Year/ No of the Outbreak	Total No pig s	Herd type	Outdoor access	Feeding Grass	Contamination of feed ¹	Contamination of bedding	Production animals in barn	Pets in barn	Owner hunter	Berry picki ng
2015/1	1	Fat			X			(Cats)		X
2015/7	3	Fat			X		Chicken	Cat		
2015/8	2	Fat		(X) ²	X		Cattle		(X)	X
2015/13	5	Fat			X			Cats		
2016/19	6	Fat	X	X	X	X	Cattle ³	(Cats)		
2016/20	7	Fat					Cattle	Cat		
2016/21	3	Fat		X	X	X		Cat		X
2016/22	5	FF		X	X		Cattle	Cat, Dog s		

Estonia: commercial farms

Year / No of the outbreak	Total No pigs	Herd type	Other animals in barn	Possible contamination of bedding	Possible contamination of feed ¹	Other vehicles on the territory	Missing fence	Inadequate disinfection of vehicles entering the territory	Inadequate disinfection of people or equipment at barn entrances	No separation in changing room ²	Miscellaneous
2015/2	186	Br ^d			X	Car	X	NA ⁴	X	NA	Owner is a hunter
2015/3	355	Fat ^a		X	X	Car, Farm machine	X	NA	X	NA	
2015/4	32*	FF	cat		X			NA	X	NA	
2015/5	487	FF ^b	cattle, cats		X	Farm machines		NA	X	X	Grass feeding
2015/6	1186	FF			X	Farm machines	X		X	X	
2015/9	2149	Fat							(X)		
2015/10	6426	Br ^b	cats	X		Cars		X	(X)	X	
2015/11	3060	FF			X	Farm machines		X	(X)	X	
2015/12	1847	FF	dog		X				(X)	X	
2015/14	2480	Br ^b				Cars		X	(X)	X	
2015/15	126	FF ^{b,6}			X			X	NA	NA	Outdoor keeping
2015/16	3804	Fat						X	X	X	
2015/18	104	FF ^b			X	Farm machines		X	X	X	
2016/23	4091	FF	cats					X	X	X	
2016/24	2736	Fat					X	NA	X		
2017/25	3415	Fat		X					X		
2017/26	3232	Fat	(cats)	X					X		
2017/27	6418	FF							(X)		



Possible human
mediated
Outbreaks/cases

Natural spread through wild boar

Country	Distance	Time	Speed of propagation (km per year)				
			P-25	Median	P-75	Mean (including extreme)	Mean (excluding extreme)
Estonia	Closest	Closest	3.6	10.2	36.1	166.9	19.0
Estonia	Closest	>7days	3.3	9.0	27.0	38.7	13.9
Latvia	Closest	Closest	2.9	9.4	38.3	317.3	19.7
Latvia	Closest	>7days	2.8	8.2	28.7	55.3	14.4
Lithuania	Closest	Closest	4.4	16.3	62.9	515.4	33.5
Lithuania	Closest	>7days	3.9	12	37.8	83.5	18.9

The evolution of ASF epidemiology from Africa to Europe




ASF in the EU: few certainties


Two main cycles: Wild boar cycle and the back yard cycle often interconnected

- ❑ North East European Union: wild boar is the true epidemiological reservoir of the virus;
- ❑ In some non EU countries and South *East* EU (Danube delta, Romania) the back yard sector is the main reservoir of the disease

Back yard cycle (Romania Danube delta)



Poland, wild boar cycle in Warsaw



Back yard pig cycle

- *Absent biosecurity*
- *Widespread in remote, poor areas*
- *Human mediated*
- *Kitchen and swill feeding*
- *Free ranging*
- *Population size often unknown*
- *In specific areas 50-90% of the whole pig population*



Wild boar cycle

- The virus is maintained by the wild boar independently from the infection in domestic pigs and ticks*
- Wild boar contaminate the environment making more likely outbreaks in domestic pigs (both non commercial and commercial)*
- Where the wild boar is the reservoir, almost all of the domestic pigs outbreaks are determined by direct/indirect contact with wild boar*

ASF virus prevalence and sero-prevalence in wild boar

- ❖ Found dead animals: **70-95% virus positive**
- ❖ Virus prevalence in hunted animals: **1-2%**
(0,05-5%)
- ❖ Antibodies prevalence in hunted animals: **0-2%**

The virus naturally spreads 30-60 km/years




ASF VIRUS IS VERY STABLE

Wild boar carcasses: 3 – 5 weeks infectious

- **Stable in carcasses (dead animals) which decompose**
- **What does it mean?**
- **An infected wild boar carcass can maintain alive the virus for months during winter**
- **The forest is infected even in the absence (or very low density) of wild boars;**
- **In winter the virus easily survives till next summer when the cycle initiates again when new born wild boar or moving wild boar arrive in the infected forest**



Virus survival
in carcasses
(winter)




Spring-summer cycle
(direct contacts mainly)

Virus survival in carcasses (winter)



Despite very few wild boar still alive, the virus survives in carcasses and thus available for the next breeding season. When new born or neighbouring animals will be infected and a new cycle will initiate.



Spring-summer cycle
direct contact mainly




UOVISION

2016/02/27 20:10:51

20 001°C 034°F 6


Consumers of wild boar remains



ASF in wild boar

- ◆ *A mixed transmission: direct (wild boar to wild boar) and indirect (infected carcass to alive wild boar)*
- ◆ A density dependent transmission during late spring summer early autumn (new born and adult animals)
- ◆ A virus survival during winter in wild boar infected carcasses
- ◆ The virus is still present in the environment despite a very low wild boar density => **NO THRESHOLD**

ASF is not a truly density dependent infection.
The ultimate persistence of the virus is guaranteed
by carcasses



Practically

ASF in wild boar eradication is **PROBABILISTIC EVENT** (stochastic) NOT a DETERMINISTIC one;

Eradication **probability** increases when: **wild boar population** size is **reduced** (as much as possible); **carcasses** are safely **disposed** (as much as possible);

OIE => Standing Group of Experts on African swine fever in the Baltic and Eastern Europe region under the GF-TADs umbrella

SGE ASF3: Moscow, Russia, 15-16 March 2016

Wild boar population reduction should be considered, in combination with other control measures, within the framework of a wild boar management strategy aimed at reducing ASF virus contamination of the environment

A real challenge for any Veterinary Service

Take at home message

1. **Two different**, even if connected, **ASF cycles** are present in Europe: *the back yard cycle and wild boar cycle*
2. *Back yard pigs and low biosecurity farms are at high risk*
3. *The presence of infected wild boar populations increases the probability of virus introduction in domestic pigs*
4. Due to the **high environmental resistance** of the virus, *infected areas are likely to remain infected for long time*
5. The likelihood of **long distance transport of the virus by humans** increases proportionally to the size of the *infected areas*
6. *In wild boar the safe **removal of infected carcasses** plays a pivotal role in ASF control*



This presentation is delivered under contract with the Consumers, Health, Agriculture and Food Executive Agency (<http://ec.europa.eu/chafea>). The content of this presentation is the sole responsibility of Opera S.u.r.l., the Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale Lombardia e Emilia Romagna and the State Food and Veterinary Service of Latvia and it can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the Consumers, Health, Agriculture and Food Executive Agency or any other body of the European Union. The Consumers, Health, Agriculture and Food Executive Agency or any other body of the European Union will not be responsible under any circumstances for the contents of communication items prepared by the contractors.

OPERA

Viale Parioli 96, 00197 Roma - Italy
Tel +39 06 96042652- / +39 06 8080111
Fax +39 06 89280678
info@opera-italy.it; www.btsftraining.com;
<http://opera-italy.eu/>

© Copyright holder: European Commission (2018)

Better Training for Safer Food BTSF

• *European Commission
Consumers, Health and Food Executive Agency
DRB A3/042
L-2920 Luxembourg*