Contribution ID: 52baff20-35fd-4651-8167-c8692be2fa5e

Date: 18/12/2016 15:01:31

Mid-term evaluation of Regulation (EU) No 652/2014 on food and feed expenditure

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

INTRODUCTION

Consultation Period

The consultation runs for 13 weeks from 16/12/2016 to 17/03/2017.

Objective of the consultation

This public consultation is designed to support the mid-term evaluation of the Regulation (EU) No 652 /2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a Common Financial Framework which covers the spending for animal health measures, plant health measures, official controls and other activities. The mid-term evaluation focuses on the activities undertaken under Reg. (EU) No 652 /2014 during the period of 2014-2016.

The objective of this open consultation is to ensure that, in addition to the organisations and individuals directly involved in or benefitting from the activities of Reg. (EU) No 652/2014, wider stakeholder groups and the general public are provided with possibility to express their opinion about the financial instrument and its future priorities.

The results of this consultation will be combined with the other evidence to evaluate the EU Regulation for animal health, plant health and food safety expenditure. Besides assessing the relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, coherence and the EU added-value, the results will shape the future food and feed programme.

Language

The questionnaires are in **English** only, though responses can be submitted in any EU official language.

Transparency and confidentiality (European Transparency Initiative)

Your contribution received from this survey, together with your identity will be published on the European Commission's website, unless you object to publication of the personal data on the grounds that such publication would harm your legitimate interests. In this case, the contribution will be published in an anonymous form.

*Is the er	itity on wh	ose behalf	you are rep	olying regis	stered in the	e EU Tran	sparency	Register?
Ye	es							
V No	1							

If you are not registered please register your entity <u>here</u>. If you do not register your entity, your replies will be published separately from the replies of the registered entities.

Contact details

European Commission, Directorate General for Health and Food Safety B - 1049 Brussels, Belgium

PRIVACY STATEMENT

* I certify that I have read the <u>privacy statement</u> and confirm that I give consent to the processing of my personal data in the framework of this consultation according to Article 5(d) of Regulation No 45 /2001.

I. INFORMATION ABOUT RESPONDENT

This section consists of questions about the respondent. We would like to know who our respondents are in order to better understand their perspective, expectations and needs regarding <u>Regulation</u> (EU) No 652/2014 relating to food chain, animal and plant health expenditures.

*1.1. I am responding as

- An individual
- On behalf of an organization/institution

*Name of the organization

ANGEV-PRO.CIV.

*1.2 C	ountry of Residence
	Austria
	Belgium
	Bulgaria
	Croatia
	Cyprus
	Czech Republic
	Denmark
	Estonia
	Finland
	France
	Germany
	Greece
	Hungary
	Ireland
•	Italy
0	Latvia
0	Lithuania
0	Luxembourg
0	Malta
0	Netherlands
	Poland
	Portugal
	Romania
	Slovak Republic
	Slovenia
	Spain
0	Sweden
	United Kingdom
1.3 Se	ctor of employment
Gı	uardie Ecologiche Volontarie e Protezione Civile
*1.4 E	-mail address

	Very familiar
0	Quite familiar
0	Somewhat familiar
0	Not very familiar
0	Not at all
*1.6. F	Please indicate if you have work experience regarding Regulation (EU) No 652/2014 in any
of th	ese areas (more than one answer possible)
	Animal health measures
	Veterinary emergency measures
	Phytosanitary survey programmes
	Phytosanitary emergency measures
	European Union Reference laboratories activities
	Better training for safer food
1	None of them
	Other
*1.7. F	Please indicate your preference for the publication of your response on the Commission's
webs	site:
0	I consent to publication of all information in my contribution, including my personal data
0	I do not consent to the publication of my personal data as it would harm my legitimate interests. My contribution may be published in an anonymous form
0	I prefer to keep my contribution confidential. (it will not be published, but will be used when analysing the results of the consultation)
•	ease note that regardless of the option chosen, your contribution may be subject to a request for sess to documents under Regulation 1049/2001 on public access to European Parliament, Council

and Commission documents. In this case the request will be assessed against the conditions set out

in the Regulation and in accordance with applicable data protection rules.)

1.5. How familiar are you with Regulation (EU) No 652/2014 relating to food chain, animal and

plant health expenditures?

*1.8. Copyright

Any submission made by you on this website represents an agreement that the data you submitted will be used by the European Commission for the purposes of the mid-term evaluation of Regulation (EU) No 652/2014 (meaning that your contributions may be published individually or be part of a synthesis and referred to as meaningful example). Following your submission you understand that you authorise the European Commission to reproduce, print, publish and make available your contributions in print and electronic version , permit others to use the content of parts of it in other words, in the form of summaries, translated in other languages, published on other websites, printed etc.

- I took note of the above copyright clearance conditions and I agree with it
- I don't agree, please keep my contribution only for internal use in the Commission.

II. RELEVANCE

This section consists of questions to assess the extent to which the <u>Regulation (EU) No 652/2014</u> objectives are valid and in accordance with food chain needs in your Member State (MS) and the EU.

- *2.1. In your opinion, should co-funding for food safety, animal and plant health expenditures be an EU priority?
 - Yes
 - O No

*Please specify:

Sanità, salute umana ed animale, sicurezza alimentare, animale e vegetale fanno parte di una stessa catena

2.2. To which extent do you think the <u>Regulation (EU) No 652/2014</u> objectives are valid and in accordance with the current food chain needs (safer food, improved animal and plant health, effective official controls) in your Member State (MS)?

2.2.1. Animal health EU co-funded measures for the prevention of transmissible diseases in animals and of zoonoses address the needs to ...

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
*prevent transmissible diseases in animal and zoonoses.	•	•	•	•	•	0
*prevent the occurrence of outbreaks of animal diseases and zoonoses which pose a risk to human and animal health.	©	•	•	•	•	•
*support your MS to become and stay free from animal diseases and zoonoses.	©	•	•	•	•	•
*reduce the number of outbreaks of animal diseases and zoonoses which pose a risk to human and animal health.	©	•	•	•	•	•

2.2.2. Animal health EU co-funded emergency measures (eradication, control and surveillance) address the needs to...

Strongly agree Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
----------------------	-------------------------------------	----------	----------------------	---------------

*cope with exceptional circumstances related to animal health.		•	•	•	•	•
*compensate costs of emergency vaccination campaign.	©	•	•	©	©	©
*compensate costs of emergency eradication measures.	©	©	•	•	•	•
*implement enhanced biosecurity measures during outbreaks.	©	•	•	•	•	•
*distract and transporting of carcases.	©	•	0	©	©	0
*control the presence of transmissible diseases in animal and zoonoses.	•	•	•	•	•	•

2.2.3. Plant health EU co-funded survey measures concerning certain pests address the needs to...

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
*timely detect pests and their eradication.	©	•	•	•	•	0
*support Member States in actions on plant health control, prevention or eradication.	©	•	©	©	•	•

2.2.4. EU co-funded plant health emergency measures address the needs to ...

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
*protect free trade in plants and plant products within the EU	©	•	•	•	•	0
*protect free trade in plants and plant products between the EU and third countries.	©	•	•	•	•	•
*cope with exceptional circumstances related to plant health.	©	•	©	•	•	•
*immediately eradicate outbreaks of quarantine pests.	©	•	©	•	©	0

2.2.5. Control: The EU co-funded measures concerning to trainings for safer food (BTSF) address the needs to ...

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
*provide training on food safety related subjects	•	•	•	©	•	0
*keep staff of competent authorities involved in official control activities up-to-date with all aspects of community law.	©	•	©	©	©	•
*ensure that controls are carried out in a uniform manner in all Member States.	©	•	©	©	©	•
*ensure that controls are carried out in an objective manner in all Member States.	©	•	©	©	©	•
*ensure that controls are carried out in an adequate manner in all Member States.	©	•	©	©	©	•

2.2.6. Control: The EU co-funded measures regarding the EU Reference Laboratories (EURLS) address the needs to...

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
*harmonise the testing procedures across the EU.	•	•	•	•	•	•
*harmonise the testing capacities (skills, knowledge) across the EU.	©	•	•	•	©	•

2.2.7. Additional question

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
*The Regulation is flexible enough to adopt to technical and scientific progress (e.g. development of new vaccines or new survey programmes)		©		•	•	•

2.3. Are there any	y other relevant need	ds that are covered b	by Regulation	(EU) No	652/2014	hat are
not mentioned	above?					

	Voo
0	YAS

O No

Do not know

2.4. Are there any other needs ic cover and are not yet been add Yes No Do not know		nerged that Re	egulation (EU) No 652/201	4 should	
This section consists of question benefits that are additional to the intervention only. 3.1. Compared to what could be section of animal health measures, p. 3.1.1. EU co-funded measures for the section of animal health measures for the section of the section	he value that we have the had be achieved think Regulation lant health me	without the in ion (EU) No 65 easures and o	tervention of 52/2014, has in	Regulation (nproved the activities.	nber States EU) No 652	
	Fully	To a large extent	To some extent	Not at all	NA / Do not know	
*further improve prevention of transmissible diseases in animal and zoonoses.	•	©	•	•	•	
*further reduce the number of outbreaks of animal diseases and zoonoses which pose a risk to human and animal health.	©	©	•	©		
3.1.2. Animal health emergency measures further						
	Fully	To a large extent	To some extent	Not at all	NA / Do not know	

*improve actions to cope with exceptional circumstances related to animal health.	0	0	•	0	•
*improve the Member State action on animal health control, prevention or eradication.	©	©	•	©	©
*improve and harmonise contingency plans that prevents an emergency to become a crisis.	0	•	•	•	©
*improve cooperation and coordination in the rapid response network by appropriate and timely communication.	©	•	•	•	•
*support Member States to conduct emergency vaccination campaign.	©	0	•	0	©
*enhance biosecurity measures in case of disease outbreaks.	0	•	•	•	©
*improve the control transmissible diseases in animal and zoonoses.	•	0	•	0	•
*improve the actions in distraction and transport of carcases during eradication programmes.	0	0	•	0	•

3.1.3. EU co-funded survey measures concerning pests further \dots

	Fully	To a large extent	To some extent	Not at all	NA / Do not know
*fasten the timely detection of certain plant pest detection.	•	•	•	•	•
*support Member States to take harmonised actions on plant health control, prevention or eradication.	•	©	•	•	•

3.1.4. Measures for plant health emergency measures further ...

	Fully	To a large extent	To some extent	Not at all	NA / Do not know
*enhance implementation of biosecurity measures in case of plant pests.	0	0	•	0	•
*enhance sustainable use of pesticides.	0	•	•	•	•
*speed up the eradication when an outbreak of plant diseases takes place.	0	0	•	0	•
*contribute Member States to take harmonised action on plant health control, prevention or eradication.	©	©	•	©	•
*improve the capacity (skills and facilities) to cope with exceptional circumstances related to plant health.	©	•	©	0	•

3.1.5. BTSF programmes financed by the Regulation ...

	Fully	To a large extent	To some extent	Not at all	NA / Do not know
*improve the skills and knowledge of officials from competent authorities	0	•	•	•	•
*increase awareness regarding the control in animal health and plant health related issues	•	•	©	•	©
*improve the harmonisation of control systems of animal health and plant health related issues	©	©	•	©	©

3.1.6. EURLs programmes co-financed by the Regulation ...

	Fully	To a large extent	To some extent	Not at all	NA / Do not know
*improve early detection animal diseases and pests	0	•	©	0	•
*improve the harmonisation of tests across EU	0	0	•	0	0

	What do you think could be the most likely consequence(s) if Regulation (EU) No 652/2014 or withdraws the EU co-financing? (more than one response possible).
	Same measures will be implemented and fully paid by the Member States
	New measures will be implemented
	Measures will be implemented more efficiently because there will be less administrative burden at EU level
	Less measures will be implemented by the Member States because of shortage of financial resources
	Uniformity of measures among Member States will decrease in the short term
V	Uniformity of measures among Member States will decrease in the long term
	Other
3.2. Ple	ease provide any other comments on the EU added value of Regulation (EU) No 652/2014
IV. E	FFECTIVENESS

This section consists of questions to assess the extent to which <u>Regulation (EU) No 652/2014</u> has moved towards its objectives in the period of 2014-2016.

4.1. Does <u>Regulation (EU) No 652/2014</u> have a positive impact or makes a valuable contribution towards the following objectives:

	Fully	To a large extent	To some extent	Not at all	NA / Do not know
*functioning of the internal food market	0	0	•	0	•
*competitiveness of the agro- food industry at EU level	0	0	•	0	•
*competitiveness of the agro- food industry at global level	0	0	•	0	0
*trade between and within Member States	0	©	•	0	0
*trade between EU and non- EU countries	0	©	•	0	0
*consumer confidence in food	0	0	•	0	0

4.2. To which extent do you expect EU co-funded measures that are put in place to achieve the specific objectives of Regulation (EU) No 652/2014.

4.2.1. EU co-funded measures for the prevention of transmissible diseases contribute to ...

	Fully	To a large extent	To some extent	Not at all	NA / Do not know
*reducing the number of cases of diseases in humans linked to zoonosest	0	0	•	0	•
*increasing the number of Member States or Regions free from animal diseases	0	0	•	0	•
*overall reducing the incidence, prevalence and number of outbreaks	0	0	•	0	•
*reducing the transmissible diseases in animal and zoonoses.	0	0	•	0	•
*effectively controlling transmissible diseases in animal and zoonoses.	0	0	•	0	•
*preventing the occurrence of outbreaks of animal diseases and zoonoses which pose a risk to human and animal health.	©	©	©	©	•

4.2.2. EU co-funded animal health emergency measures contribute to ...

	Fully	To a large extent	To some extent	Not at all	NA / Do not know
*preventing outbreak to become a crisis	0	•	•	0	•
*effectively controlling the presence of transmissible diseases in animals and zoonoses	©	•	•	•	•

4.2.3. EU co-funded survey measures concerning pests contributes to ...

	Fully	To a large extent	To some extent	Not at all	NA / Do not know
*enhanced biosecurity in case of disease outbreaks.	0	•	0	0	0
*enhanced biosecurity measures in case of plant pests.	©	0	•	©	©
*sustainable use of pesticides.	0	0	•	0	©
*control, prevention or eradication relating to plant health.	©	0	•	©	©

4.2.4. EU co-funded plant emergency measures contribute to....

	Fully	To a large extent	To some extent	Not at all	NA / Do not know
*timely detection of the presence of certain plant diseases	•	•	•	0	•
*immediate eradication of outbreaks of plant diseases.	0	0	•	0	©

4.2.5. EU co-funded measures with respect to EU reference laboratories, BTSF (trainings) and controls contribute to ...

	Fully	To a large extent	To some extent	Not at all	NA / Do not know
*increase awareness in food safety related subjects	0	•	©	0	•
*harmonised approach to official controls and other official activities.	0	•	©	•	•
*uniform, objective, and adequate controls in all Member States.	0	•	•	•	•
*harmonised and robust test procedures across the EU (related to EURLs).	0	•	•	•	•
*better understanding of the EU law regarding animal and plant health by public inspection officers	•	•	©	•	•

^{*4.3.} Please mention the most important positive impact and/or valuable contribution from Regulation (EU) No 652/2014 intervention in terms of food safety, animal health, plant health and official control?

Il contrasto all'aviaria ad esempio.

^ 4.	4.4. Which factors played an important role in achieving these results?						
	L'armonizzazione delle norme della UE.						

*4.5. Please mention the most important negative impact from CFF intervention in terms of food safety, animal health, plant health and official control?

Impatto/intervento negativo sulle piante: L'obbligo da parte della CE, di abbattimento immediato degli ulivi del Salento nella regione Puglia (Italia), malati a causa del batterio "Xylella".

*4.6. Which factors played an important role in achieving these results?

Nessuno			

V. EFFICIENCY

This section consists of questions to assess the extent to which the employed resources of Regulation (EU) No 652/2014 programmes have been optimally used to achieve the desired results. Table (Planned) expenditure of Regulation (EU) No 652/2014 funding in million euros for different programmes

Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Eradication programmes and other veterinary measures	180.0	178.5	177.0	175.0	171.5	171.5	171.0
Plant health survey and seeds	5.0	10.0	14.0	19.0	25.0	28.5	30.5
Animal health and plant health emergency measures	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
Controls	45.7	47.4	50.4	53.6	57.5	60.0	62.2
Support/administrative measures	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7
Total	2267.4	2273.6	2280.1	2287.3	2294.7	2301.7	2306.4

*5.1. In your opinion was the overall budget provided in 2014 and 2015 sufficient to underta	ıke
the (necessary) actions (required):	

O No

O not know

*5.2. In your opinion, the allocation of the budget over different programs:

- Is appropriate
- Needs to be changed because:

* 5.3. Please explain your response and provide at least 2 reasons for your choice.

Ε'	appropriato.			

5.4. Please indicate how efficient <u>Regulation (EU) No 652/2014</u> operates relating to the following aspects

	Very efficient	Somewhat efficient	Neutral	Somewhat inefficient	Inefficient	NA / Do not know
*exceptional circumstances related to animal health.	©	•	©	•	©	0
*emergency vaccination campaign.	•	•	•	•	•	•
*emergency eradication measures.	0	•	•	•	•	0
*preventing transmissible diseases in animal and zoonoses.	•	•	•	•	©	•
*preventing the occurrence of outbreaks of animal diseases and zoonoses which pose a risk to human and animal health.	•	•	•	•	•	•

*reducing the number of outbreaks.	•	•	•	•	•	•
*enhanced biosecurity measures during outbreaks.	•	•	•	•	•	0
*controlling the presence of transmissible diseases.	•	•	•	•	•	•
*food safety and food production systems.	0	•	•	•	•	0
*exceptional circumstances related to plant health.	©	•	©	©	©	©
*immediate eradication of outbreaks of quarantine pests.	©	•	©	©	©	0
*a timely detections of pests and their eradication.	©	•	©	©	©	0
*training on food safety related subjects.	0	©	0	©	©	•

*harmonised control system.	0	•	0	•	•	0
*harmonised results of tests across the EU.	0	0	0	•	•	•

* 5.5. Are you familiar with the predecessor programmes of Regulation (EU) No 652/20	* 5.5. /	Are you familiar with th	e predecessor programmes	of Regulation	(EU) No	652/2014?
---	-----------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	---------------	---------	-----------

- Yes
- No
- Do not know
- 5.6. Please indicate how efficient Regulation (EU) No 652/2014 procedures operate relative to the previous funding arrangements (before 2014).

	Very efficient	Somewhat efficient	Neutral	Somewhat inefficient	Inefficient	NA / Do not know
*A quick implementation of the interventions	0	©	•	•	•	0
*Simplification of the Union co- financing in the food chain	©	©	©	©	•	0
*Rationalisation of the Union co- financing in the food chain	0	©	©	©	•	0

	Very efficient	Somewhat efficient	Neutral	Somewhat inefficient	Inefficient	NA / Do not know
*simplifying the legal framework, by replacing it by one legislation covering the whole food and feed area	•	•		©		0
*aligning the financial tools with the new EU Financial Regulation, notably in relation to grants	•	•				0

*5.7. Are there shortcomings in implementation of Regulation (EU) No 652/2014 procedures?

YesNo

O not know

5.8.1. optimizing and harmonising the financial procedures and financial management tools with respect to ...

	Very efficient	Somewhat efficient	Neutral	Somewhat inefficient	Inefficient	NA / Do not know
*aligning phytosanitary to veterinary procedures	©	©	©	©	©	•
*harmonising deadlines for programmes	0	0	0	0	•	•
*the setting of clear objectives and indicators	0	0	0	0	•	•
*the rationalization of funding rates.	©	©	©	©	©	•

VI. COHERENCE

This section consists of questions to assess the extent to which the EU spending for food and feed measures are consistent with the political priorities in the food safety area. You are asked to answer the questions by indicating the degree of coherence (e.g. complementarity, synergy), neutrality (measures do not interact with each other) or incoherence (e.g. compete, conflict).

6.1. In your opinion, to which extent <u>Regulation (EU) No 652/2014</u> is coherent with respect to national policy measures of your Member State?

	Synergy	Complementary	Neutral	Compete	Contradict	NA
*Animal health policies	0	•	•	©	0	0
*Plant health policies	0	•	0	©	•	0
*Official controls: measures to detect diseases timely	©	•	•	©	•	0
*Official controls: Reference laboratories	©	©	•	©	•	0
*State aid related to emergencies	0	©	•	©	©	0

6.2. In your opinion, to which extent Regulation (EU) No 652/2014 is coherent with respect to other EU policies?

	Synergy	Complementary	Neutral	Compete	Contradict	NA
*Animal Welfare policy	•	©	©	©	©	0
*General Food Law	•			•	0	0
*Environmental policy	•	©	0	©	©	0
*Public Health policy	•	©	0	©	©	0

*EU trade policy (e.g. phytosanitary measures, economic border measures such as tariffs, trade bans)	•	©	•	•	•	•
*Common Agricultural Policy (CMO; e. g. marketing standards, producer organisations, emergency fund)	•	•	•	•	•	•

*Common Agricultural Policy (RDP; e. g. quality schemes, farm management and relief services, restoring agricultural production potential damaged by natural disasters and catastrophic events, risk management)	•					•
--	---	--	--	--	--	---

*6.3. Please provide here any other national or EU programme that contradict / compete / overlap / complement/ create synergy with programmes supported by Regulation (EU) No 652 /2014. (maximum 2000 characters)

Programma CE che contraddice(va) altre soluzioni alternative e meno invasive /distruttive (interventi sostenibili italiani): L'obbligo di abbattimento immediato degli ulivi del Salento nella regione Puglia (Italia), malati a causa del batterio "Xylella".

Useful links

Regulation (EU) No 652/2014 laying down provisions for the management of expenditure relating to the food chain, animal health and animal welfare, and relating to plant health and plant reproductive material (http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32014R0652)

Regulation (EU) No 652/2014 - factsheet (http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/health_food-safety/funding/cff/docs/cff_food-chain_factsheet_en.pdf)

Common Financial Framework (http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/health_food-safety/funding/cff/index_en.htm)

<u>Funding of Animal Health Measures (http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/health_food-safety/funding/cff/animal_health/index_en.htm)</u>

Funding of Plant Health Measures (http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/health_food-safety/funding/cff/plant_health/index_en. htm)

Funding of Official Controls (http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/health_food-safety/funding/cff/official_controls/index_en.htm)

Questions and answers on Common Financial Framework for the Food Chain 2014-2020 (http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/health_food-safety/funding/cff/docs/cff_food-chain_question-answers_en.pdf)

Background Documents

consultation_20)161213_foo	<u>d-and-feed-exp</u>	_privacy-statement.pdf	(/eusurvey/files/ac49	9b8c4-5867-44dc-8dcf-
c877b0b41a04))				

Contact

Geoffrey.CARDOEN@ext.ec.europa.eu