1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 What is the name of your organisation?
New World Seeds & Tubers

1.2 What stakeholder group does your organisation belong to?
Breeder of S&PM; Supplier of S&PM; User of S&PM; Professional user of raw material produced by agriculture, horticulture or forestry; Company operating on national level; International company

1.2.1 Please specify

1.3 Please write down the address (postal, e-mail, telephone, fax and web page if available) of your organisation
New World Seeds & Tubers PO Box 16085 Seattle, WA 98116 website newworldcrops.com phone for Tom Wagner...breeder 425 894-1123 thoswagner@yahoo.com

2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

2.1 Are the problems defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?
Yes

2.2 Have certain problems been overlooked?
Yes

2.2.1 Please state which one(s)
Open source breeding....free exchange of germplasm I don't patent or try to control anyone growing my seed varieties.

2.3 Are certain problems underestimated or overly emphasized?
Underestimated

2.3.1 Please indicate the problems that have not been estimated rightly
How can I overcome registration of varieties...I do not believe in making my thousands of varieties emerging each year registered anywhere.

2.4 Other suggestions or remarks
I send seed to international groups of individuals..not companies, not governments. I want this to be unregulated

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW

3.1 Are the objectives defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?
No

3.2 Have certain objectives been overlooked?
Yes

3.2.1 Please state which one(s)
open source

3.3 Are certain objectives inappropriate?
Yes

3.3.1 Please state which one(s)
Full freedom of a breeder like myself to have thousands of cooperators growing my varieties free of any control

3.4 Is it possible to have a regime whereby a variety is considered as being automatically registered in an EU catalogue as soon as a variety protection title is granted by CPVO?
No

3.5 If there is a need to prioritise the objectives, which should be the most important ones? (Please rank 1 to 5, 1 being first priority)
Ensure availability of healthy high quality seed and propagating material
5

Secure the functioning of the internal market for seed and propagating material
4

Empower users by informing them about seed and propagating material
3

Contribute to improve biodiversity, sustainability and favour innovation
1

Promote plant health and support agriculture, horticulture and forestry
2

3.6 Other suggestions and remarks
Have the authorities of this law to contact people like myself who are breeders. I do not believe the proponents of this law wish to talk with me personally. I am a maverick of sorts...laissez-faire describes an environment in which transactions between private parties are free from state intervention, including restrictive ...

4. OPTIONS FOR CHANGE
4.1 Are the scenarios defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?
No

4.2 Have certain scenarios been overlooked?
Yes

4.2.1 Please state which one(s)
laissez-faire describes an environment in which transactions between private parties are free from state intervention, including restrictive ...

4.3 Are certain scenarios unrealistic?
Yes

4.3.1 Please state which one(s) and why
laissez-faire describes an environment in which transactions between private parties are free from state intervention, including restrictive ...

4.4 Do you agree with the reasoning leading to the discard of the "no-changes" and the "abolishment" scenarios?
No opinion

4.5 Other suggestions and remarks
Contact me at my website

5. ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS
5.1 Are the impacts correctly analysed in the context of S&PM marketing?
No

5.2 Have certain impacts been overlooked?
Yes

5.2.1 Please state which one(s)
laissez-faire describes an environment in which transactions between private parties are free from state intervention, including restrictive ...

5.3 Are certain impacts underestimated or overly emphasized?
Underestimated

5.3.1 Please provide evidence or data to support your assessment:
laissez-faire describes an environment in which transactions between private parties are free from state intervention, including restrictive ...

5.4 How do you rate the proportionality of a generalised traceability/labelling and fit-for-purpose requirement (as set out in scenario 4)?
5 = not proportional at all

5.5 How do you assess the possible impact of the various scenarios on your organisation or on the stakeholders that your organisation represents?
Scenario 1
Very negative

Scenario 2
Very negative

Scenario 3
Very negative

Scenario 4
Very negative

Scenario 5
Very negative

5.5.1 Please state your reasons for your answers above, where possible providing evidence or data to support your assessment:
WHY DO I HAVE TO COMPLY WITH ANY LAWS....NATURAL LAW IS BEST ...NOT MAN'S LAW

6. ASSESSMENT OF SCENARIOS
6.1 Which scenario or combination of scenarios would best meet the objectives of the review of the legislation?
Scenario with new features

6.1.1 What are your views with regards to combining elements from the various scenarios into a new scenario?

6.1.1 Please explain the new scenario in terms of key features
HAVE NO LAW THAT RESTRICTS THE INDIVIDUAL WORKING WITH OTHER INDIVIDUALS

6.2 Do you agree with the comparison of the scenarios in the light of the potential to achieve the objectives?
No

6.2.1 Please explain:
laissez-faire describes an environment in which transactions between private parties are free from state intervention, including restrictive

7. OTHER COMMENTS
7.1 Further written comments on the seeds and propagating material review:
laissez-faire describes an environment in which transactions between private parties are free from state intervention, including restrictive I DO NOT REPORT MY BREEDING MATERIAL WITH ANYONE OR ANY GOVERNMENT AND NEVER WILL. I DO NOT BELIEVE IN PATENTS, PVP, PROPERTY RIGHTS AND SEEDS BELONG TO WHOEVER POSSESSES THEM AND THOSE CAN BE SHARED WITHOUT INFLUENCE OF ANY LAW

7.2 Please make reference here to any available data/documents that support your answer, or indicate sources where such data/documents can be found:
laissez-faire describes an environment in which transactions between private parties are free from state intervention, including restrictive