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## ANNEX II <br> Standard requirements for the submission of programmes of monitoring, eradication and control of animal diseases co-financed by the Community

## 1. Identification of the programme

Member State: LATVIA
Disease(s) ${ }^{1}$ : RABIES
Year of implementation: 2011-2013
Reference of this document: ERADICATION PROGRAMME OF RABIES CO-FINANCED BY THE COMMUNITY
Contact (name, phone, fax, e-mail): Martins Serzants, phone +371 67027586, fax +37167322727 , martins.serzants@pvd.gov.lv
Date sent to the Commission: 30 April, 2011.

## 2. Historical data on the epidemiological evolution of the disease(s) ${ }^{2}$ :

Canine rabies was registered in Latvia until 1960, the situation changed in early sixties when most of cases were registered in wild animals foxes and racoon dogs. The outbreaks of rabies are recorded in all 26 administrative regions. One human case was reported in 2003. To reduce the prevalence of rabies and eliminate the sources of infection in the nature (wild animals) Food and Veterinary Service has started the oral vaccination of foxes since 1991. But because of deficiency of budget resources it was not possible to carry out regular vaccination (each year and in all territory of Latvia) and purchase necessary amount of vaccine. Since 2000 the vaccination was carried out in 17 districts, but since 2001 in all 26 administrative districts, but amount of vaccine baits was insufficient. Vaccination was carried out in autumn and spring by distributing vaccine baits twice with 14 days interval. There was no vaccination in 2004 due to delayed start of PHARE project. In 2005 oral vaccination campaigns were carried out in half of territory - $28000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ twice a year, providing 23 baits per $1 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. Staring from 2006 two vaccination campaigns was organized in all territory of Latvia when $23-25$ baits per $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ were distributed.

[^0]
3. Description of the submitted programme ${ }^{3}$ :

The submitted programme is prepared with the purpose to distribute vaccine baits in whole territory of Latvia ( $64635 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) twice per year (spring and autumn) to immunize the main reservoirs of rabies in our country - foxes and raccoon dogs. This is a multi-annual program for period 2011-2013.
Total amount of vaccine baits to be used in each year is $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{0 0 0}$ for all the territory, distributing in two campaigns. Totally $\mathbf{9} \mathbf{6 0 0} \mathbf{0 0 0}$ baits will be distributed within three year period (2011 to 2013). Vaccine baits will be distributed by airplanes with distance between flight lines 500-600 meters.
For the purpose to control efficiency of vaccination programme covers investigation of 4 animals (foxes, raccoon dogs) per $100 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ for antibody titre (using Biorad ELISA test), bait uptake (Detection of tetracycline in mandible tissue using luminescent microscopy).

[^1]
## 4. Measures of the submitted programme

4.1. Summary of measures under the programme

Duration of the programme:

First year: 2011
Last year: 2013
$\square$ Control
$\square$ Testing
$\square$ Slaughter of positive animals
$\square$ Killing of positive animals
$\square$ Vaccination
$\square$ Treatment
$\square$ Disposal of products
$\mathbf{X}$ Eradication
x Testing
$\square$ Slaughter of positive animals
$\square$ Killing of positive animals
$\square$ Extended slaughter or killing
$\square$ Disposal of products
$\square$ Monitoring or surveillance
$\square$ Other measures (specify):
4.2. Designation of the central authority charged with supervising and coordinating the departments responsible for implementing the programme ${ }^{4}$ :

The Food and Veterinary Service (FVS) of the Republic of Latvia is a state administrative institution headed by the CVO and supervised by the Ministry of Agriculture.
The FVS consists of the central body placed in Riga and territorial structural units (the local level) - 10 regional offices and one city (Riga) office. The central body coordinates activities of the local level and ensure a unified implementation of legislation. The local level caries out the official surveillance in accordance with the state surveillance programmes.
The central authority of Food and Veterinary Service elaborates and coordinates the measures of rabies prophylaxis, control and eradication in the Republic of Latvia, registers and analyses rabies epizootic situation, participates at international animal infectious disease reporting systems. FVS also cooperates with specialists from self-governments, the State Forestry Service, Infectology Center of Latvia and other institutions in order to carry out disease control.

[^2] Describe the responsibilities of all involved.

State Senior Veterinary inspectors and State Veterinary inspectors are responsible on surveillance of epizootic situation concerning zoonoses in the territory, organize, coordinate and control execution of demands determined in state; coordinate involvement of state authorized veterinarians in system of state surveillance of zoonoses.
State Authorized Veterinarians carry out several tasks of prophylaxis and eradication of zoonoses determined in legislation and in reglament documentation of FVS. They are involved in Rabies passive surveillance.
4.3. Description and delimitation of the geographical and administrative areas in which the programme is to be implemented ${ }^{5}$ :

Program will be implemented in all administrative regions. Total area of republic of Latvia: $\mathbf{6 4 6 3 5} \mathbf{~ k m}^{\mathbf{2}}$.
Latvia lies on the Eastern coast of the Baltic Sea. The combined length of the national borders is 1862 km . The length of land borders with Estonia - 343 km , the Eastern with Russia - 282 km , the Southeast with Byelorussia - 167 km and the Southern with Lithuania - 576 km . The length of sea border is 494 km .
Taking into account above described situation when rabies has been registered in the whole territory of Latvia, the number of main infection carriers - wild animals - foxes and racoon dogs is impermissible high and there is no geographical barrier that could limit the distribution of infection, with an exception of the Baltic Sea in the West and the Gulf of Riga in the North.
4.4. Measures implemented under the programme ${ }^{6}$
4.4.1. Measures and terms of legislation as regards the registration of holdings:
4.4.2. Measures and terms of legislation as regards the identification of animals ${ }^{7}$ :
4.4.3. Measures and terms of legislation as regards the notification of the disease:

- Law of Veterinary Medicine (26.04.2001)
- Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No 178, 23 February, 2010 "Order of rabies eradication and control"

[^3]- Order No 241, 21.09.2001 issued by Food and Veterinary Service determines the list of diseases (including TSEs) immediately notified to the Central Authority of Food and Veterinary Service (replaced by FVS order No.6, 08.01.2009.)
4.4.5. Measures and terms of legislation as regards the different qualifications of animals and herds:
4.4.6. Control procedures and in particular rules on the movement of animals liable to be affected or contaminated by a given disease and the regular inspection of the holdings or areas concerned ${ }^{8}$ :


### 4.4.7. Measures and terms of legislation as regards the control (testing, vaccination, ...) of the disease:

- On the basis of Law on Veterinary Medicine, FVS prepare annual animal infectious disease surveillance plan, including Rabies determining monitoring tests and amount of vaccine to be distributed in wildlife area.
All measures are carried out on basis of following documents:
- Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No 178, 23 February, 2010 "Order of rabies eradication and control"
- Food and Veterinary Service Instruction Order No 215, 3 September, 2001) "On prophylaxis and eradication of Rabies"

Both documents regulate Rabies control measures when rabies is suspected or confirmed (replaced by Rabies eradication and surveillance programme, approved by CVO order No.49, (16.04.2010).

Regarding oral vaccination of wildlife, there is Animal Infectious Disease State Surveillance Program, approved annually by CVO, where Chapter on oral vaccination is included. Program defines area to be vaccinated, number of vaccine baits and campaigns per year, as well as efficiency evaluation of vaccination campaigns.

## Oral vaccination programme in Belorussia territory (buffer zone - $5700 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) is also included in this programme.

[^4]
## General description of the costs and benefits ${ }^{9}$ :

Total costs of the programme for Latvia per year are 2518 249, 40 Euro. The general purpose of the programme is to eradicate Rabies in wild population (foxes and racoon dogs) by oral vaccination in the whole territory of Latvia ( $64635 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) twice a year. Vaccine baits will be distributed from airplanes with distance between flight lines 500 .
Total amount of vaccine baits to be used in 2012 is $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{0 0 0}$ distributed in two campaigns (spring and autumn). The same strategy will be applied in 2013. Next year current program will be re-evaluated and updated according to rabies epidemiological situation in Latvia and neighbouring countries.

Total costs of the programme for Belorussia for 2012 are $\mathbf{1 3 5} 375$, 00 Euro. The general purpose of the programme is to eradicate Rabies in wild population (foxes and racoon dogs) by oral vaccination in the buffer zone Braslaw, Myory, Vyerhnyadzvinsk regions in Belorussia ( $5700 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) twice a year. Vaccine baits will be distributed from airplanes with distance between flight lines 1000 meters.

Total amount of vaccine baits to be used in 2012 is $\mathbf{2 8 5} \mathbf{0 0 0}$ distributed in two campaigns (spring and autumn).

## 6. Stratified data on surveillance and laboratory tests

### 6.1. Stratified data on surveillance and laboratory tests (one table per year and per disease/species)

Description of the used virological tests: Fluorescent antibody test, OIE Manual,2004, chapter 2.2.5.B.1.c),i), p.331;
Mouse inoculation test; OIE Manual,2004, chapter 2.2.5.B.1.c),i), p.331.
Virus isolation in cell cultures

| Animal species ${ }^{(c)}$ | Serological tests |  | Virological tests |  | Other tests |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of samples tested ${ }^{(d)}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ | Number of samples tested ${ }^{\text {(d) }}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ | Number of samples tested ${ }^{(d)}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ |
| horses |  |  | 6 | 4 |  |  |
| cows |  |  | 51 | 22 |  |  |
| dogs |  |  | 194 | 31 |  |  |
| cats |  |  | 161 | 32 |  |  |
| fur animals |  |  | 2 | - |  |  |
| wild animals |  |  | 774 | 411 |  |  |
| others |  |  | 9 | - |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 1197 | 500 |  |  |


| Animal species ${ }^{(c)}$ | Serological tests |  | Virological tests |  | Other tests |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of samples tested ${ }^{(d)}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{(e)}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number of samples } \\ \text { tested } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number of positive } \\ \text { samples }{ }^{(\text {e })} \end{gathered}$ | Number of samples tested ${ }^{(d)}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ |
| horses |  |  | 3 | - |  |  |
| cows |  |  | 58 | 20 |  |  |
| dogs |  |  | 302 | 63 |  |  |
| cats |  |  | 243 | 52 |  |  |
| foxes |  |  | 725 | 474 |  |  |
| racoon dogs |  |  | 412 | 284 |  |  |
| badger |  |  | 54 | 32 |  |  |
| ferrets |  |  | 40 | 10 |  |  |
| marten |  |  | 42 | 14 |  |  |
| beaver |  |  | 18 | 5 |  |  |
| roe |  |  | 16 | 5 |  |  |
| fur animals |  |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |
| wild animals |  |  | 22 | 3 |  |  |
| others |  |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 1945 | 964 |  |  |


| Animal species ${ }^{(\mathrm{c})}$ | Serological tests |  | Virological tests |  | Other tests |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of samples tested ${ }^{(\mathrm{d})}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of positive } \\ & \text { samples } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number of samples } \\ \text { tested }{ }^{(d)} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number of positive } \\ \text { samples }(\text { e) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number of samples } \\ \text { tested }{ }^{(d)} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of positive } \\ & \text { samples }(\text { e) } \end{aligned}$ |
| horses |  |  | 2 | - |  |  |
| cows |  |  | 52 | 25 |  |  |
| dogs |  |  | 174 | 33 |  |  |
| cats |  |  | 198 | 35 |  |  |
| foxes |  |  | 409 | 181 |  |  |
| racoon dogs |  |  | 231 | 143 |  |  |
| badger |  |  | 16 | 10 |  |  |
| ferrets |  |  | 12 | 3 |  |  |
| marten |  |  | 29 | 3 |  |  |
| beaver |  |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |
| roe |  |  | 36 | 8 |  |  |
| fur animals |  |  | 5 | - |  |  |
| wild animals |  |  | 13 | - |  |  |
| others |  |  | 24 | 1 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 1206 | 443 |  |  |

$\underline{2005}$

| Animal species ${ }^{(\mathrm{c})}$ | Serological tests |  | Virological tests |  | Other tests |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of samples tested ${ }^{(d)}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{\text {(e) }}$ | Number of samples tested ${ }^{\text {(d) }}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ | Number of samples tested ${ }^{(d)}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ |
| horses |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| cows |  |  | 42 | 17 |  |  |
| dogs |  |  | 157 | 20 |  |  |
| cats |  |  | 170 | 29 |  |  |
| foxes |  |  | 402 | 176 |  |  |
| racoon dogs |  |  | 222 | 137 |  |  |
| badger |  |  | 21 | 13 |  |  |
| ferrets |  |  | 16 | 5 |  |  |
| marten |  |  | 24 | 9 |  |  |
| beaver |  |  | 11 | 2 |  |  |
| roe |  |  | 38 | 7 |  |  |
| other wild animals |  |  | 28 | 4 |  |  |
| others |  |  | 7 | 1 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 1140 | 421 |  |  |

(a) Disease and animal species if necessary.
(b) Breeders, laying hens, etc, when appropriate
(c) Region as defined in the approved eradication programme of the Member State.
(d) Number of samples tested, all confounded.
(e) Number of positive samples, all confounded

2006

| Animal species ${ }^{(c)}$ | Serological tests |  | Virological tests |  | Other tests |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of samples tested ${ }^{(\mathrm{d})}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ | Number of samples tested ${ }^{(\mathrm{d})}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ | Number of samples $\text { tested }{ }^{(\mathrm{d})}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ |
| cows |  |  | - | 13 |  |  |
| dogs |  |  | - | 31 |  |  |
| cats |  |  | - | 44 |  |  |
| foxes |  |  | - | 187 |  |  |
| racoon dogs |  |  | - | 153 |  |  |
| other wild animals |  |  | - | 43 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 1045 | 471 |  |  |

(a) Disease and animal species if necessary
(b) Breeders, laying hens, etc, when appropriate
(c) Region as defined in the approved eradication programme of the Member State.
(d) Number of samples tested, all confounded.
(e) Number of positive samples, all confounded

| Animal species ${ }^{(c)}$ | Serological tests |  | Virological tests |  | Other tests |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of samples tested ${ }^{(d)}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ | Number of samples tested ${ }^{(d)}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ | Number of samples tested ${ }^{(d)}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{\text {(e) }}$ |
| horses |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| cows |  |  | 16 | 5 |  |  |
| dogs |  |  | 133 | 25 |  |  |
| cats |  |  | 192 | 27 |  |  |
| foxes |  |  | 305 | 95 |  |  |
| racoon dogs |  |  | 134 | 33 |  |  |
| badger |  |  | 15 | 3 |  |  |
| ferrets |  |  | 26 | 5 |  |  |
| marten |  |  | 30 | 4 |  |  |
| mink |  |  | 12 | 1 |  |  |
| roe |  |  | 39 | 1 |  |  |
| other wild animals |  |  | 28 | 3 |  |  |
| Domestic animals |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 935 | 202 |  |  |

(a) Disease and animal species if necessary
(b) Breeders, laying hens, etc, when appropriate
(c) Region as defined in the approved eradication programme of the Member State.
(d) Number of samples tested, all confounded.
(e) Number of positive samples, all confounded

| Animal species ${ }^{(c)}$ | Serological tests |  | Virological tests |  | Other tests |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of samples $\text { tested }{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{\text {(e) }}$ | Number of samples $\text { tested }{ }^{(\mathrm{d})}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ | Number of samples $\text { tested }{ }^{(\mathrm{d})}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{\text {(e) }}$ |
| cows |  |  | 28 | 6 |  |  |
| dogs |  |  | 122 | 8 |  |  |
| cats |  |  | 151 | 6 |  |  |
| foxes |  |  | 390 | 44 |  |  |
| racoon dogs |  |  | 156 | 41 |  |  |
| badger |  |  | 14 | 1 |  |  |
| wolf |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| marten |  |  | 14 | 1 |  |  |
| beaver |  |  | 6 | 1 |  |  |
| otter |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 980 | 110 |  |  |

(a) Disease and animal species if necessary.
(b) Breeders, laying hens, etc, when appropriate
(c) Region as defined in the approved eradication programme of the Member State.
(d) Number of samples tested, all confounded.
(e) Number of positive samples, all confounded

| Animal species ${ }^{(c)}$ | Serological tests |  | Virological tests |  | Other tests |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number of samples } \\ \text { tested }{ }^{\text {(d) }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number of positive } \\ \text { samples }^{(e)} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number of samples } \\ \text { tested }{ }^{\text {d })} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of positive } \\ & \text { samples }^{(e)} \end{aligned}$ | Number of samples tested ${ }^{(d)}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ |
| dogs |  |  | 73 | 7 |  |  |
| cats |  |  | 88 | 4 |  |  |
| foxes |  |  | 302 | 24 |  |  |
| racoon dogs |  |  | 138 | 24 |  |  |
| badger |  |  | 11 | 8 |  |  |
| polecat |  |  | 11 | 1 |  |  |
| roe deer |  |  | 26 | 1 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 716 | 69 |  |  |

$\underline{2010}$

| Animal species ${ }^{(\mathrm{c})}$ | Serological tests |  | Virological tests |  | Other tests |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of samples tested $^{(d)}$ | Number of positive (e) samples ${ }^{(e)}$ | Number of samples tested ( $)$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{(e)}$ | Number of samples tested $^{(d)}$ | Number of positive samples ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ |
| dogs |  |  | 52 | 2 |  |  |
| foxes |  |  | 1361 | 11 |  |  |
| racoon dogs |  |  | 746 | 1 |  |  |
| badger |  |  | 8 | 1 |  |  |
| deer |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 2169 | 16 |  |  |

### 6.2. Data on infection (one table per year and per disease/species)

| Latvia | Number of herds infected ${ }^{(\text {c })}$ | Number of animals infected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1999 | - | 169 |
| 2000 | - | 516 |
| 2001 | - | 477 |
| 2002 | - | 500 |
| 2003 | - | 964 |
| 2004 | - | 443 |
| 2005 | - | 421 |
| 2006 | - | 471 |
| 2007 | - | 202 |
| 2008 | - | 110 |
| 2009 | - | 69 |
| 2011 | - | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |

(a) Disease and animal species if necessary.
(b) Region as defined in the eradication programme of the Member State.
(c) Herds equal flocks, or holdings as appropriate.

Rabies cases in Braslaw, Myory and Vyerhnyadzvinsk regions in Belorussia (2006 - 2010).

| Animal species | Number of infected animals |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |
| 2009 | 2009 |  |  |  |  |
| cows | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| dogs | 14 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 3 |
| cats | 5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| horses | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| other | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| foxes | 11 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| racoon dogs | 14 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| marten | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| elk | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |

### 6.3. Data on wildlife ${ }^{10}$

6.3.1. Estimation of wildlife population

Data on wildlife population is obtained from State Forest Service.

## Year: 1999-2009 <br> Method of estimation ${ }^{(a)}$ :

| Latvia | Estimation of the population of the concerned wild species |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Species: Foxes | Species: Raccoon dogs | Species:Wolves | Species:Bobcats |
| 1999 | 26177 | 11740 | 572 | 671 |
| 2000 | 27649 | 12657 | 544 | 648 |
| 2001 | 29083 | 14022 | 473 | 667 |
| 2002 | 30044 | 15096 | 566 | 750 |
| 2003 | 28713 | 15901 | 673 | 765 |
| 2004 | 30893 | 17258 | 603 | 824 |
| 2005 | 32294 | 19384 | 588 | 1006 |
| 2006 | 33064 | 20156 | 550 | 863 |
| 2007 | 32173 | 21870 | 665 | 980 |
| 2008 | 34864 | 24568 | 816 | 1326 |
| 2009 | 34039 | 26934 | 917 | 1553 |


| Latvia | Estimation of the population of the concerned wild species |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Species: Badgers | Species: Martens |
| 1999 | 8062 | 18566 |  |
| 2000 | 8291 | 20470 | 15353 |
| 2001 | 8852 | 21880 | 16486 |
| 2002 | 9364 | 22902 | 17979 |
| 2003 | 9795 | Species: Minks |  |

[^5]| 2004 | 10771 | 22532 | 20440 | 8784 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 10586 | 21614 | 22655 | 8899 |
| 2006 | 10518 | 21975 | 23100 | 8585 |
| 2007 | 10699 | 21547 | 22469 | 9197 |
| 2008 | 11483 | 22685 | 23042 | - |
| 2009 | 12381 | 23565 | 23847 | - |


| Latvia | Estimation of the population of the concerned wild species |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Species: Beavers | Species: Polecats | Species: Roes | Species: Elks |
| 1999 | 42614 | 6591 | 55551 | 10595 |
| 2000 | 45706 | 7487 | 68183 | 11873 |
| 2001 | 51934 | 8932 | 79622 | 13229 |
| 2002 | 54684 | 9941 | 95098 | 14218 |
| 2003 | 62138 | 9600 | 110759 | 13793 |
| 2004 | 66886 | 11066 | 129576 | 14494 |
| 2005 | 73502 | 12284 | 150120 | 14498 |
| 2006 | 77474 | 11660 | 195841 | 14488 |
| 2007 | 82277 | 12145 | 225851 | 14409 |
| 2008 | 89474 | 11798 | 240204 | 15004 |
| $2009$ | 86915 | 11687 | 186340 | 16430 |

(a) The hunting bag is considered to be the standard method of estimation. If other method is used, explain
(b) Region as defined in the approved eradication programme of the Member State

## Description of the other used tests:

In 2005 tests for detection of antibody level: ${ }^{1}$ FAVN and ELISA ${ }^{2}$ (samples considered positive if antibody level =/> 0,5 IU/ml). In 2006 only ELISA test was used to detect antibody level (seroconversion).
${ }^{3}$ Detection of tetracycline in mandible tissue using luminescent microscopy (samples collected from animals hunted in vaccinated territory).
${ }^{4}$ Fluorescent antibody tests (samples collected from animals hunted in vaccinated territory).

Regarding vaccination efficiency control after campaigns in 2010 - samples were collected in from 28 June till 31 July and during period from 18 October till 10 December.

| Latvia | Microbiological or virological tests ${ }^{4}$ |  | Serological tests |  | Other tests ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of samples tested | Number of positive samples | Number of samples tested | Number of positive samples | Number of samples tested | Number of positive samples |
| 2001 | - | - | - | - | 285 | 151 |
| 2002 | - | - | - | - | 319 | 175 |
| 2003 | - | - | - | - | 501 | 209 |
| 2004 | - | - | - | - | 257 | 98 |
| 2005 | - | - | $509{ }^{1} / 1219^{2}$ | $216^{1} / 176^{2}$ | 1678 | 901 |
| 2006 | 737 | 11 | 731 | 341 | 736 | 620 |
| 2007 | 4579 | 28 | 4621 | 2176 | 4628 | 3392 |


| 2008 | 3273 | 9 | 3291 | 1648 | 3303 | 2449 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | 825 | 3 | 3140 | 1587 | 3143 | 2265 |
| 2010 | 2274 | 0 | 1940 | 1410 | 1949 | 1686 |

(a) Disease and species if necessary
(b) Region as defined in the approved eradication programme of the Member State

### 6.3.3. Data on vaccination or treatment of wildlife


#### Abstract

Year: 1999-2010 Animal species: foxes and racoon dogs Description of the used vaccination scheme: Rabies oral vaccine baits were distributed manually near the fox's caverns till 2003. In 2005 and 2006 baits were distributed using airplanes and helicopters. Distance between flights lines was $1 \mathbf{k m}$. Vaccines were distributed during two campaigns (spring and autumn). In 2009 autumn


 campaign for territories in 27150 km 2 and in 2010 autumn campaign for territories in 32000 km 2 lines between flights were reduced to 500 meters.| Latvia | Square km | Vaccination or treatment programme |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of doses of vaccine or treatment to be administered | Number of campaigns | Total number of doses of vaccine or treatment administered |
| 1998 (Vaccination was not carried out in all territory of Latvia) | * | 56100 | 2 | 56100 |
| 1999 (Vaccination was not carried out in all territory of Latvia) | * | 60000 | 2 | 60000 |
| 2000 (Vaccination was not carried out in all territory of Latvia) | * | 89000 | 2 | 89000 |
| 2001 | * | 310000 | 2 | 310000 |
| 2002 | * | 300000 | 2 | 300000 |
| 2003 | * | 300000 | 2 | 300000 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2005 | 28000 | 1247200 | 2 | 1247200 |
| 2006 | 64000 | 3372000 | 2 | 3372000 |
| 2007 | 64000 | 3351600 | 2 | 3351600 |
| 2008 | 49326 | 919200 | 1 | 919200 |
| 2009 | 64000 | 2980800 | 2 | 2980800 |
| 2010 | 64000 | 3200000 | 2 | 3200000 |


| Total |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(a) Disease and species if necessary
(b) Region as defined in the approved eradication programme of the Member State
7. Targets

### 7.1. Targets related to testing

7.1.1. $\quad$ Targets on diagnostic tests
7.1.1.1. Number and specification of tests

Disease ${ }^{(\text {a) }}$ : RABIES
Animal species: Foxes and raccoon dogs

Period 2012

| Region ${ }^{(6)}$ | Type of the test ${ }^{(c)}$ | Target population ${ }^{(1)}$ | Type of sample ${ }^{(\text {e }}$ | Objective ${ }^{(f)}$ | Number of planned tests |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latvia | Biorad Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) | Foxes and raccoon dogs | Serum | Efficiency of vaccination campaign | 2560 |
|  | Detection of tetracycline in mandible tissue using luminescent microscopy | Foxes and raccoon dogs | Mandible | Efficiency of vaccination campaign | 2560 |
|  | Fluorescent antibody test (FAT) | Foxes and raccoon dogs | Brain tissue | Virus detection | 3000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 8120 |

Period 2013

| Region ${ }^{\text {(b) }}$ | Type of the test ${ }^{(c)}$ | Target population ${ }^{(d)}$ | Type of sample ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ | Objective ${ }^{(f)}$ | Number of planned tests |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latvia | Biorad Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) | Foxes and raccoon dogs | Serum | Efficiency of vaccination campaign | 2560 |
|  | Detection of tetracycline in mandible tissue using luminescent microscopy | Foxes and raccoon dogs | Mandible | Efficiency of vaccination campaign | 2560 |
|  | Fluorescent antibody test (FAT) | Foxes and raccoon dogs | Brain tissue | Virus detection | 3000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 8120 |

(a) Disease and species if necessary
(b) Region as defined in the approved eradication programme of the Member State
(c) Description of the test (e.g. SN-test, AB-Elisa, RBT, ...)
(d) Specification of the targeted species and the categories of targeted animals (e.g. sex, age, breeding animal, slaughter animal, ...).
(e) Description of the sample (e.g. blood, serum, milk, ...)
(f) Description of the objective (e.g. qualification, surveillance, confirmation of suspected cases, monitoring of campaigns, seroconversion, control on deleted vaccines, testing of vaccine, control of vaccination, ...)

### 7.1.1.2.Testing scheme(s) ${ }^{11}$ :

According to Order, issued by Chief Veterinary Officer, determining number of samples and area where to collect animals for investigation.
Efficiency of vaccination campaigns is evaluated in all regions where vaccines are distributed and 4 animals per $100 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ should be submitted to laboratory for testing. Samples are collected in collaboration with hunters and FVS territorial units are responsible for receiving and sending of samples to the laboratory. sampling) with reference to the national and Community legislation where appropriate.

## 7..2. Targets on vaccination or treatment ${ }^{12}$ of wildife

## Disease ${ }^{(\mathrm{a})}:$ Rabies

## Animal species: Foxes and racoon dogs

It is planned to distribute vaccine baits evenly in all country for the period 2012-2013, Distance between flights for next two years will be 500 meters.
Period 2012

| Region |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |

It is planned to distribute vaccine baits in Braslaw, Myory, Vyerhnyadzvinsk regions in Belorussia for territories 5700 km 2 . Distance between flights will be 1000 meters.

## Period 2012

| Region ${ }^{(5)}$ | Square km | Targets on the vaccination or treatment programme |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of doses of vaccine or treatments expected to be administered in the campaign | Expected number of campaigns | Total number of doses of vaccine or treatment expected to be administered |
| Belorussia | $5700 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 142500 | 2 | 285000 |
| Total | $5700 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 142500 | 2 | 285000 |

[^6]| Region ${ }^{(6)}$ | Square km | Targets on the vaccination or treatment programme |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of doses of vaccine or treatments expected to be administered in the campaign | Expected number of campaigns | Total number of doses of vaccine or treatment expected to be administered |
| Latvia | $64635 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 1600000 | 2 | 3200000 |
| Total | 64635 km² | 1600000 | 2 | 3200000 |

(a)

Disease and species if necessary
(b)

Region as defined in the approved eradication programme of the Member State

## 7. Detailed analysis of the cost of the programme for Latvia ${ }^{13}$ Period 2012.

| Costs related to | Specification | Number of units | Unitary cost in $€$ | Total amount in $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ | Community funding requested (yes/no) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Testing |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1. Cost of the analysis | Test: BIORAD ELISA | 2560 | 16,82 | 43 059,2 | Yes |
|  | Test: Detection of tetracycline in mandible | 2560 | 12,12 | 31 027,2 | Yes |
|  | Test: Fluorescent antibody test (FAT) | 3000 | 16,12 | 48360 | Yes |
|  | Test: Titration of vaccine baits | 18 | 143,5 | 2583 | Yes |
| 1.2. Cost of sampling | Sampling | 3000 | 7,1 | 21300 | Yes |
| 1.3. Other costs | Hunting and delivery costs (foxes and raccoon dogs) | 2560 | 10,00 | 25600 | Yes |
| 2. Vaccination or treatment |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1. Purchase of vaccine/treatment | Vaccine baits | 3200000 | 0,50 | 1600000 | Yes |
|  | Parenteral vaccine | 2000 | 1,51 | 3020 |  |
| 2.2. Distribution costs | Vaccine aerial distribution | $128000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 5,7 | 739600 | Yes |
| 2.3. Administering costs | Administration of parenteral vaccination | 2000 | 1,85 | 3700 | Yes |
| 2.4. Control costs |  |  |  |  |  |

[^7]

## Detailed analysis of the cost of the programme for Belorussia Period 2012.

| Costs related to | Specification | Number of units | Unitary cost in $€$ | Total amount in $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ | Community funding requested (yes/no) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Testing |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1. Cost of the analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2. Cost of sampling |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.3. Other costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Vaccination or treatment |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1. Purchase of vaccine/treatment | Vaccine baits | 285000 | 0,60 | 171000 | Yes |
| 2.2. Distribution costs | Vaccine aerial distribution | $11400 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 8,75 | 99 750,00 | Yes |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.3. Administering costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.4. Control costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Slaughter and destruction |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1. Compensation of animals |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.2. Transport costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.3. Destruction costs |  |  |  |  |  |


| 3.4. Loss in case of slaughtering |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.5 Costs from treatment of products <br> (milk, eggs, hatching eggs, etc) |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Cleaning and disinfection |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Salaries (staff contracted for the <br> programme only) |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Consumables and specific <br> equipment |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Other costs |  |  |  |  |

## Period 2013. Latvia

| Costs related to | Specification | Number of units | Unitary cost in $€$ | Total amount in $€$ | Community funding requested (yes/no) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Testing |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1. Cost of the analysis | Test: BIORAD ELISA | 2560 | 16,82 | 43 059,2 | Yes |
|  | Test: Detection of tetracycline in mandible | 2560 | 12,12 | 31 027,2 | Yes |
|  | Test: Fluorescent antibody test (FAT) | 3000 | 16,12 | 48360 | Yes |
|  | Test: Titration of vaccine baits | 18 | 143,5 | 2583 | Yes |
| 1.2. Cost of sampling | Sampling | 3000 | 7,1 | 21300 | Yes |
| 1.3. Other costs | Hunting and delivery costs (foxes and raccoon dogs) | 2560 | 10,00 | 25600 | Yes |
| 2. Vaccination or treatment |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1. Purchase of vaccine/treatment | Vaccine baits | 3200000 | 0,50 | 1600000 | Yes |
|  | Parenteral vaccine | 2000 | 1,51 | 3020 |  |
| 2.2. Distribution costs | Vaccine aerial distribution | $128000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ | 5,7 | 739600 | Yes |
| 2.3. Administering costs | Administration of parenteral vaccination | 2000 | 1,85 | 3700 | Yes |
| 2.4. Control costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Slaughter and destruction |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1. Compensation of animals |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.2. Transport costs |  |  |  |  |  |




[^0]:     diseases.
     testing and slaughter, testing and killing, qualification of herds and animals, vaccination ...) and the main results (incidence, prevalence, qualification of herds and animals). The information is given according distinct periods if the measures were substantially modified. The information is documented by relevant summary epidemiological tables, graphs or maps.

[^1]:    3 A concise description of the programme is given with the main objective(s) (monitoring, control, eradication, qualification of herds and/or regions, reducing prevalence and incidence ...), the main measures (testing, testing and slaughter, testing and killing, qualification of herds and animals, vaccination ...), the target animal population and the area(s) of implementation and the definition of a positive case.

[^2]:    4 Describe the authorities charged with supervising and coordinating the departments responsible for implementing the programme and the different operators involved.

[^3]:     Illustrate with maps.
    $6 \quad$ Where appropriate Community legislation is mentioned. Otherwise the national legislation is mentioned.
    7 Not applicable for poultry.

[^4]:    8 A short description of the control procedures and in particular rules on the movement of animals liable to be affected or contaminated by a given disease and the regular inspection of the holdings or areas is provided.

[^5]:    1

[^6]:    12 Data to provide for Bovine brucellosis, Ovine and caprine brucellosis (B. melitensis), Aujeszky's disease, , African Swine fever, swine vesicular disease, endemic classical swine fever, Rabies, Echinococcosis and trichinellosis and agents thereof.

[^7]:    13 Fixed costs should not be included. All amounts are VAT excluded.

