Programme assessment sheet - Implementation year 2016

Member State:

Disease: Zoonotic *Salmonella* – Control programme in **breeding turkeys flocks**

NB: Regulation (EU) No 1190/2012 is thereafter called the "Turkeys Regulation"

Programme element and relevant criteria	Relevant part of Annex II[i]	Assessment[ii]	1. Additional elements / information to request to the CA 2. Changes and/or additions to the programme that should be required to the CA

Programme element and relevant criteria	Relevant part of Annex II[i]	Assessment[ii]	1. Additional elements / information to request to the CA 2. Changes and/or additions to the programme that should be required to the CA
1. Do the objectives of the programme clearly include the Union target[iii]?	A.1		
2. Does the programme present an improvement of the situation (or a similarly good situation) compared to the previous years?	C		
3. For a multiannual programme, do the objectives plan the improvement of the situation during the implementation period?	C		
4. Is the management of the programme clearly defined and described? • Central control, • available resources, • satisfactory identification of the flocks, • monitoring of implementation of described measures (FBO sampling, official sampling, eradication measures, etc), • respective responsibilities of competent authorities (CAs) and food and feed business operators.	B		
5. Does the programme indicate clearly: • the number of flocks under the programme, • the number of flocks that will be officially sampled and • the number of flock visits for taking official samples?	A.3		
6. Does the programme cover all turkey breeding flocks?	A.3		
7. Is the testing scheme (FBO sampling) of the programme compliant with EU legislation[iv]?	A.6		
8. Is the testing scheme (official sampling) of the programme compliant with the breeders Regulation[v]?	A.14.b		

Programme element and relevant criteria	Relevant part of Annex II[i]	Assessment[ii]	1. Additional elements / information to request to the CA 2. Changes and/or additions to the programme that should be required to the CA
9. In case *Salmonella* spp is detected in a flock (FBO or official sample), is the timing of the implementation of restrictive measures on the holding appropriate until the actual field strain serotype is known[vi]?			
10. In case a target *Salmonella* serovar is detected in a flock on a FBO sample, is the procedure followed by the Competent Authority (CA) clearly described? In line with EU requirements? • Timely notification • Official confirmatory sampling if positive sample at the hatchery, • implementation of provisions of Annex II.C.3 to 5 of Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 or • official confirmatory sample on a positive FBO sample where method of analysis was not approved by the CA. NB: official confirmatory sampling after a positive FBO sample is not supposed to be done routinely.	A.4 A.14.c A.8		
11. In case a targeted *Salmonella* serovar is detected in a flock, is the procedure followed by the CA clearly described? In line with EU requirements (Provisions of Annex II.C.3 to 5 of Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003): • destruction or heat treatment of the eggs, • slaughtering or culling of animals, • decontamination of holding with disinfection tests.	A.8 A.17		
12. Will testing of antimicrobials / bacterial growth inhibitors be done when relevant[vii]? Are the consequences of a positive result described? In line	A.14.d		

Programme element and relevant criteria	Relevant part of Annex II[i]	Assessment[ii]	1. Additional elements / information to request to the CA 2. Changes and/or additions to the programme that should be required to the CA
with EU requirements?			
13. Are official checks described concerning the correct use of antimicrobials[viii]?	A.14.d		
14. Are measures described to ensure that fresh poultry meat placed on the market for human consumption deriving from a *Salmonella*-positive flock complies with EU bacteriological requirements (food chain information, micro criteria)[ix]?	A.9		
15. If vaccination is performed, is the use of vaccines in accordance with Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No 1176/2006?	A.15		
16. Are the provisions concerning compensation satisfactory[x]?	A.16		
17. Will laboratory analysis be carried out in compliance with point 3.2 (analytical method), 3.3 (serotyping) and 3.4 (alternative method) of Annex to the breeders Regulation?	A.11		
18. Will analysis be performed in laboratories approved by the CA and accredited to ISO 17025 for the *Salmonella* tests?	**A.10**		
19. Biosecurity and hygiene management measures at farms: Are they part of the programme? Are they fit for purpose? Are they regularly checked / enforced by the CA?	A.5 A.14.a		
20. Are the proposed measures described in the programme the most (cost) efficient and (cost) effective given the specific circumstances, such as disease situation and resources?			

Overall assessment of the programme and grade [i] (poor/fair/good/very good) :
List of clarifications and amendments to be required to the Member state concerning the submitted programme to enable its complete final assessment (to be performed by the Commission):

Individual assessment	Consensus assessment
Expert name: Date Signature	Rapporteur name: Date Signature
	Expert name: Date Signature
	Expert name: Date Signature

[i] Annex II is the programme submitted by the member state.
[ii] Definitions grades to be given to the programmes (overall and separate elements)

Poor	• Relevant information required by Commission Decision 2008/425/EC is missing • Information necessary to assess the validity of a proposed measure is missing • Contradictory information is provided in the programme • Incompliance with the EU legislation identified
Fair	• Globally compliant with the requirements and acceptably clear for the assessor but still clarifications, modifications or additional information is needed
Good	• Fully compliant and clear or very minor clarifications needed
Very good	• The quality and precision of the programme or measure deserve a special mention

[iii] Article 1 (b) of the Turkeys Regulation (maximum percentage of 1%, 2 targeted serovars: SE and ST including monophasic ST)
[iv] Annex of the Turkeys Regulation
[v] Annex of the Turkeys Regulation
[vi] To evaluate the correctness of the timing, to see if the MS took into account the following parameters to implement or not restrictive measures: flock age, SE/ST vaccination history, SE/ST incidence in the MS, situation of this poultry population in this MS vis-à-vis Union target (in 2012 breeding turkeys populations were above Union targets in PL), any risk factor (e.g. presence on the holding of other animal species prone to *Salmonella* infection, poor biosecurity measures)
[vii] Point 2.2.3 of Annex to the Turkeys Regulation
[viii] Article 2 of Regulation (EC) No 1177/2006
[ix] Annex II, Section III of Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 (FCI) and Row 1.28 of Chapter I of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005: absence of SE and ST in 5 samples of 25g of fresh poultry meat
[x] Legal basis, price paid, time between slaughtering/culling and payment, link between compensation and prompt slaughtering/culling, link between compensation and effective biosecurity measures, etc.