

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 What is the name of your organisation?

agriculteur multiplicateur

1.2 What stakeholder group does your organisation belong to?

Other

1.2.1 Please specify

agriculteur multiplicateur de semences

1.3 Please write down the address (postal, e-mail, telephone, fax and web page if available) of your organisation

michel vitu 1 chemein de sens 10290 bercenay le hayer michelvitu@wanadoo.fr 06.70.06.04.44

2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

2.1 Are the problems defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

No

2.2 Have certain problems been overlooked?

Yes

2.2.1 Please state which one(s)

les agriculteurs multiplicateurs sont un maillon indispensable pour le monde agricole

2.3 Are certain problems underestimated or overly emphasized?

Underestimated

2.3.1 Please indicate the problems that have not been estimated rightly

aspect surestimé: sécurisation d'un 'approvisionnement en semences de qualité aspect sous estimé: scénarios des conséquences des réseaux d'agriculteurs non incluses dans l'analyse

2.4 Other suggestions or remarks

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW

3.1 Are the objectives defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

No

3.2 Have certain objectives been overlooked?

Yes

3.2.1 Please state which one(s)

la recherche et les innovations ne sont pas suffisamment mis en avant pour la sécurité alimentaire de 2020 il faut que les agriculteurs aient a leurs disposition des variétés a haur rendement

3.3 Are certain objectives inappropriate?

Yes

3.3.1 Please state which one(s)

la question du cout doit être pondérée au regard des enjeux

3.4 Is it possible to have a regime whereby a variety is considered as being automatically registered in an EU catalogue as soon as a variety protection title is granted by CPVO?

Yes

3.5 If there is a need to prioritise the objectives, which should be the most important ones? (Please rank 1 to 5, 1 being first priority)

Ensure availability of healthy high quality seed and propagating material

1

Secure the functioning of the internal market for seed and propagating material

4

Empower users by informing them about seed and propagating material

2

Contribute to improve biodiversity, sustainability and favour innovation

5

Promote plant health and support agriculture, horticulture and forestry

3

3.6 Other suggestions and remarks

difficile de donner un objectif a partir du moment ou il est mal défini au depart

4. OPTIONS FOR CHANGE

4.1 Are the scenarios defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

No

4.2 Have certain scenarios been overlooked?

Yes

4.2.1 Please state which one(s)

aucun scénario ne parle de l'agriculteur multiplicateur de semences

4.3 Are certain scenarios unrealistic?

Yes

4.3.1 Please state which one(s) and why

DHS risques de conflits pour les variétés protégées VAT probleme sous estimé

4.4 Do you agree with the reasoning leading to the discard of the "no-changes" and the "abolishment" scenarios?

Yes

4.5 Other suggestions and remarks

5. ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS

5.1 Are the impacts correctly analysed in the context of S&PM marketing?

No

5.2 Have certain impacts been overlooked?

Yes

5.2.1 Please state which one(s)

le réseaux des agriculteurs multiplicateurs a été encore une fois oublié

5.3 Are certain impacts underestimated or overly emphasized?

Underestimated

5.3.1 Please provide evidence or data to support your assessment:

dossier sous estimé

5.4 How do you rate the proportionality of a generalised traceability/labelling and fit-for-purpose requirement (as set out in scenario 4)?

No opinion

5.5 How do you assess the possible impact of the various scenarios on your organisation or on the stakeholders that your organisation represents?

Scenario 1

Neutral

Scenario 2

Neutral

Scenario 3

Rather negative

Scenario 4

Very negative

Scenario 5

Neutral

5.5.1 Please state your reasons for your answers above, where possible providing evidence or data to support your assessment:

sans opinion

6. ASSESSMENT OF SCENARIOS

6.1 Which scenario or combination of scenarios would best meet the objectives of the review of the legislation?

A combination of scenarios

6.1.1 What are your views with regards to combining elements from the various scenarios into a new scenario?

sans commentaire

6.1.1 Please explain the new scenario in terms of key features

6.2 Do you agree with the comparison of the scenarios in the light of the potential to achieve the objectives?

No

6.2.1 Please explain:

scenario 4 tres dangereux pour la multiplication francaise

7. OTHER COMMENTS

7.1 Further written comments on the seeds and propagating material review:

l'évaluation ne tiens pas compte des nouveaux couts que la commission devra^prendre en charge

7.2 Please make reference here to any available data/documents that support your answer, or indicate sources where such data/documents can be found:

etude 1238 GNIS caractérisation du réseau des agriculteurs multiplicateurs

