Programme assessment sheet - Implementation year 2016

Member State:

Disease: Zoonotic Salmonella - Control programme in laying flocks of Gallus gallus

NB: - Regulation (EU) No 517/2011 is thereafter called the "Layers Regulation"

Programme element and relevant criteria	Rele vant part of Annex II	Asses sment ⁱ	Additional elements / information to request to the CA Changes and/or additions to the programme that should be required to the CA
---	--	-----------------------------	--

	Programme element and relevant criteria	Rele vant part of Annex II	Asses sment ⁱ	Additional elements / information to request to the CA Changes and/or additions to the programme that should be required to the CA
1.	Do the objectives of the programme clearly include the Union target ⁱⁱ ?	A.1		
2.	Does the programme present an improvement of the situation (or a similarly good situation) compared to the previous years?	C.1.2		
3.	For a multiannual programme, do the objectives plan the improvement of the situation during the implementation period?	С		
4.	 Is the management of the programme clearly defined and described? Central control, available resources, satisfactory identification of the flocks, monitoring of implementation of described measures (FBO sampling, official sampling, eradication measures, etc), respective responsibilities of competent authorities (CAs) and food and feed business operators 	В		
5.	 Does the programme indicate clearly: the number of flocks under the programme, the number of holdings with at least 1000 birds, the number of holdings where official samples will be taken, the number of flocks where official samples will be taken the number of flock visits for taking official samples? 	A.3		
6.	 Does the programmeⁱⁱⁱ: cover all adult laying flocks producing table eggs? cover all flocks of pullets (future layers of table eggs)? 	A.3		

	Programme element and relevant criteria	Rele vant part of Annex II	Asses sment ⁱ	Additional elements / information to request to the CA Changes and/or additions to the programme that should be required to the CA
7.	Is the testing scheme (FBO sampling) of the programme compliant with EU legislation (Annex of the Layers Regulation and Annex II.B of Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003)?	A.6 A.7		
8.	Is the testing scheme (official sampling) of the programme compliant with the Layers Regulation? (Points 2.1.3 & and 2.2.2 of the Annex of the Layers Regulation - official sampling at least in all holdings comprising at least 1000 birds)			
9.	In case <i>Salmonella</i> spp is detected in a flock (FBO or official sample), is the timing of the implementation of restrictive measures on the holding appropriate until the actual field strain serotype is known ^{iv} ?			
NB	In case a targeted <i>Salmonella</i> serovar is detected in a flock on a FBO sample, is the procedure followed by the Competent Authority (CA) clearly described? In line with EU requirements? • Implementation of provisions of Annex II.D.2 and 3 of Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 (measures implemented on eggs and birds) or • if suspicion of false positive result, official repeated sampling (Annex II.D.4 of Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003), including antimicrobial checks)? • official confirmatory sampling after a positive FBO sample is supposed to be done routinely.	A.4 A.8 A.17 A.14c		
11.	In case a confirmatory sampling or repeated sampling is performed, are restrictive measures implemented on the holding	A.14c		

	Programme element and relevant criteria	Rele vant part of Annex II	Asses sment ⁱ	Additional elements / information to request to the CA Changes and/or additions to the programme that should be required to the CA
	pending the results?			
12.	In case a targeted <i>Salmonella</i> serovar is detected in a flock, is the procedure followed by the CA clearly described? In line with EU requirements: implementation of provisions of Annex II.D.2 and 3 of Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 (measures implemented on eggs and birds)?	A.8		
13.	Will testing of antimicrobials or bacterial growth inhibitors be done when relevant ^v ? Are the consequences of a positive result described? In line with EU requirements?	A.14d		
14.	Are official checks described concerning the correct use of antimicrobials vi?	A.14d		
15.	Are measures described to ensure that fresh poultry meat placed on the market for human consumption deriving from a <i>Salmonella</i> -positive flock complies with EU bacteriological requirements (food chain information, micro criteria) ^{vii} ? Are they relevant?	A.9		
16.	If vaccination is performed, is the use of vaccines in accordance with Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No 1176/2006?	A.15		
17.	Are the provisions concerning compensation satisfactory ?	A.16		
18.	Are samples transported and stored in accordance with point 3.1.1 of Annex to the Breeders Regulation?	A.12		
19.	Will laboratory analysis be carried out in compliance with point	A.11		

	Programme element and relevant criteria	Rele vant part of Annex II	Asses sment ⁱ	Additional elements / information to request to the CA Changes and/or additions to the programme that should be required to the CA
	3.2 (detection method), 3.3 (serotyping) and 3.4 (alternative method) of Annex to the Layers Regulation?			
20.	Will analysis be performed in laboratories approved by the CA and accredited to ISO 17025 for the <i>Salmonella</i> tests?	A.10		
21.	Biosecurity and hygiene management measures at farms: Are they part of the programme? Are they fit for purpose? Are they regularly checked / enforced by the CA?	A.5 A.14a		
22.	Are the proposed measures described in the programme the most (cost) efficient and (cost) effective given the specific circumstances, such as disease situation and resources?			

Overall assessment of the programme and grade (poor/fair/good/very good) ^t :
List of clarifications and amendments to be required to the Member state concerning the submitted programme to enable its complete final assessment (to be performed by the Commission):

Individual assessment	Consensus assessment		
Expert name: Date: Signature	Rapporteur name: Date Signature		
	Expert name:		
	Date Signature		

ⁱ Definitions of grades to be given to the programmes (overall and separate elements)

Poor	 Relevant information required by Commission Decision 2008/425/EC is missing 		
	 Information necessary to assess the validity of a proposed measure is missing 		
	Contradictory information is provided in the programme		
	Incompliance with the EU legislation identified		
Fair	Globally compliant with the requirements and acceptably clear for the assessor but still clarifications, modifications or		
	additional information is needed		
Good	Fully compliant and clear or very minor clarifications needed		
Very good	The quality and precision of the programme or measure deserve a special mention		

ii Article 1 of the Layers Regulation (prevalence reduction, 2 targeted serovars including monophasic ST)

iii Except flocks for private use or for local production, as described in Article 1.3 of Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003

To evaluate the correctness of the timing, to see if the MS took into account the following parameters to implement or not restrictive measures: flock age, SE/ST vaccination history, SE/ST incidence in the MS, situation of this poultry population in this MS vis-à-vis Union target (in 2012 layers populations were above Union targets in BE, CY, LU), any risk factor (e.g. presence on the holding of other animal species prone to Salmonella infection, poor biosecurity measures)

^vWith repeated sampling (Annex II.D.4 of Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003) and under the circumstances described in point 2.2.2.2nd & of Annex to the Layers Regulation

vi Article 2 of Regulation (EC) No 1177/2006

vii Annex II, Section III of Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 (FCI) and Row 1.28 of Chapter I of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005: absence of SE and ST in 5 samples of 25g of fresh poultry meat

viii Legal basis, price paid, time between slaughtering/culling and payment, link between compensation and prompt slaughtering/culling, link between compensation and effective biosecurity measures, etc.