European Union comments for the

CODEX COMMITTEE ON RESIDUES OF VETERINARY DRUGS IN FOODS

(22nd Session)

San José, Costa Rica, 27 April – 1 May 2015

Agenda Item 3

Matters Referred by the Codex Alimentarius Commission and other Committees

Codex Strategic Plan 2014-2019 (CX/RVDF 15/22/3)

Mixed Competence Member States Vote

Strategic Goal	Objective	Activity	Expected	Measurable
			Outcome	Indicators/Outputs
1: Establish	1.1: Establish new and	1.1.1: Consistently apply	New or updated	- Priority setting criteria
international food	review existing Codex	decision-making and	standards are	are reviewed, revised as
standards that	standards, based on	priority-setting criteria	developed in a	required and applied.
address current and	priorities of the CAC	across Committees to	timely manner	- # of standards revised
emerging food		ensure that the standards		and # of new standards
issues.		and work areas of highest		developed based on these
		priority are progressed in a		criteria.
		timely manner.		

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. This activity is relevant to all Codex Committees including the CCRVDF.

Does the Committee use any specific criteria for standards development?

Yes, the committee applies for standards development the specific criteria laid down in the *Risk Analysis Principles Applied by the Codex Committee on Residues of Veterinary Drugs in Foods* in the Procedural Manual.

Does the Committee intend to develop such criteria? See previous reply.

1.2: Proactively identify	1.2.1: Develop a systematic	Timely Codex	- Committees implement
emerging issues and	approach to promote	response to	systematic approaches for
Member needs and,	identification of emerging	emerging issues	identification of emerging
where appropriate,	issues related to food safety,	and to the needs	issues.
develop relevant food	nutrition, and fair practices	of Members.	- Regular reports on
standards.	in the food trade.		systematic approach and
			emerging issues made to
			the CCEXEC through the
			Codex Secretariat.

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes, as emerging issues can be related to issues under the remit of the Committee.

How does the Committee identify emerging issues and members needs? Is there a systematic approach? Is it necessary to develop

such an approach? Emerging issues can be reported by members directly to CCRVDF or by other Committees. This process then leads to the revision or the development of standards. A systematic approach to emerging issues could be considered by CCRVDF. This might include a scoring tool that could allow the identification of priorities from amongst the emerging issues put forward. 1.2.2: Develop and revise Improved ability - Input from committees international and regional Codex identifying of and standards as needed, in prioritizing develop standards needs of response to needs identified relevant to the Members. Members and in needs of Report to CCEXEC response to factors that Members. from committees on how affect food safety, nutrition standards developed and fair practices in the address the needs of the food trade. Members as part critical review process. Included in question to 1.2. Ensure the 2.1: Ensure consistent 2.1.1: Use the scientific Scientific advice -. # of times the need for application of risk use of risk analysis advice of the joint consistently taken scientific advice is: analysis principles principles and scientific FAO/WHO expert bodies to into account by all - identified, in the development advice. the fullest extent possible in relevant - requested and, of Codex standards. food safety and nutrition committees - utilized in a timely development standards during the manner. based on the "Working standard setting Principles of Risk Analysis process. for Application in the Framework of the Codex Alimentarius". Ouestion to the Committee: Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? Does the committee request scientific advice in course of its work, how often does it request such advice? Yes, at each session of the Committee. Does the committee always use the scientific advice, if not, why not? The Committee uses systematically the scientific advice that it has requested. 2.1.2: Encourage Increase in # of scientists and engagement of scientific scientific and technical experts as part and technical expertise of technical experts of Member delegations.

Question	to	the	Com	mittaa

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. Scientific and technical expertise is required to develop draft standards and to justify positions supported by the Members.

and

in

their

Codex

the

to

at the national

level contributing

Codex standards.

development

the

of

How do members make sure that the necessary scientific input is given into country positions and that the composition of the national delegation allows to adequately present and discuss this position?

It is up to each Member to organise and manage the necessary scientific input with a view to present its positions.

Members

standards.

representatives

development of

What guidance could be given by the Committee or FAO and WHO?

The EUMS do not believe that specific guidance is needed on this point.

2.1.3: Ensure that all	Enhanced	- # of committee
relevant factors are fully	identification, and	documents identifying all
considered in exploring risk	documentation of	relevant factors guiding
management options in the	all relevant factors	risk management
context of Codex standard	considered by	recommendations.
development.	committees	- # of committee
	during the	documents clearly
	development of	reflecting how those
	Codex standards.	relevant factors were
		considered in the context
		of standards development.

- # of scientists and

input to country positions.

experts

appropriate

technical

providing

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. In its capacity of risk manager, the Committee should ensure that all relevant factors in exploring risk management options are considered. Furthermore, this is a prerequisite for Codex standard development.

How does the Committee ensure that all relevant factors have been taken into account when developing a standard and how are these documented?

The Procedural Manual establishes Working Principles for Risk Analysis which stipulate that risk management should follow a structured approach including preliminary risk management activities, evaluation of risk management options, monitoring and review of the decision taken. These principles requests a transparent, consistent and fully documented risk management process, and a presentation of the conclusion of the risk assessment before making final proposals or decisions on the available risk management options. The Committee should therefore recall the importance of applying consistently these principles and criteria.

	2.1.4: Communicate the risk	Risk management	- # of web publication/
	management	recommendations	communications relaying
	recommendations to all	are effectively	Codex standards.
	interested parties.	communicated	- # of media releases
		and disseminated	disseminating Codex
		to all interested	standards.
		parties.	

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. However, currently this is mainly done through the publication of standards and related texts on the Codex website. The development of the Codex communication strategy would have a positive impact on this activity.

When taking a risk management decision, does the committee give guidance to members how to communicate this decision? Would more consideration of this be helpful to members?

No. Once the Codex general communication strategy will be developed, more consideration could be given to this issue.

3: Facilitate the	3.1: Increase the	3.1.5: To the extent	Active participation	- Report on number of
effective participation	effective participation	possible, promote the use	of Members in	committees and
of all Codex Members.	of developing countries	of the official languages	committees and	working groups using
	in Codex.	of the Commission in	working groups.	the languages of the
		committees and working		Commission
		groups.		

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes, the promotion of the use of the official languages of the Commission is of interest for all Committees, including CCRVDF.

Is the use of official languages in working groups of the committee sufficient?

The EUMS would recommend using as many languages as possible in WGs in order to enhance participation of members.

What are the factors determining the choice of languages?

This depends on the Members chairing and co-chairing WGs.

How could the situation be improved?

The EUMS are open to suggestions on how to improve the situation. A suggestion could be to promote co-chairing arrangements by countries with different languages.

3.2: Promote capacity	3.2.3: Where practical, the	Enhancement of the	# of activities
development	use of Codex meetings as	opportunities to	hosted on the margins
programs that assist	a forum to effectively	conduct concurrent	of Codex meetings.
countries in creating	conduct educational and	activities to maximize	
sustainable national	technical capacity	use of the resources of	
Codex structures.	building activities.	Codex and Members.	

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes, the promotion of such capacity building activities is of interest for all Committees, including CCRVDF.

Does the Committee organize technical capacity activities or other activities in the margins of Committee sessions? If yes – how many and with which topics have been organized in the past.

The EUMS believe that any capacity building activity should be coordinated by the parent organisations in order to avoid inconsistencies and duplication of work.

If no – could this be useful and what topics could be addressed?

The EUMS are open to any initiative in this area.

4: Implement effective	4.1: Strive for an	4.1.4: Ensure timely	Codex documents	- Baseline Ratio (%)
and efficient work	effective, efficient,	distribution of all Codex	distributed in a	established for
management systems	transparent, and	working documents in the	more timely	documents distributed
and practices.	consensus based	working languages of the	manner consistent	at least 2 months prior
	standard setting	Committee/Commission.	with timelines in	to versus less than 2
	process.		the Procedural	months prior to a
			Manual.	scheduled meeting.
				- Factors that
				potentially delay the
				circulation of
				documents identified
				and addressed.
				- An increase in the
				ratio (%) of documents
				circulated 2 months or
				more prior to
				meetings.

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Does the Committee have a mechanism in place to ensure timely distribution of documents? What could be done to further improve the situation?

The requirement for timely distribution of documents already exists and is included in the Procedural Manual. All members should be disciplined in ensuring its implementation.

4.1.5:	Increase	the	Improved	- # of physical	l working
schedu	ling of Work (Group	efficiency in use	group meeti	ngs in
meetin	gs in conjur	nction	of resources by	conjunction	with
with C	ommittee meetir	ngs.	Codex	committee	meetings,
			committees and	where appropria	te.
			Members		

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. CCRVDF schedules Working Group meetings in conjunction with Committee meeting, if necessary.

Does the Committee hold physical working groups independent of Committee sessions? If yes – why is this necessary? The EUMS believe that in general the system in place today, i.e. e-working groups preparing the draft documents for the

Committee, is sufficient to ensure the efficiency of the work of the Committee. There does not seem to be any added value in CCRVDF to organise working group meetings independent of Committee sessions.

4.2: Enhance capacity	4.2.1: Improve the	Members and	- Training material on
to arrive at consensus in	understanding of Codex	delegates	guidance to achieve
standards setting	Members and delegates of	awareness of the	consensus developed and
process.	the importance of and	importance of	made available in the
	approach to consensus	consensus in the	languages of the
	building of Codex work.	Codex standard	Commission to delegates.
		setting process	- Regular dissemination
		improved.	of existing material to
			Members through Codex
			Contact Points.
			- Delegate training
			programs held in
			association with Codex
			meetings.
			- Impediments to
			consensus being achieved
			in Codex identified and
			analyzed and additional
			guidance developed to
			address such
			impediments, if
			necessary.

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. The EUMS strongly believe that it is essential to maintain consensus-based decision making in the framework of Codex Alimentarius. This is necessary to ensure the legitimacy, credibility and worldwide acceptance of Codex standards. The

obligation to strive for consensus-based decision making is clearly spelled out in Rule XII of the Rules of Procedure of the CAC. It is the role of the chair to explore all possible means to reach consensus. Efforts are also required from Members to achieve consensus

Are there problems with finding consensus in the Committee? If yes – what are the impediments to consensus? What has been attempted and what more could be done?

Problems may arise in this Committee, as well as in any other Committee. All efforts should be made to ensure that all decisions of the Committee are taken on the basis of consensus, or the standard should not be forwarded to the CAC.