# Opinion of the Scientific Steering Committee on the GEOGRAPHICAL RISK OF BOVINE SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY (GBR) in the SLOVAK REPUBLIC

Adopted on 30/03/2001

# Opinion of the <u>Scientific Steering Committee</u> on the GEOGRAPHICAL RISK OF BOVINE SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY (GBR) in the Slovak Republic

## THE QUESTION

The Scientific Steering Committee (SSC) was asked by the Commission to express its scientific opinion on the Geographical BSE-Risk (GBR), i.e. the likelihood of the presence of one or more cattle being infected with BSE, pre-clinically as well as clinically, at a given point in time, in a number of Third Countries.

This opinion addresses the GBR of Slovak Republic.

### THE BACKGROUND

In December 1997 the SSC expressed its first opinion on Specified Risk Materials where it stated, inter alia, that the list of SRM could probably be modulated in the light of the species, the age and the geographical origin of the animals in question.

In June 2000 the European Commission adopted a Decision on SRM (2000/418/EC), prohibiting the import of SRM from all Third Countries that have not been "satisfactorily" assessed with regard to their BSE-Risk.

This opinion concerns only one country, the Slovak Republic. The Commission requested this opinion as essential input into its Decision concerning the treatment of SRM that will be requested from the Slovak Republic. It is recommended that this opinion on the Slovak Republic is read in the light of the GBR of the SSC of July 2000.

In September 2000 the Commission invited 46 Third Countries, which are authorised to export products to the EU that are listed in annex II to the above mentioned SRM-Decision, to provide a dossier for the assessment of their GBR.

Until today 36 dossiers have been received, 6 are already assessed, and 30 are in different state of assessment.

This opinion concerns only one country, Slovak Republic. The Commission requested this opinion as essential input into its Decision concerning the treatment of SRM that will be requested from Slovak Republic. It is recommended that this opinion on the Slovak Republic is read in the light of the GBR of the SSC of July 2000.

The SSC is concerned that the available information was not confirmed by inspection missions as they are performed by the FVO in the Member States. It recommends that BSE-related aspects are included in the program of future inspection missions, as far as feasible.

### THE ANALYSIS

Czechoslovakia was exposed to a **high external challenge** from 1988-1992 (insufficient data on cattle imports before 88 and on MBM imports before 86). According to EUROSTAT data, during that period, in total 6,459 live cattle were exported to Czechoslovakia from FR, BE, NL, DK, and DE. During 1986-1990, in total 5,950 tonnes of MBM were exported from FR and in total 6,887 tonnes exported from DE, FR and BE, during 1991-1992.

**Since 1993** the Slovak Republic is **independent** and the **external challenge** has been **high** since 1993, mainly due to MBM-imports from France, Germany, Belgium and NL (around 3,000 tonnes in total).

On the basis of information provided by the Czech Republic and by the Slovak Republic, between 1980 and 1992, the BSE/cattle system of Czechoslovakia is assessed as **very unstable**.

The BSE/cattle system of Slovak Republic was **very unstable** until 1997 and is **neutrally stable** since 1998.

Feeding ruminant MBM to cattle was not allowed in the Slovak Republic (due to feed standards). This was reaffirmed by adopting specific Veterinary regulations in 1994. Feeding cattle with MBM was not allowed either. This was reaffirmed by adopting specific Veterinary regulations in 1997. Analytical feed controls only started in 1998 in very small numbers. Voluntary feeding was very unlikely but cross-contamination cannot be excluded. Rendering is apparently done under conditions that are able to reduce BSE-infectivity but no evidence is provided concerning controls. There is no SRM ban and the material is normally rendered.

It is concluded that it is likely but not confirmed that one or several cattle that are (pre-clinically or clinically) infected with the BSE agent are currently present in the domestic herd of the Slovak Republic (GBR III).

Given the very unstable system and the fact that the BSE-agent is likely to be already present in the country due to live cattle and MBM imports, it is assumed that the GBR is increasing.

A summary of the reasons for the current assessment is given in annex 1 to this opinion.

A detailed report on the assessment of the GBR of Slovak Republic is published separately on the Internet. It was produced by the GBR-task force of the SSC-secretariat and peer reviewed by the GBR-Peer group. The country had two opportunities to comment on different drafts of the report before the SSC took both, the report and the comments, into account for producing this opinion. The SSC appreciates the good co-operation of the country's authorities.

Slovak Republic – Summary of the GBR-Assessment, March 2001							
	EXTERNAL C	CHALLENGE	STABILITY				INTERACTION of EXTERNAL CHALLENGE and STABILITY
	1980-87: Not addressed *; 1988-92: HIGH; 1993-99: HIGH.		1980-1997: VERY UNSTABLE; 1998-2000: NEUTRALLY UNSTABLE.				Before 1993, the very unstable system of Czechoslovakia was
GBR- Level	Live Cattle imports	MBM imports	Feeding	Rendering	SRM-removal	Surveillance, cross- contamination	exposed to a high external challenge (MBM imports). It is likely that an
III GBR-	from DE, FR, DK (EUROSTAT data, imports from UK negligible)	Before 1993: In total around 6900 t mainly from FR and DE (EUROSTAT). Since 1993:	Not OK 1980-1997, Reasonably OK 1998-2000  Before 1994, not included officially in feed recipes but no evidence for control.	Reasonably OK 1980- 1997, OK since 1998 Rendering done according to 113°C / 20 min	No SRM ban. SRM normally rendered for feed production.	BSE surveillance:  Notification of BSE compulsory since 1993. Surveillance improved since 2001.  Cross-contamination:	internal challenge occurred. Since 1993, the still very unstable system of the Slovak Republic was again exposed to a high external challenge.  Without imports GBR will decrease over time, due to absence of recycling.
†	indicates 877 animals imported	imported mainly from DE and 9 BE/Lux.	and a MMBM ban in sta 97. E Analytical controls	/ 3 bars standard Evidence of controls start in 98.		Could occur due to insufficient controls.	Since 1988 internal challenge was likely to be present and growing.

<sup>\*</sup> Data insufficient