

# EU SUBGROUP ANIMAL WELFARE AT THE TIME OF KILLING

**Stunning Methods For Poultry** 

#### **AGENDA**

- 1. What is the problem?
- 2. How will the situation evolve without EU action?
- 3. Option: Prohibit water bath stunning for poultry after a certain transition period, in light of new scientific evidence to reduce animal suffering.
  - 1. Impact of this option on the problem (point 1)
  - 2. Impact on the stakeholders
  - 3. How to refine the option?
- 4. Which alternative to water bath stunning?

#### WHAT IS THE PROBLEM?

Water bath stunning is the most common stunning method for poultry. Using a water bath to stun birds makes them unconscious before they are slaughtered or kills them instantly without pain.



EU COM Impact assessment & EFSA Opinion (2019) identified some areas of improvement linked to Animal Welfare as regards to Electrical water bath



### HOW WILL THE SITUATION EVOLVE WITHOUT EU ACTION?

Water bath remains the most common used method for poultry stunning

### Significant improvement of the technique along the years

- Reduction of the shackling time to 1 minute max
- Anti-stress actions (Re-design of the shackle lines, breast comfort plates, etc)
- Training of all operators and AW officer
- Additional checks and controls on the line, supervision of AW Officer
- Investment into new equipment (water bath stunner)
- ➤ Improvements made after implementation of EC Regulation 1099/2009

#### Continuous improvement | example 1

### Working to reduce stress, facilitating stunning

- Good waiting conditions to keep the birds calm;
- Reducing the movements for unloading (no shocks, no tilting, ...);
- Quick and gentle manipulation for shackling;
- Adaptation of the lighting : blue light for example;
- Anti-stress system in contact with the breast of the birds;
- Adaptation of the waterbath to avoid electric preshock

### Continuous improvement | example 2

Training of the operators

A lot of skills to acquire for the operators and AWO

- Knowing the regulation 1099/2009
- Physiology and anatomy of the animals
- Observation
- •Basic knowledge of physics (to understand the waterbath system): electricity, thermodynamics
- Basic knowledge of statistics
- → And a lot of responsibilities for the AWO!



- 1. Impact of this option on the problem (point 1)
- ➤ Animal welfare concerns will be transferred onto other techniques
- ➤ Buhr, R.J. (2009) Why poultry should be stunned at slaughter and the welfare advantages and challenges of electrical and gas stunning. Euro- pean symposium on quality of poultry meat.

  Turku, Finland, Jun 21-24, Pl.18, 1-11.



Overview of the main advantages and disadvantages of electric and gas anesthesia (Based on Buhr (2009)).

PROs	
Electrical bath stunning	CO2 stunning
<ul><li>Rapid onset unconsciousness (&lt;2 sec.)</li><li>Limited operating expenses</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Stunning in transport crates/conveyor belts</li> <li>No handling before anesthesia</li> </ul>



Overview of the main advantages and disadvantages of electric and gas anesthesia (Based on Buhr (2009)).

Electrical bath stunning CO2 stunning	CONs	
	Electrical bath stunning	CO2 stunning
<ul> <li>Hanging deafened chickens</li> <li>Risk of electric shock before stunning</li> <li>Risk of inadequate anaesthesia (immobilization instead of anaesthesia?)</li> <li>Slower onset unconsciousness</li> <li>Risk of exposure to inappropriate gas concentrations</li> <li>Operating expenses for gas</li> <li>Identification of chicks that have died on arrival is made more difficult</li> <li>Ambiguity about loss of muscle control occurring before unconsciousness</li> <li>More complex process, with higher risk of failure/breakdown</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>chickens</li> <li>Risk of electric shock before stunning</li> <li>Risk of inadequate anaesthesia (immobilization instead</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Risk of exposure to inappropriate gas concentrations</li> <li>Operating expenses for gas</li> <li>Identification of chicks that have died on arrival is made more difficult</li> <li>Ambiguity about loss of muscle control occurring before unconsciousness</li> <li>More complex process, with higher risk of</li> </ul>

#### 2. Impact on the stakeholders

- Heavy investments made by the FBOs to improve the quality of the stunning lines, the checks and controls.
- Closure of SMEs slaughterhouses (higher investment & operating costs of gas stunning, enormous physical space requirements for gas stunning equipment)
- Environmental impact (permits)
- Higher energy costs with alternative method



#### 3. How to refine the option?

- Extra study to evaluate economic & logistic impact (containers, layout of plant, extra plucker), safety and marketing impacts too.
- Further investment into R&D to improve current method
- Evaluation of the evolution of water bath stunning technique. Today's practice is different from 10 years ago.

### Should a modern electrical water bath technique be banned?



# WHICH ALTERNATIVE TO WATER BATH STUNNING?

- CO2 stunning
  - Not accessible for SMEs financially + infrastructure
  - Safety issue
  - CO2 Shortage risk (see UK 2018 & 2021)
  - More complex system requiring specific training
  - Potential trade ban from importing countries
  - Price increase of the final product
- Head only stunning
  - Technology not operational today
  - High price



#### CONCLUSION



Today, there is NOT any ideal stunning method



Continuous improvement of the water bath stunning method

e.g. research on
Monitoring of AW
indicators under video
surveillance (PoC ongoing
- FR)



#### The European Poultry Meat Sector

- We feed the EU citizens, and we are committed to keep the EU consumer confidence in our products
- We contribute to a healthy EU economy
  - Jobs in rural areas
  - Trade
- We defend our high EU standards of food safety, animal welfare and environmental protection





### And we are proud of it!



Follow us on Twitter: <a>@AvecPoultry</a>



Have a look at our website: www.avec-poultry.eu



