

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 What is the name of your organisation?**

INRAN-ENSE

### **1.2 What stakeholder group does your organisation belong to?**

Competent Authority (CA) involved in S&PM variety and material registration

#### **1.2.1 Please specify**

### **1.3 Please write down the address (postal, e-mail, telephone, fax and web page if available) of your organisation**

VIA UGO BASSI, 8 20159 MILANO ITALY aff-gen@ense.it t.+39026901201 f.+390269012049  
www.ense.it

## **2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION**

### **2.1 Are the problems defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?**

Yes

### **2.2 Have certain problems been overlooked?**

No

#### **2.2.1 Please state which one(s)**

### **2.3 Are certain problems underestimated or overly emphasized?**

Overestimated

#### **2.3.1 Please indicate the problems that have not been estimated rightly**

complexity, degree of resources

#### **2.4 Other suggestions or remarks**

## **3. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW**

### **3.1 Are the objectives defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?**

Yes

### **3.2 Have certain objectives been overlooked?**

No

#### **3.2.1 Please state which one(s)**

### **3.3 Are certain objectives inappropriate?**

Yes

#### **3.3.1 Please state which one(s)**

establish more effective....

### **3.4 Is it possible to have a regime whereby a variety is considered as being automatically registered in an EU catalogue as soon as a variety protection title is granted by CPVO?**

No

### **3.5 If there is a need to prioritise the objectives, which should be the most important**

ones? (Please rank 1 to 5, 1 being first priority)

**Ensure availability of healthy high quality seed and propagating material**

1

**Secure the functioning of the internal market for seed and propagating material**

2

**Empower users by informing them about seed and propagating material**

5

**Contribute to improve biodiversity, sustainability and favour innovation**

4

**Promote plant health and support agriculture, horticulture and forestry**

3

**3.6 Other suggestions and remarks**

#### **4. OPTIONS FOR CHANGE**

**4.1 Are the scenarios defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?**

Yes

**4.2 Have certain scenarios been overlooked?**

No

**4.2.1 Please state which one(s)**

**4.3 Are certain scenarios unrealistic?**

Yes

**4.3.1 Please state which one(s) and why**

3 and 4

**4.4 Do you agree with the reasoning leading to the discard of the "no-changes" and the "abolishment" scenarios?**

Yes

**4.5 Other suggestions and remarks**

#### **5. ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS**

**5.1 Are the impacts correctly analysed in the context of S&PM marketing?**

No opinion

**5.2 Have certain impacts been overlooked?**

No

**5.2.1 Please state which one(s)**

**5.3 Are certain impacts underestimated or overly emphasized?**

No opinion

**5.3.1 Please provide evidence or data to support your assessment:**

**5.4 How do you rate the proportionality of a generalised traceability/labelling and fit-for-purpose requirement (as set out in scenario 4)?**

5 = not proportional at all

**5.5 How do you assess the possible impact of the various scenarios on your organisation or on the stakeholders that your organisation represents?**

**Scenario 1**

Neutral

**Scenario 2**

Fairly beneficial

**Scenario 3**

Very negative

**Scenario 4**

Very negative

**Scenario 5**

Rather negative

**5.5.1 Please state your reasons for your answers above, where possible providing evidence or data to support your assessment:**

our system is already cost recovery, more operational responsibility should be given to the producers, not mandatory certification for agricultural species is not beneficial for seed users

**6. ASSESSMENT OF SCENARIOS**

**6.1 Which scenario or combination of scenarios would best meet the objectives of the review of the legislation?**

Scenario 2

**6.1.1 What are your views with regards to combining elements from the various scenarios into a new scenario?**

**6.1.1 Please explain the new scenario in terms of key features**

**6.2 Do you agree with the comparison of the scenarios in the light of the potential to achieve the objectives?**

No

**6.2.1 Please explain:**

it is academic, too theoretical

**7. OTHER COMMENTS**

**7.1 Further written comments on the seeds and propagating material review:**

the system as exists need some review on some aspects but it has been beneficial for all the stakeholder until now. The review carried out in 2008 clearly showed that

**7.2 Please make reference here to any available data/documents that support your answer, or indicate sources where such data/documents can be found:**

