

codex alimentarius commission



FOOD AND AGRICULTURE
ORGANIZATION
OF THE UNITED NATIONS

WORLD
HEALTH
ORGANIZATION



JOINT OFFICE: Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00153 ROME Tel: 39 06 57051 www.codexalimentarius.net Email: codex@fao.org Facsimile: 39 06 5705 4593

CX 4/60

CL 2007/04-RVDF
January 2007

TO: Codex Contact Points
Interested International Organizations

FROM: Secretary, Codex Alimentarius Commission
Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme
00153 Rome, Italy

DEADLINE: 31 May 2007

SUBJECT: **METHODS OF ANALYSIS FOR VETERINARY DRUG RESIDUES**

BACKGROUND

1. The 16th Session of the Codex Committee on Residues of Veterinary Drugs in Foods (CCRVDF), (Cancun, Mexico, 8-12 May 2006), agreed to forward to the 29th Session of the Codex Alimentarius Commission the Compendium of Methods of Analysis Identified as Suitable to Support Codex MRLs, recommended by the *ad hoc* Working Group on Methods of Analysis and Sampling (ALINORM 06/29/31, para. 120 and Appendix X). The list included fully validated methods; provisionally validated methods (single-laboratory validation only); and methods for some substances without MRLs which had been considered by JECFA and CCRVDF.

2. The Committee endorsed the recommendation of the *ad hoc* Working Group on Methods of Analysis and Sampling to ask the Codex Secretariat to issue a Circular Letter requesting that members and observers review the list of methods; review and update any addresses of contact point for information; advise of any methods for which they are no longer able to provide information; and provide information on substance and matrices for which validated methods are still required (ALINORM 06/29/31, para. 119).

3. The Committee agreed to reconvene the *ad hoc* Working Group on Methods of Analysis, under the co-Chairmanship of Canada and United Kingdom, prior to its next session to continue work on identification of suitable methods of analysis for residues of veterinary drugs in foods on the basis of information received in response to the Circular Letter (ALINORM 06/29/31, para. 121).

4. The 29th Session of the Codex Alimentarius Commission (Geneva, Switzerland, 3-7 July 2006) noted the existence of the Compendium developed by the Committee, without adopting it as a Codex text, and agreed that the Secretariat would make it publicly available in such a way as to make it most useful to Members. The CCRVDF was invited to revise the Compendium regularly to keep it updated (ALINORM 06/29/41, para. 196).

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION/COMMENTS

5. Governments and international organizations with observer status with Codex are invited to provide comments on the compendium of methods prepared by the 16th Session of CCRVDF (see Annex 1) and in particular to:

- review the content for any missing information:

- review the methods on which information was provided by their delegation and to update any addresses given as sources for the information;
- advise us of any methods on which they may no longer be able to provide information;
- provide information by using Annex 3 on any methods which will address the current gaps - either "validated method required" (see Annex 2) or supporting information to advance a method submitted by another delegation which has "provisional" status to "full recommendation". Annex 4 contains a list of some of the key performance requirements for methods used for screening, quantitative determination or confirmation;
- provide information on new methods which may provide alternatives to the analysis of compounds for which methods have already been recognized by the Committee.

Governments and interested international organizations wishing to provide information on the above should do so in writing to the U.S. Codex Office, Food Safety and Inspection Service - US Department of Agriculture, Room 4861 South Building, 14000 Independence Ave., SW - Washington, DC, 2025 USA (fax. +1 202 720 3157; e-mail: uscodex@usda.gov, *preferably*) with a copy to the Secretary, Codex Alimentarius Commission, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy (fax +39 06 57054593; e-mail codex@fao.org - *preferably*), **not later than 31 May 2007.**

Annex 1

**COMPENDIUM OF METHODS OF ANALYSIS IDENTIFIED AS SUITABLE TO SUPPORT CODEX MRLs
DEVELOPED BY THE CODEX COMMITTEE ON RESIDUES OF VETERINARY DRUGS IN FOODS¹**

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status | | |
|--------------------|---|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Abamectin | Abamectin B _{1a} | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 100 | 5 | 45th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/8 | full recommendation | | |
| | | | | kidney | cattle | 50 | 5 | | | | | |
| | | | | fat | cattle | 100 | 5 | | | | | |
| Albendazole | 2-amino-benzimidazole, as parent drug equivalents | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 5000 | | 3-lab trial, data provided to CCRVDF | <i>Chemistry Laboratory Guidebook.</i> United States Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Inspection Service, Science Program, Washington, D.C. Contact: AFSSA-LERMVD, Javene, BP090203-35302, Fougères, France | full recommendation | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | info provided to 13th Meeting, CCRVDF | provisional recommendation |
| | | | | milk | cattle | 100 | | | | | | |
| | | Yes | LC | liver | sheep | 5000 | | 3-lab trial, data provided to CCRVDF | <i>Chemistry Laboratory Guidebook.</i> United States Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Inspection Service, Science Program, Washington, D.C. | full recommendation | | |

¹ This Compendium is made publicly available and it is to be regularly updated by the Codex Committee on Residues of Veterinary Drugs in Foods (see ALINORM 06/29/41, para. 196).

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|
| Azaperone | sum of azaperone and azaperol | Yes | LC | liver | pig | 100 | 2 | Data provided to CCRVDF by U.K. | Rose, M.D., and Shearer, G. (1992). <i>J. Chromatogr.</i> 624: 471-477 | provisional recommendation |
| | | Yes | LC | kidney | pig | 100 | 2 (ref 1); 2.5 (ref. 2) | Data provided to CCRVDF by Netherlands (1, 2) and U.K. (3) | I. Keukens, H.J., and Aerts, M.M.L. (1989). <i>J. Chromatogr.</i> 464: 149-161. 2. Van Ginkel, L.A., Schwillens, P.L.W.J., and Olling, M. (1989). <i>Anal. Chim. Acta</i> 225: 137- 146. 3. Rose, M.D., and Shearer, G. (1992). <i>J. Chromatogr.</i> 624: 471-477. | provisional recommendation |
| | | | | muscle | pig | 60 | | info provided to 13th Meeting, CCRVDF | Contact: AFSSA-LERMVD, Javene, BP090203-35302, Fougeres, France | provisional recommendation |
| Benzylpenicillin | benzylpenicillin | Yes | LC | liver | All species | 50 | 5 | Original data submitted by Canada, confirmed by UK, Brazil, data provided to CCRVDF | Boison, J.O., Salisbury, C.D.C., Chan, W., and MacNeil, J.D. (1991). <i>J. Assoc. Offic. Anal. Chem.</i> 74: 497-501. | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | All species | 50 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | All species | 50 | 5 | | | |
| | | Yes | GC | milk | | | 4 | Method provided to CCRVDF | Compilation of methods proposed as regulatory methods or used in regulatory programs in European Union, prepared for Working Group by France: Method for penicillins in milk by capillary gas chromatography from the | provisional recommendation |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|----------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|---------|-------------|--------------------|---|--|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | "Collection of official methods under Article 35 of the German Federal Foods Act"; see Meetschen, U., & Petz, M. (1991) <i>Z. Lebensm. Unters. Forsch.</i> , 193: 337-343; see also <i>Bundesgesundhbl.</i> 36: 118-121 (1993). | |
| | | | | kidney muscle fat milk | | | | | | |
| Carazol | Carazol | Yes | LC | liver | pig | 25 | 2 | Data provided to CCRVDF by U.K. (1) and Germany (2) | 1. Rose, M.D., and Shearer, G. (1992). <i>J. Chromatogr.</i> 624: 471-477. 2. Rudolph, M., and Steinhart, H. (1987). <i>J. Chromatogr.</i> 392: 371-378. | provisional recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 25 | 0.3 | | 1. Keukens, H.J., and Aerts, M.M.L. (1989). <i>J. Chromatogr.</i> 464: 149-161. 2. Rose, M.D., and Shearer, G. (1992). <i>J. Chromatogr.</i> 624: 471-477. 3. Rudolph, M., and Steinhart, H. (1987). <i>A second laboratory evaluation of this method was provided by the UK</i> | provisional recommendation |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|---|--|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | to the 12th CCRVDF. <i>J. Chromatogr.</i> 392: 371-378. 4. Vogelsang, J. (1989). <i>Deutsch. Lebensm.Rndsch.</i> 85: 251-258. | |
| Ceftiofur | desfuroylceftiofur acetamide | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 2000 | 100 | Data provided to 12th Meeting, CCRVDF, and 47th JECFA | Report of 12th Meeting, CCRVDF; FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/8 | provisional recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 6000 | 50 | | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/8; see also Hornish, R.E., Hamlow, P.J., & Brown, S.A.. (2003) <i>J. AOAC Int.</i> 86: 30-38 for report of 4-laboratory trial of method for analysis of kidney and muscle (cattle and pig) and milk. | full recommendation |
| | | | | muscle | | 1000 | 50 | data provided to CCRVDF and to 47th JECFA | | |
| | | | | fat | | 2000 | | Data provided to 12th Meeting, CCRVDF, and 47th JECFA | Report of 12th Meeting, CCRVDF; FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/8; method LOD given as 50 µg/kg, LOQ not reported. | provisional recommendation |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|----------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|---|---|----------------------------|
| | | | | milk | | 100 | 50 | data provided to CCRVDF and to 47th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/8; see also Hornish, R.E., Hamlow, P.J., & Brown, S.A.. 2003. <i>J. AOAC Int.</i> 86: 30-38 for report of 4-laboratory trial of method for analysis of kidney and muscle (cattle and pig) and milk. | full recommendation |
| | | | | liver | pig | 2000 | 1000 | Data provided to 12th Meeting, CCRVDF, and 47th JECFA | Report of 12th Meeting, CCRVDF; FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/8; method LOD given as 50 µg/kg, LOQ not reported. UK reported method evaluation to 12th CCRVDF indicating acceptable accuracy and precision from 1000 to 4000 µg/kg from pig liver, but recoveries in range of 60% | provisional recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 6000 | 100 | data provided to CCRVDF and to 47th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/8; see also Hornish, R.E., Hamlow, P.J., & Brown, S.A.. 2003. <i>J. AOAC Int.</i> 86: 30-38 for report of 4-laboratory trial of method for analysis of kidney and muscle (cattle and | full recommendation |
| | | | | muscle | | 1000 | 30 | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|---|--|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|--|--|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | pig) and milk. | |
| | | | | fat | | 2000 | | Data provided to 12th Meeting, CCRVDF, and 47th JECFA | Report of 12th Meeting, CCRVDF; FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/8; method LOD given as 50 µg/kg, LOQ not reported. | provisional recommendation |
| Chlortetracycline, oxytetracycline, tetracycline | Parent drugs, singly or in combination | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 600 | 50-100 | data provided to CCRVDF | AOAC 995.09 extension (Canada) | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 1200 | 200-250 | 1. AOAC collaborative study, data provided to CCRVDF; 2. 6-lab method trial, data provided to CCRVDF by Poland | 1. MacNeil JD, Martz VK, Korsrud GO, Salisbury CDC, Oka H, Epstein RL, Barnes CJ. (1996) <i>J. AOAC Int.</i> 79: 405 - 417. See also AOAC Official Method 995.09: Chlortetracycline, Oxytetracycline and Tetracycline in Edible Animal Tissues. (1996). <i>AOAC Official Methods of Analysis, 16th edition, Supplement March 1996.</i> AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD. | full recommendation |
| | | | | muscle | | 200 | 100-200 | | 2. Posyniak, A. Zmudzki, J., Ellis, R.L., Semeniuk, S., & Niedzielska, J. (1999) <i>J. AOAC Int.</i> 82: 862-865. | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|----------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|--------------------|---|--|----------------------------|
| | | Yes | LC | milk | | 100 | 15 | AOAC collaborative study, data provided to CCRVDF | Carson, MC, & Breslyn, W. (1996) <i>J. AOAC Int.</i> 79: 29 - 42. See also AOAC Official Method 995.04 : Multiple Tetracycline Residues in Milk. (1996). AOAC Official Methods of Analysis, 16th edition, Supplement March 1996. AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD. | full recommendation |
| | | | | liver kidney muscle milk | sheep | 600 1200 200 100 | 100-200 | | | provisional recommendation |
| | | | | liver | pig | 600 | | data provided to CCRVDF | AOAC 995.09 extension (Canada) | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 1200 | 200 - 600 | AOAC collaborative study, data provided to CCRVDF | MacNeil JD, Martz VK, Korsrud GO, Salisbury CDC, Oka H, Epstein RL, Barnes CJ. (1996) <i>J. AOAC Int.</i> 79: 405 - 417. See also AOAC Official Method 995.09: Chlortetracycline, Oxytetracycline and Tetracycline in Edible Animal Tissues. (1996). AOAC Official Methods of Analysis, 16th edition, Supplement March | full recommendation |
| | | | | muscle | | 200 | 100-200 | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | 1996. AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD. | |
| | | | | liver | poultry | 600 | | | | provisional recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 1200 | | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 200 | | | | |
| | | | | eggs | | 400 | | | | |
| | | Yes | | muscle | giant prawn | 100 | | JECFA review; Data provided to CCRVDF | AOAC 995.09 by extension (validation data provided by Thailand to JECFA and CCRVDF); additional data provided by Thailand to 16 th CCRVDF | full recommendation |
| | OTC only | | | muscle | fish | 200 | | 58th JECFA; Data provided to CCRVDF | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/14; additional data provided by Canada to 16 th CCRVDF | full recommendation |
| Clenbuterol | Clenbuterol | Yes | GC/MS | liver | cattle | 0.6 | | 47th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/9 | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 0.6 | | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 0.2 | | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 0.2 | | | | |
| | | | | milk | | 0.05 | | | | |
| | | | | liver | horse | 0.6 | | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 0.6 | | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 0.2 | | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 0.2 | | | | |
| Closantel | Closantel | Yes | | liver | cattle | 1000 | | info provided to 13th Meeting, | Contact: AFSSA-LERMVD, Javene, BP090203-35302, | provisional recommendation |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| | | | | | | | | CCRVDF | Fougeres, France | |
| | | Yes | LC | muscle | | 1000 | | | Michiels, M., Meuldermans, W., and Heykants, J. (1987). <i>Drug Metab. Rev.</i> 18: 235-251. | full recommendation |
| | | Yes | LC | muscle | sheep | 1500 | | | Michiels, M., Meuldermans, W., and Heykants, J. (1987). <i>Drug Metab. Rev.</i> 18: 235-251. | full recommendation |
| Cyfluthrin | Cyfluthrin | Yes | GC | liver | cattle | 20 | 10 | 48th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/10 | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 20 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 20 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 200 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | milk | | 40 | 5 | | | |
| Cyhalothrin | Cyhalothrin | Yes | GC | liver | cattle | 20 | 10 | 54th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/13 | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 20 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 20 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 400 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | milk | | 30 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | liver | sheep | 50 | 50 | 54th JECFA, revised by 62nd JECFA | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 20 | 10 | 54th JECFA | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 20 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 400 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | liver | pig | 20 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 20 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 20 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 400 | 10 | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|--|--|---------------------|
| Cypermethrin | Cypermethrin | Yes | GC | liver | sheep | 50 | 10 | 58th JECFA; revised by 62nd JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Papers 41/14 & 41/16 | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 50 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 50 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 100 | 10 | | | |
| α-Cypermethrin | α-Cypermethrin | Yes | GC | liver | cattle | 50 | 10 | 58th JECFA; revised by 62nd JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Papers 41/14 & 41/16 | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 50 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 50 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 1000 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | milk | | 100 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | liver | sheep | 50 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 50 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 50 | 10 | | | |
| fat | | 1000 | 100 | | | | | | | |
| Danofloxacin | Danofloxacin | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 400 | 10 | 48th JECFA; info also provided to 13th CCRVDF by France. | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/10; see also Report of 12th & 13th Meetings, CCRVDF. Contact for method provided to CCRVDF: AFSSA-LERMVD, Javene, BP090203-35302, Fougeres, France | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 400 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 200 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 100 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | liver | pig | 50 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 200 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 100 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 100 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | liver | chicken | 400 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 400 | 10 | | | |
| muscle | | 200 | 10 | | | | | | | |
| fat | | 100 | 10 | | | | | | | |
| Deltamethrin | Deltamethrin | Yes | GC | liver | cattle | 50 | 15 | 52nd JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper | full recommendation |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| | | | | kidney | | 50 | 15 | | 41/12 | |
| | | | | muscle | | 30 | 15 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 500 | 45 | | | |
| | | | | milk | | 30 | 15 | | | |
| | | Yes | GC | liver | chicken | 50 | 15 | 52nd JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/12 | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 50 | | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 30 | 15 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 500 | 45 | | | |
| | | | | eggs | | 30 | 15 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | salmon | 30 | 2 | | | |
| Diclazuril | Diclazuril | Yes | GC | liver | sheep | 3000 | 10 | 45th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/8 | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 2000 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 500 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 1000 | 10 | | | |
| | | | GC, LC | liver | poultry | 3000 | 10, 50 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 2000 | 10, 50 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 500 | 10, 50 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 1000 | 10, 50 | | | |
| | | | LC | liver | rabbit | 3000 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 2000 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 500 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 1000 | 50 | | | |
| Dicyclanil | Dicyclanil | Yes | LC | liver | sheep | 125 | 10 | 60th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/15 | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 125 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 150 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 200 | 10 | | | |
| Dihydrostreptomycin, streptomycin | Dihydrostreptomycin, streptomycin | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 600 | 200 - 300 | 58th JECFA; info provided to CCRVDF | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/14; see also Gerhardt, G.C., | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 1000 | 200 - | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|---|----------------------------|
| | | | | muscle | | 600 | 300 200 - 300 | | Salisbury, C.D.C., & MacNeil, J.D. (1994) <i>J. AOAC Int.</i> 77: 334-337; data provided to CCRVDF by Canada, 2nd laboratory verification of performance reported by UK. For additional methods, contact AFSSA-LERMVD, Javene, BP090203-35302, Fougères, France; Australian Government Analytical Laboratories, GPO Box 1844, Canberra ACT 2601, Australia. | |
| | | | | fat | | 600 | 200 - 300 | | | |
| | | | | milk | | 200 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | liver | pig | 600 | 200 - 300 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 1000 | 200 - 300 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 600 | 200 - 300 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 600 | 200 - 300 | | | |
| | | | | liver | sheep | 600 | 200 - 300 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 1000 | 200 - 300 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 600 | 200 - 300 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 600 | 200 - 300 | | | |
| | | | | milk | | 200 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | liver | chicken | 600 | 200 - 300 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 1000 | 200 - 300 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 600 | 200 - 300 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 600 | 200 - 300 | | | |
| Diminazene | Diminazene | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 12000 | 300 | 42nd JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper | provisional recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 6000 | 300 | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|--|----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--|---------------------|
| | | | | muscle | | 500 | 300 | | 41/6: info on method for cattle muscle, liver, kidney, fat and milk provided to 10th CCRVDF. | |
| | | | | milk | | 150 | 150 | | | |
| Doramectin | Doramectin | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 100 | 2.5 | 45th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/8 | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 30 | 2.5 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 10 | 2.5 | | | |
| | | fat | 150 | 5 | | | | | | |
| | | Yes | LC | milk | | 15 | 3 | 62nd JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/16 | |
| | | | | liver | pig | 100 | 2.5 | 52nd JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/12 | |
| | | | | kidney | | 30 | 2.5 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 5 | 2.5 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 150 | 5 | | | |
| NOTE: For regulatory methods provided to CCRVDF contact AFSSA-LERMVD, Javene, BP090203-35302, Fougères, France; Australian Government Analytical Laboratories, GPO Box 1844, Canberra ACT 2601, Australia. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Eprinomectin | Eprinomectin | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 2000 | 2 | 50th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/11; | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 300 | 2 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 100 | 2 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 250 | 2 | | | |
| NOTE: For regulatory method provided to | | | | | | | | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|--|---|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|
| | | | | milk | | 20 | 1 | | CCRVDf contact Australian Government Analytical Laboratories, GPO Box 1844, Canberra ACT 2601, Australia. | |
| Febantel, fenbendazole, oxfendazole | sum, expressed as oxfendazole sulfone equivalents | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 500 | 5 | 50th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/11 | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 100 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 100 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 100 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | milk | | 100 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | liver | sheep | 500 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 100 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 100 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 100 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | milk | | 100 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | liver | pig | 500 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 100 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 100 | 5 | | | |
| fat | | 100 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | liver | horse | 500 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 100 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 100 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 100 | 5 | | | |

See also Chemistry Laboratory Guidebook. United States Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Inspection Service, Science Program, Washington, D.C. (data provided to CCRVDf by United States). Additional contact for method for analysis of milk provided to CCRVDf: AFSSA-LERMVD, Javene, BP090203-35302, Fougères, France.

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--|----------------------------|
| | | | | liver | goat | 500 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 100 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 100 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 100 | 5 | | | |
| Fluazuron | Fluazuron | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 500 | 20 | 48th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/10 | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 500 | 20 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 200 | 20 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 7000 | 10 | | | |
| Flubendazole | Flubendazole | Yes | LC | liver | pig | 10 | | | Marti, A.M., Mooser, A.E., and Koch, H. (1990). <i>J. Chromatogr.</i> 498: 145-157; data provided to CCRVDF by Switzerland. | provisional recommendation |
| | | | | muscle | | 10 | | | | |
| | | | | liver | poultry | 500 | | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 200 | | | | |
| | | | | eggs | | 400 | | | | |
| Flumequine | Flumequine | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 500 | 50 | 48th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/10 | provisional recommendation |
| | | Yes | LC | kidney | | 3000 | 50 | 54th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/13 | full recommendation |
| | | | | muscle | | 500 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 1000 | 25 | | | |
| | | | | liver | pig | 500 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 3000 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 500 | 50 | | | |
| | | skin/fat | | 1000 | 50 | | | | | |
| | | | | liver | sheep | 500 | 5 | | Additional supporting data provided to CCRVDF from compilation of methods proposed as regulatory methods or used in regulatory programs in | |
| | | kidney | | 3000 | 5 | | | | | |
| | | muscle | | 500 | 5 | | | | | |
| | | fat | | 1000 | 5 | | | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | European Union, prepared for Working Group by France. | |
| | | Yes | LC | liver | chicken | 500 | 100 | 48th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/10 | provisional recommendation |
| | | Yes | LC | kidney | | 3000 | 100 | 54th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/13 | full recommendation |
| | | | | muscle | | 500 | 25 | | additional info on regulatory method provided to CCRVDF, contact AFSSA-LERMVD, Javene, BP090203-35302, Fougeres, France. | |
| | | | | fat | | 1000 | 50 | | | |
| Gentamicin | Gentamicin | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 2000 | 200 | 50th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/11 | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 5000 | 1000 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 100 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 100 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | milk | | 200 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | liver | pig | 2000 | 200 | | NOTE: Additional info on regulatory method for pork kidney provided to CCRVDF, contact AFSSA-LERMVD, Javene, BP090203-35302, Fougeres, France. A 2nd laboratory evaluation of the method of McLaughlin, L. & Henion, J. (1994) <i>Biological Mass Spectrometry</i> 23: 417-429 for | |
| | | | | kidney | | 5000 | 1000 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 100 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 100 | 100 | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | analysis of pig liver was reported to the 12th CCRVDF by the UK. | |
| Imidocarb | Imidocarb | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 2000 | 100 | 50th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/11; report notes that additional validation of method for tissues for species other than cattle required. | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 1500 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 300 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | fat milk | | 50 50 | 50 10 | | | |
| Isometamidium | Isometamidium | | | liver | cattle | 500 | | data provided to CCRVDF | Data provided on performance of drug sponsor's method. | provisional recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 1000 | | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 100 | | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 100 | | | | |
| | | | | milk | | 100 | | | | |
| Ivermectin | Ivermectin B _{1a} | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 100 | 2 | data provided to CCRVDF | <i>1. Chemistry Laboratory Guidebook. United States Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Inspection Service, Science Program, Washington, D.C.</i> <i>2. Tway, P.C., Wood, J.S., Jr., and Downing, G.V. (1981). J. Agr. Food Chem. 29: 1059-1063.</i> <i>3. Salisbury, C.D.C. (1993) J. AOAC Int. 76: 1149-1151, submitted by Canada, 2nd laboratory</i> | full recommendation |
| | | | | fat | | 40 | 2 | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|----------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|--|---|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | confirming data on method performance provided by UK. | |
| | | Yes | LC | milk | | 10 | | 54th JECFA; info provided to 13th CCRVDF | Method considered by JECFA requires validation; info on regulatory method provided to CCRVDF by France, contact AFSSA-LERMVD, Javene, BP090203-35302, Fougeres, France. | provisional recommendation |
| | | Yes | LC | liver | pig | 15 | 2 | data provided to CCRVDF | <p><i>1. Chemistry Laboratory Guidebook. United States Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Inspection Service, Science Program, Washington, D.C.</i></p> <p><i>2. Tway, P.C., Wood, J.S., Jr., and Downing, G.V. (1981). J. Agr. Food Chem. 29: 1059-1063.</i></p> <p><i>3. Salisbury, C.D.C. (1993) J. AOAC Int. 76: 1149-1151, submitted by Canada, 2nd laboratory confirming data provided by UK.</i></p> | full recommendation |
| | | | | fat | | 20 | 2 | | | |
| | | | | liver | sheep | 15 | 2 | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|--|--|----------------------------|
| Levamisole | Levamisole | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 100 | | Data provided to CCRVDF by Denmark. | 1. Danish National Food Agency, Method F40251. Data for cattle, pig and sheep liver only. NOTE: For additional regulatory method provided to CCRVDF contact Australian Government Analytical Laboratories, GPO Box 1844, Canberra ACT 2601, Australia. | provisional recommendation |
| | | Yes | GC (Method 2) | liver | pig | 100 | | Data provided to CCRVDF by Denmark and US. | 1. Danish National Food Agency, Method F40251. Data for cattle, pig and sheep liver only. 2. Chemistry Laboratory Guidebook. United States Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Inspection Service, Science Program, Washington, D.C. Data for pig liver only. | provisional recommendation |
| | | Yes | | liver | sheep | 100 | | Data provided to CCRVDF by Denmark. | Danish National Food Agency, Method F40251. Data for cattle, pig and sheep liver only. | provisional recommendation |
| Lincomycin | Lincomycin | Yes | GC/MS | milk | cattle | 150 | 15 | 54th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition paper 41/13 | full recommendation |
| | | | | liver | pig | 500 | 60 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 1500 | 60 | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|--|---|---------------------|
| | | | | muscle | | 200 | 17 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 100 | 17 | | | |
| | | | | liver | chicken | 500 | 17 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 500 | 17 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 200 | 17 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 100 | 17 | | | |
| Melengesterol acetate | Melengesterol acetate | Yes | LC/MS | liver | cattle | 5 | 0.5 | 58th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/14 | full recommendation |
| | | | | fat | | 8 | 0.5 | | NOTE: For regulatory method for cattle muscle and fat provided to CCRVDF contact RIVM, Community Reference Laboratory, Antonie van Leeuwenhoeklaan 9, 3720 BA Bilthoven, the Netherlands. | |
| Moxidectin | Moxidectin | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 100 | 10 | 45th JECFA; data also provided to CCRVDF | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/8 | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 50 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 20 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 500 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | liver | sheep | 100 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 50 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 50 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 500 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | liver | deer | 100 | 2 | | Information on regulatory methods for residues in liver of various species supplied to CCRVDF contact: AFSSA-LERMVD, Javene, BP090203-35302, Fougeres, France; Australian Government Analytical Laboratories, GPO Box 1844, | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|----------------------------|
| | | | | kidney | | 50 | 2 | | Canberra ACT 2601, Australia. | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 20 | 2 | | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 500 | 2 | | | | |
| Neomycin | Neomycin | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 500 | 100 | 52nd JECFA; data provided to CCRVDF | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/12; Giggisberg, D. , and Koch, H. (1995). <i>Mitt. Gebeite Lebensm. Hyg.</i> 86: 14-28 - single lab data provided to CCRVDF by Switzerland. FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/15 | full recommendation | |
| | | | | kidney | | 10000 | 100 | | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 500 | 100 | | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 500 | 100 | | | | |
| | | liver | | 1500 | 100 | 60th JECFA | | | | | |
| | | kidney | pig | 500 | 100 | 43rd JECFA; data provided to CCRVDF | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/7; Giggisberg, D. , and Koch, H. (1995). <i>Mitt. Gebeite Lebensm. Hyg.</i> 86: 14-28. | provisional recommendation | | | |
| | | muscle | | | | | | | | 10000 | 100 |
| | | fat | | | | | | | | 500 | 100 |
| kidney | | 10000 | | | | | | | 100 | For method provided to 13th CCRVDF, contact Animal Research Institute, Chemical Residue Laboratory, 665 Fairfield Road, Yeerongpilly QLD 4105, Australia. See Report of 12th Meeting, CCRVDF. | provisional recommendation |
| eggs | chicken | Yes | LC | | | 500 | 450 | | provisional recommendation | | |
| Nicarbazin | N,N'-bis-(4-nitrophenyl) urea | Yes | LC | liver | chicken | 200 | 100 | 50 th JECFA; data provided to CCRVDF | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/11; Data provided by | full recommendation | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|---|------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|---|----------------------------|
| | | | | kidney | | 200 | 100 | | Argentina to 16 th CCRVDF | |
| | | | | muscle | | 200 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | fat/skin | | 200 | 100 | | | |
| Oxfendazole (see febantel, etc.) | | | | | | | | | | full recommendation |
| Oxytetracycline (see chlortetracycline, etc.) | | | | | | | | | | As per chlortetracycline |
| Phoxim | Phoxim | Yes | LC | liver | pig | 50 | 10 | 52nd JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/12 | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 50 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 50 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 400 | 10 | | | |
| | | | GC | liver | sheep, goat | 50 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 50 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 50 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 400 | 50 | | | |
| Pirlimycin | Pirlimycin | Yes | LC/MS | liver | cattle | 1000 | 250 | 62nd JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/16 - additional validation with current generation equipment requested. | provisional recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 400 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 100 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 100 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | milk | | 100 | 50 | | | |
| Procaine benzylpenicillin (see benzylpenicillin) | Benzylpenicillin | Yes | LC | liver | cattle, pig, chicken | 50 | 5 | | See benzylpenicillin | As per benzylpenicillin |
| | | | | kidney | | 50 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 50 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 50 | 5 | | | |
| Ractopamine | Ractopamine | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 40 | 5 | 62nd JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/16 | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 90 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 10 | 5 | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--|---------------------|
| | | | | fat | | 10 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | liver | pig | 40 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 90 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 10 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 10 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sarafloxacin | Sarafloxacin | Yes | LC | liver | chicken | 80 | 5 | 50th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/11 NOTE: For regulatory method for poultry and fish muscle provided to CCRVDF contact AFSSA-LERMVD, Javene, BP090203-35302, Fougères, France. | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 80 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 10 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 20 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | liver | turkey | 80 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 80 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 10 | 5 | | | |
| fat | | 20 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| Spectinomycin | Spectinomycin | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 2000 | 100 | 50th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/11; see also Report of 12th Meeting, CCRVDF: method issued by German Federal Institute for Consumer Health Protection and Veterinary Medicine, applicable to spectinomycin residues in muscle, kidney, liver and fat of calves, pigs and chickens, and in egg. | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 5000 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 500 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 2000 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | milk | | 200 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | liver | pig | 2000 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 5000 | 100 | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|--|---|----------------------------|
| | | | | muscle | | 500 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 2000 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | liver | sheep | 2000 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 5000 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 500 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 2000 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | liver | chicken | 2000 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | kidney | | 5000 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 500 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 2000 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | eggs | | 2000 | 250 | 42nd JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/6; further method validation for analysis of tissues provided in FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/11. | |
| Spiramycin | Sum of Spiramycin and Neospiramycin | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 600 | 62.5 | data provided to CCRVDF; 43rd & 47th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Papers 41/7 & 41/9; data (1 lab) provided to CCRVDF for LC; 47th JECFA reviewed microbiological growth inhibition and LC methods; NOTE: For regulatory method provided to CCRVDF for muscle tissue contact AFSSA-LERMVD, Javene, BP090203-35302, Fougères, France. | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 300 | 30 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 200 | 30 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 300 | 47 | | | |
| | | Yes | microbial growth inhibition | milk | | 200 | 62 | | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/7; LOQ listed is | provisional recommendation |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|--|----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|---|---|---------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | for the microbiological growth inhibition assay using ATCC 9341 as indicator organism. | |
| | | Yes | LC | liver | pig | 600 | 300 | 47th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/9 | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 300 | 300 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 200 | 100 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 300 | 115 | | | |
| | | | | liver | chicken | 600 | 100 | 43rd JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/7; method suitability confirmed by 47th JECFA, FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/9. | |
| | | | | kidney | | 800 | 200 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 200 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 300 | 75 | | | |
| Streptomycin (see Dihydrostreptomycin and Streptomycin) | | | | | | | | | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/14; see also Gerhardt, G.C., Salisbury, C.D.C., & MacNeil, J.D. (1994) <i>J. AOAC Int.</i> 77: 334-337; data provided to CCRVDF by Canada, 2nd laboratory verification of performance reported by UK. | full recommendation |
| Sulfadimidine | Sulfadimidine | Yes | TLC | liver | cattle | 100 | 20 | Data provided to CCRVDF by U.S. and Canada. | AOAC Official Method 983.31: Sulfonamide Residues in Animal Tissues. (1995). <i>AOAC Official</i> | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 100 | 20 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 100 | 20 | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|----------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|---|---|---------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | <i>Methods of Analysis, 16th edition. AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD. (method extension). AOAC Official Method 992.21 : Sulfamethazine Residues in Raw Bovine Milk. (1996). AOAC Official Methods of Analysis, 16th edition, Supplement March 1996. AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD.</i> | |
| | | Yes | LC | milk | | 25 | 10 | Data provided to CCRVDF by U.S. | | full recommendation |
| | | Yes | TLC | liver | pig | 100 | 20 | Data provided to CCRVDF by U.S. | AOAC Official Method 983.31: Sulfonamide Residues in Animal Tissues. (1995). <i>AOAC Official Methods of Analysis, 16th edition. AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD.</i> | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 100 | 20 | Data provided to CCRVDF by U.S. and Canada. | AOAC Official Method 983.31: Sulfonamide Residues in Animal Tissues. (1995). <i>AOAC Official Methods of Analysis, 16th edition. AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD. (method extension).</i> | full recommendation |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|----------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|--|---|---------------------|
| | | | TLC, LC | muscle | | 100 | 20 | 1. Data provided to CCRVDF by US. 2. Data provided to CCRVDF by Germany. | 1. AOAC Official Method 983.31: Sulfonamide Residues in Animal Tissues. (1995). <i>AOAC Official Methods of Analysis, 16th edition.</i> AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD. 2. Malisch, R., Bourgeois, B. and Lippold, R. (1992). <i>Deutsch. Lebensm. Rdsch.</i> 88: 205-216 . | full recommendation |
| | | Yes | TLC | liver | sheep | 100 | 20 | Data provided to CCRVDF by U.S. and Canada. | AOAC Official Method 983.31: Sulfonamide Residues in Animal Tissues. (1995). <i>AOAC Official Methods of Analysis, 16th edition.</i> AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD. (method extension). | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 100 | 20 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 100 | 20 | | | |
| | | Yes | TLC | liver | poultry | 100 | 20 | Data (turkey, duck) provided to CCRVDF by U.S. | AOAC Official Method 983.31: Sulfonamide Residues in Animal Tissues. (1995). <i>AOAC Official Methods of Analysis, 16th edition.</i> AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD. | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 100 | 20 | Extension to chicken: U.S. and Canada. | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 100 | 20 | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|--|---|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Tetracycline (see chlortetracycline, oxytetracycline, tetracycline) | Parent drug, alone or in combination | Yes | LC | | | | | | See Chlortetracycline, Oxytetracycline, Tetracycline (above). | as per chlortetracycline |
| Thiabendazole | Sum of thiabendazole and 5-hydroxythiabendazole | Yes | | liver | cattle | 100 | | Info provided to 13th CCRVDF | NOTE: For regulatory method provided to CCRVDF by Australia, contact Amdel. 36-40 Halloran St., Lilyfield NSW 2040, Australia. NOTE: For regulatory method provided to CCRVDF contact AFSSA-LERMVD, Javene, BP090203-35302, Fougères, France | provisional recommendation |
| | | Yes | | milk | | 100 | | Info provided to 13th CCRVDF | NOTE: For regulatory method provided to CCRVDF by Australia, contact Amdel. 36-40 Halloran St., Lilyfield NSW 2040, Australia. NOTE: For regulatory method provided to CCRVDF by Australia, contact Amdel. 36-40 Halloran St., Lilyfield NSW 2040, Australia. | provisional recommendation |
| | | Yes | | liver | pig | 100 | | Info provided to 13th CCRVDF | NOTE: For regulatory method provided to CCRVDF by Australia, contact Amdel. 36-40 Halloran St., Lilyfield NSW 2040, Australia. | provisional recommendation |
| | | Yes | | liver | sheep | 100 | | Info provided to 13th CCRVDF | NOTE: For regulatory method provided to CCRVDF by Australia, contact Amdel. 36-40 Halloran St., Lilyfield NSW 2040, Australia. | provisional recommendation |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|---------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|
| Tilmicosin | Tilmicosin | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 1000 | 50 | 47th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/9 | provisional recommendation |
| | | Yes | LC | kidney | | 300 | 10 | Data provided to CCRVDF by Canada; 2nd laboratory data provided by UK. | Chan, W., Gerhardt, G.C., & Salisbury, C.D.C. 1994. <i>J. AOAC Int.</i> 77:331-333. NOTE: For alternate regulatory method for muscle tissue provided to CCRVDF contact AFSSA-LERMVD, Javene, BP090203-35302, Fougeres, France. | full recommendation |
| | | | | muscle | | 100 | 10 | | | |
| | | Yes | LC | fat | | 100 | 50 | 47th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/9 | |
| | | | | liver | | pig | 1500 | | | |
| | | Yes | LC | kidney | | 1000 | 10 | Data provided to CCRVDF by Canada; 2nd laboratory data provided by UK. | Chan, W., Gerhardt, G.C., & Salisbury, C.D.C. 1994. <i>J. AOAC Int.</i> 77:331-333. | |
| | | | | muscle | | 100 | 10 | | | |
| | | Yes | LC | fat | | 100 | 20 | 47th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/9 | |
| | | | | liver | | sheep | 1000 | | | |
| | | Yes | LC | kidney | | 300 | 10 | Data provided to CCRVDF by Canada; 2nd laboratory data provided by UK. | Chan, W., Gerhardt, G.C., & Salisbury, C.D.C. 1994. <i>J. AOAC Int.</i> 77:331-333. | |
| | | muscle | 100 | 10 | | | | | | |
| Yes | LC | fat | | 100 | 50 | 47th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/9 | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|---|--|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|---|---|----------------------------|
| | | | LC | milk | | 50 | 50 | 47th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/9; LOQ is usually at least 1/2 the MRL - validation of method to 25 µg/kg recommended. | provisional recommendation |
| Trenbolone acetate | β-Trenbolone (liver) | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 10 | | Data provided to 15th CCRVDF by Canada | MacNeil, J.D., Reid, J.A., Neiser, C.D. & Fesser, A.C.E. (2003). <i>J. AOAC Int.</i> 86: 916-924. | provisional recommendation |
| | α-Trenbolone (muscle) | | | muscle | | 2 | | | | |
| Trichlorfon (metrifonate) | Trichlorfon | Yes | GC/MS | liver | cattle | 50 | 50 | 54th JECFA | FAO Food & Nutrition Paper 41/13; MRLs for tissue are based on LOQ of method - no residues were detected in tissues in the depletion studies. | full recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 50 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 50 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | fat | | 50 | 50 | | | |
| | | | | milk | | 50 | 25 | | | |
| Triclabendazole | 5-Chloro-6-(2',3'-dichlorophenoxy)-benzimidazole-2-one | Yes | LC | liver | cattle | 300 | 20-50 | Data provided to CCRVDF by Switzerland. | Marti, A.M., Mooser, A.E., and Koch, H. (1990). <i>J. Chromatogr.</i> 498: 145-157. Data provided to CCRVDF for performance of method for pig liver, kidney and muscle tissues. | provisional recommendation |
| | | | | kidney | | 300 | 20-50 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | | 200 | 20-50 | | | |
| NOTE: For regulatory method for triclabendazole residues in cattle and sheep liver provided to CCRVDF by Australia, contact Amdel. 36-40 Halloran St., Lilyfield NSW 2040, Australia. | | | | | | | | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|---|--|---------------------|
| Zeranol | Zeranol | Yes | GC/MS | liver | cattle | 10 | 0.5 | Data provided to CCRVDF by U.S. and Canada. | Chemistry Laboratory Guidebook. United States Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Inspection Service, Science Program, Washington, D.C. Results of multi-lab trial provided for review to CCRVDF. | full recommendation |
| | | | | muscle | | 2 | 0.5 | | | |

| Compound | Marker Residue | Method Recommended | Technique | Tissue | Species | MRL (µg/kg) | LCL or LOQ (µg/kg) | Verified By | Reference | Method Status |
|---------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Levamisole | | No | | kidney | cattle | 10 | | | | validated method required |
| | | | | muscle | cattle | 10 | | | | |
| | | | | fat | cattle | 10 | | | | |
| | | No | | kidney | pig | 10 | | | | validated method required |
| | | | | muscle | pig | 10 | | | | |
| | | | | fat | pig | 10 | | | | |
| | | No | | kidney | sheep | 10 | | | | validated method required |
| | | | | muscle | sheep | 10 | | | | |
| | | | | fat | sheep | 10 | | | | |
| | | | | liver | poultry | 100 | | | | validated method required |
| | | | | kidney | poultry | 10 | | | | |
| | | | | muscle | poultry | 10 | | | | |
| | | | | fat | poultry | 10 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Neomycin | | No | | liver | sheep/goat | 500 | | | | validated method required |
| | | | | kidney | sheep/goat | 10000 | 20 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | sheep/goat | 500 | 20 | | | |
| | | No | | fat | sheep/goat | 500 | | | | validated method required |
| | | No | | liver | chicken, turkey, duck | 500 | | | | validated method required |
| | | | | kidney | chicken, turkey, duck | 10000 | 20 | | | |
| | | | | muscle | chicken, turkey, duck | 500 | 20 | | | |
| Neomycin | | No | | fat | chicken, turkey, duck | 500 | | | | validated method required |
| | | | | eggs | chicken | 500 | | | | |
| Sulfadimidine | | No | | Fat | pig | 100 | | | | validated method required |
| | | | | fat | cattle | 100 | | | | |

Annex 3**Ad Hoc Working Group on Methods of Analysis and Sampling*****Analytical Method Information Summary*****A. Descriptive Information**

1. Name of drug or chemical: _____
2. Drug or chemical class: _____
(e.g. antimicrobial, anthelmintic, etc)
3. Veterinary Use: _____
4. Analyte(s) measured: _____
(specify if metabolite)
5. Intended use of the method:
 - a. Screening _____
 - b. Routine _____
 - c. Reference _____
 - d. Confirmatory _____
6. Test matrix _____
(e.g. muscle, kidney, urine, etc)
7. Summary of principal steps in sample preparation:

8. Summary of principal steps in extraction procedure:

9. Summary of principal steps in analyte clean-up procedure:

10. Measurement procedure:
 - a. Chemical
 1. Instrumentation _____
 2. Detector system _____
 3. Chromatographic column _____
(if applicable)

b. Immunochemical/Immunoassay

1. Technique: _____
(e.g. Elisa, RIA, Immunochromatog, etc)

2. Critical reagents: _____

(e.g. antibody specificity and availability)

3. Special equipment required: _____

c. Microbiological

1. Technique: _____

2. Organism: _____

3. Media: _____

4. Special equipment required: _____

11. Sample/Analyte Stability

Warning (if applicable): _____

12. Literature References available:

13. Contact for Information:

a. Name _____

b. Country _____

c. Affiliation _____

d. Address _____

e. Telephone _____

f. FAX _____

g. Email _____

B. Method Performance1. a. Limit of Detection (LOD) (mg/kg) _____
How was LOD determined? _____b. Limit of Quantification (LOQ) (mg/kg) _____
How was LOQ determined? _____c. Method sensitivity _____
(The smallest difference in concentration that can be measured)

2. JECFA MRL _____

3. Are analytical data corrected for recovery? Yes _____ No _____

4. How is recovery estimated _____
(e.g. external standard; internal standard. etc)

5. Accuracy

a. Concentration(s) tested _____

b. Concentration(s) measured _____

c. Recovery (%) _____

6. Precision using fortified control tissue
 - a. Concentration(s) tested _____
 - b. Repeatability (within lab CV) _____
 - c. Reproducibility (between lab CV) _____

7. Precision using tissue containing incurred drug residues
 - a. Concentration(s) tested _____
 - b. Repeatability (within lab CV) _____
 - c. Reproducibility (between lab CV) _____

8. Selectivity of the method

This information is often referenced as “Specificity”. Selectivity refers to the ability of the method to provide accurate measurement of the analyte of interest when other chemicals or drugs are also resident in the laboratory sample. Data of interest in this regard are the effects of:

- a. Drugs of similar structure _____
 or drug class or other veterinary _____
 drugs that may also be used along _____
 with the analyte of interest _____
- b. Contaminants that are likely _____
 to be present in the sample _____

9. Type of Validation studies
 - a. Single laboratory _____
 - b. Multi-laboratory _____
 - c. AOAC or other
 official procedure _____

C. Information relevant to laboratory implementation

1. Training and experience recommended for analysts
2. Critical steps in the method
3. Information on availability of unusual reagents or equipment
4. Special reagent or sample stability concerns
5. Reagent handling and safety concerns (if any)
6. Literature references or other useful information

Annex 4**OUTLINE OF SCIENTIFIC ISSUES COMMONLY CONSIDERED IN THE DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF ANALYTICAL METHODS****1. Determinative (Quantitative) Method****A. Purpose of the Method**

- *Scope of application (intended use)
- *Target tissue
- *Marker residue (analyte)
- *Limit of quantification (LOQ), Limit of Detection (LOD) or other Lowest Validated Level

B. Experimental data

- *Reagents (purity, strength, grade)
- *Apparatus and Equipment
- *Analytical Standards (quality, concentration and solvents)
- *Tissue Samples (procedure for preparation for analysis)
- *Analyte Extraction Procedures
- *Analyte Clean-up
- *Instrumental Procedures and Calibrations
- *Calculations

C. Quality Assurance

- *Storage Stability of the Analyte in Tissue
- *Quality Control Samples
- *System Suitability Criteria
- *Readiness to perform assessment
- *Data Acceptability Criteria

2. Confirmation Procedure

- *Sample preparation
- *Instrumental procedures and calibrations
- *Standards employed
- *Criteria for positive identification

3. Validation considerations

- *Accuracy
- *Recovery
- *Precision (repeatability and reproducibility)
- *Sensitivity and LOQ
- *Specificity