_1. INTRODUCTION
1.1 What is the name of your organisation?

Majdekova

1.2 What stakeholder group does your organisation belong to?

Consumer

- 1.2.1 Please specify
- 1.3 Please write down the address (postal, e-mail, telephone, fax and web page if available) of your organisation

jókaiho 45, 82106 Bratislava, Slovakia

2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

2.1 Are the problems defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

Yes

2.2 Have certain problems been overlooked?

- 2.2.1 Please state which one(s)
- 2.3 Are certain problems underestimated or overly emphasized? Rightly estimated
- 2.3.1 Please indicate the problems that have not been estimated rightly
- 2.4 Other suggestions or remarks
- 3. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW
- 3.1 Are the objectives defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing? Yes
- 3.2 Have certain objectives been overlooked?

Nο

- 3.2.1 Please state which one(s)
- 3.3 Are certain objectives inappropriate?

No

- 3.3.1 Please state which one(s)
- 3.4 Is it possible to have a regime whereby a variety is considered as being automatically registered in an EU catalogue as soon as a variety protection title is granted by CPVO? No
- 3.5 If there is a need to prioritise the objectives, which should be the most important ones? (Please rank 1 to 5, 1 being first priority)

Ensure availability of healthy high quality seed and propagating material

Secure the functioning of the internal market for seed and propagating material 4

Empower users by informing them about seed and propagating material 5

Contribute to improve biodiversity, sustainability and favour innovation

Promote plant health and support agriculture, horticulture and forestry

3.6 Other suggestions and remarks

4. OPTIONS FOR CHANGE

- 4.1 Are the scenarios defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?
- 4.2 Have certain scenarios been overlooked? No
- 4.2.1 Please state which one(s)
- 4.3 Are certain scenarios unrealistic?
- 4.3.1 Please state which one(s) and why
- 4.4 Do you agree with the reasoning leading to the discard of the "no-changes" and the "abolishment" scenarios?
 Yes
- 4.5 Other suggestions and remarks

5. ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS

- **5.1** Are the impacts correctly analysed in the context of S&PM marketing? Yes
- 5.2 Have certain impacts been overlooked? No
- 5.2.1 Please state which one(s)
- **5.3 Are certain impacts underestimated or overly emphasized?** Rightly estimated
- 5.3.1 Please provide evidence or data to support your assessment:

5.4 How do you rate the proportionality of a generalised traceability/labelling and fit-for-purpose requirement (as set out in scenario 4)?

2 = fairly proportional

5.5 How do you assess the possible impact of the various scenarios on your organisation or on the stakeholders that your organisation represents?

Scenario 1

Rather negative

Scenario 2

Rather negative

Scenario 3

Very negative

Scenario 4

Rather negative

Scenario 5

Rather negative

5.5.1 Please state your reasons for your answers above, where possible providing evidence or data to support your assessment:

Maybe I will lose my job.

6. ASSESSMENT OF SCENARIOS

6.1 Which scenario or combination of scenarios would best meet the objectives of the review of the legislation?

A combination of scenarios

6.1.1 What are your views with regards to combining elements from the various scenarios into a new scenario?

Scenario 2 and Scenario 4

- 6.1.1 Please explain the new scenario in terms of key features
- 6.2 Do you agree with the comparison of the scenarios in the light of the potential to achieve the objectives?

Yes

6.2.1 Please explain:

7. OTHER COMMENTS

7.1 Further written comments on the seeds and propagating material review: Maybe Scenario 0, 1 and 5 are acceptable.

7.2 Please make reference here to any available data/documents that support your answer, or indicate sources where such data/documents can be found: