CODEX COMMITTEE ON FOOD LABELLING (42nd Session)

Rome, Italy, 21 - 24 October 201

European Union Comments on

Agenda item 2

Matters Referred to the Committee Part B. Codex Strategic Plan 2014-2019

Mixed Competence Member States Vote

Strategic Goal	Objective	Activity	Expected	Measurable
			Outcome	Indicators/Outputs
1: Establish international food standards that address current and emerging food issues.	1.1: Establish new and review existing Codex standards, based on priorities of the CAC	1.1.1: Consistently apply decision-making and priority-setting criteria across Committees to ensure that the standards and work areas of highest priority are progressed in a	New or updated standards are developed in a timely manner	- Priority setting criteria are reviewed, revised as required and applied. - # of standards revised and # of new standards developed based on these criteria.
		timely manner.		

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. This activity is relevant to all Codex Committees including the CCFL.

Does the Committee use any specific criteria for standards development?

No, the Committee applies the priority setting criteria laid down in Procedural Manual, section Criteria for the establishment of work priorities, and the decision-making criteria for the development of standards and guidelines laid down in this manual, particularly in the section 'procedures for the elaboration of Codex standards and related texts'.

Does the Committee intend to develop such criteria?

The EUMS fail to identify any specific need that would justify specific decision-making and priority-setting criteria for the CCFL works and would be of the opinion to continue to refer to the general ones laid down in the Procedural Manual.

The EUMS consider that the Committee should ensure that the provisions included in the relevant parts of the Procedural Manual are strictly applied and that no proposal for new work is submitted to the CAC if this has not been the case.

1.2: Proactively identify	1.2.1: Develop a systematic	Timely Codex	- Committees implement
emerging issues and	approach to promote	response to	systematic approaches for
Member needs and,	identification of emerging	emerging issues	identification of emerging
where appropriate,	issues related to food safety,	and to the needs	issues.
develop relevant food	nutrition, and fair practices	of Members.	- Regular reports on
standards.	in the food trade.		systematic approach and
			emerging issues made to
			the CCEXEC through the
			Codex Secretariat.

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes, as emerging issues can be related to labelling issues.

How does the Committee identify emerging issues and members needs? Is there a systematic approach? Is it necessary to develop such an approach?

Emerging issues can be reported by the members directly to the CCFL or to other Committees which then report specific labelling issues to the CCFL. This process then leads to the revision or the development of standards and Guidelines. Unless there is evidence of some failure in this process, the EUMS do not see benefits in the development of a systematic approach for the CCFL.

Included in question	1 to 1.2.	1.2.2: Develop and revise international and regional standards as needed, in response to needs identified by Members and in response to factors that affect food safety, nutrition and fair practices in the food trade.	Improved ability of Codex to develop standards relevant to the needs of its Members.	- Input from committees identifying and prioritizing needs of Members Report to CCEXEC from committees on how standards developed address the needs of the Members as part of critical review process.
2: Ensure the application of risk analysis principles in the development of Codex standards.	2.1: Ensure consistent use of risk analysis principles and scientific advice.	2.1.1: Use the scientific advice of the joint FAO/WHO expert bodies to the fullest extent possible in food safety and nutrition standards development based on the "Working Principles of Risk Analysis for Application in the Framework of the Codex Alimentarius".	Scientific advice consistently taken into account by all relevant committees during the standard setting process.	# of times the need for scientific advice is: - identified, - requested and, - utilized in a timely manner.

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Does the committee request scientific advice in course of its work, how often does it request such advice?

CCFL requests scientific advice, for example to NUGAG for the conditions of use of the nutrition claim 'free of trans fatty acids'. The periodicity of such request is difficult to establish. It depends on the annual volume of activity of the CCFL committee. However, it can be estimated that the subjects considered by the CCFL often request scientific advices.

The EUMS also note that, according to the Procedural Manual, the decision to undertake new work or to revise standards shall be taken by the Commission taking into account a critical review conducted by the Executive Committee, which should include a preliminary assessment of the need for expert scientific advice and the availability of such advice from FAO, WHO or other relevant expert bodies, and the prioritisation of that advice.

Does the committee always use the scientific advice, if not, why not?

The Committee uses the scientific advice it has requested, but not all the subjects handled by the Committee need scientific advice, such as country of origin labelling. When scientific aspects are involved, the Committee can also refer to the CCSFSDU, for example for the setting of conditions of use of nutrition claims. In addition, the Committee uses other sources of scientific advice that are internationally recognised.

2.1.2: Encourage	Increase in	- # of scientists and
engagement of scientific	scientific and	technical experts as part
and technical expertise of	technical experts	of Member delegations.
Members and their	at the national	- # of scientists and
representatives in the	level contributing	technical experts
development of Codex	to the	providing appropriate
standards.	development of	input to country positions.
	Codex standards.	

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. In labelling matters, scientific and technical expertise is often required to justify the positions advanced by the Members.

How do members make sure that the necessary scientific input is given into country positions and that the composition of the national delegation allows to adequately present and discuss this position?

It is up to each Member to organise and manage the necessary scientific input with a view to present its positions.

What guidance could be given by the Committee or FAO and WHO? The EUMS do not believe that a specific guidance is needed on this point. 2.1.3: Ensure that all Enhanced - # of committee relevant factors are fully identification, and documents identifying all considered in exploring risk documentation of relevant factors guiding management options in the all relevant factors risk management context of Codex standard considered by recommendations. development. committees - # of committee during the documents clearly development of reflecting how those Codex standards. relevant factors were considered in the context of standards development. Question to the Committee: Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? Yes. In its capacity of risk manager, the Committee should ensure that all relevant factors in exploring risk management options are considered. Furthermore, this is indeed a prerequisite for Codex standard development. How does the Committee ensure that all relevant factors have been taken into account when developing a standard and how are these documented? The Procedural Manual already establishes Working Principles for Risk Analysis which stipulate that risk management should follow a structured approach including preliminary risk management activities, evaluation of risk management options, monitoring and review of the decision taken. These principles requests a transparent, consistent and fully documented risk management process, and a presentation of the conclusion of the risk assessment before making final proposals or decisions on the available risk management options. The Committee should therefore recall the importance of applying consistently these principles. 2.1.4: Communicate the risk Risk management - # of web publication/ recommendations communications relaving management recommendations to all are effectively Codex standards. interested parties. - # of media releases communicated and disseminated disseminating Codex to all interested standards. parties. **Question to the Committee:** Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? Yes. However, currently this is mainly done through the publication of standards and related texts on the Codex website. The development of a communication strategy would have a positive impact on this activity. When taking a risk management decision, does the committee give guidance to members how to communicate this decision? Would more consideration of this be helpful to members? No. Once the communication strategy will be developed, more consideration could be given to this issue. 3: Facilitate the 3.1: Increase the 3.1.5: To the extent Active participation - Report on number of effective participation possible, promote the use of Members in committees and effective participation of all Codex Members. of the official languages working groups using of developing countries committees and in Codex. of the Commission in working groups. the languages of the Commission committees and working groups. **Ouestion to the Committee:** Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? Yes, the promotion of effective participation of developing countries is of interest for all Committees, including CCFL. Is the use of official languages in working groups of the committee sufficient? The EUMS would recommend using as many languages as possible in WGs in order to enhance participation of members. What are the factors determining the choice of languages? This mainly depends on the Member chairing the WG.

The EUMS are open to suggestions on how to improve the situation. A suggestion could be to promote co-hosting arrangements

3.2.3: Where practical, the

use of Codex meetings as

conduct educational and

a forum to effectively

technical capacity

How could the situation be improved?

by countries with different languages.

3.2: Promote capacity

programs that assist

countries in creating

sustainable national

development

-. # of activities

hosted on the margins

of Codex meetings.

Enhancement of the opportunities to

conduct concurrent

activities to maximize

use of the resources of

Codex structures.	building activities.	Codex and Members.	

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes, the promotion of such capacity development programs is of interest for all Committees, including CCFL.

Does the Committee organize technical capacity activities or other activities in the margins of Committee sessions? If yes – how many and with which topics have been organized in the past.

The EUMS believe that any capacity building activity should be coordinated by the parent organisations in order to avoid inconsistencies and duplication of work.

If no – could this be useful and what topics could be addressed?

The EUMS are open to any initiative in this area.

4: Implement effective and efficient work management systems and practices.	4.1: Strive for an effective, efficient, transparent, and consensus based standard setting process.	4.1.4: Ensure timely distribution of all Codex working documents in the working languages of the Committee/Commission.	Codex documents distributed in a more timely manner consistent with timelines in the Procedural Manual.	- Baseline Ratio (%) established for documents distributed at least 2 months prior to versus less than 2 months prior to a scheduled meeting Factors that potentially delay the circulation of documents identified and addressed An increase in the ratio (%) of documents

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. The EUMS strongly believe that it is essential to maintain consensus-based decision making in the framework of Codex Alimentarius. This is necessary to ensure the legitimacy, credibility and worldwide acceptance of Codex standards. The obligation to strive for consensus-based decision making is clearly spelled out in Rule XII of the Rules of Procedure of the CAC. Furthermore, every possible effort should be made to ensure the timely distribution of documents.

Does the Committee have a mechanism in place to ensure timely distribution of documents? What could be done to further improve the situation?

The requirement for timely distribution of documents already exists and is included in the Procedural Manual. However, all members should be more disciplined in ensuring its implementation.

4.1.5: Increase the	Improved	- # of physical working
scheduling of Work Group	efficiency in use	group meetings in
meetings in conjunction	of resources by	conjunction with
with Committee meetings.	Codex	committee meetings,
_	committees and	where appropriate.
	Members	

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

No. CCFL already schedules Work Group meetings in conjunction with Committee meetings when necessary.

Does the Committee hold physical working groups independent of Committee sessions? If yes – why is this necessary? The EUMS believe that in general the system in place today, e-working groups combined with physical working groups organised in conjunction with Committee sessions, is sufficient to ensure the efficiency of the work of the Committee. There does not seem to be any added value of working groups independent of Committee sessions, unless it is fully justified by specific needs. The EUMS is rather concerned about the additional resources that such organisation would require.

4.2: Enhance capacity	4.2.1: Improve the	Members and	- Training material on
to arrive at consensus in	understanding of Codex	delegates	guidance to achieve
standards setting	Members and delegates of	awareness of the	consensus developed and
process.	the importance of and	importance of	made available in the
_	approach to consensus	consensus in the	languages of the
	building of Codex work.	Codex standard	Commission to delegates.
	<u> </u>	setting process	- Regular dissemination
		improved.	of existing material to
			Members through Codex
			Contact Points.
			- Delegate training
			programs held in
			association with Codex
			meetings.
			- Impediments to
			consensus being achieved
			in Codex identified and
			analyzed and additional
			guidance developed to
			address such
			impediments, if
			necessary.

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. Concerning the consensus-based approach in Codex, please see the reply to point 4.1 above.

It is the role of the chair to explore all possible means to reach consensus before taking any final decision on progressing a standard on the basis of a vote.

Are there problems with finding consensus in the Committee? If yes – what are the impediments to consensus? What has been attempted and what more could be done?

Problems may arise in this Committee, as well as in any other Committee. All efforts should be made to ensure that all decisions of the Committee are taken on the basis of consensus, or the standard should not be forwarded to the CAC.