European Union Comments

CODEX COMMITTEE ON FISH AND FISHERY PRODUCTS

Thirty-fourth Session

Ålesund, Norway - 19 – 24 October 2015

Agenda item 2 a): Matters Referred by the Codex Alimentarius Commission and other Committees (CX/FFP 15/34/2)

Part A. MATTERS ARISING FROM THE CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION (37TH AND 38TH SESSIONS)

Codex Strategic Plan 2014-2019

Mixed Competence Member States Vote

Strategic	Objective	Activity	Expected	Measurable
Goal			Outcome	Indicators/Output
				S
1: Establish	1.1: Establish new	1.1.1: Consistently	New or	- Priority setting
international	and review	apply decision-	updated	criteria are
food standards	existing Codex	making and priority-	standards are	reviewed, revised
that address	standards, based	setting criteria across	developed in	as required and
current and	on priorities of	Committees to	a timely	applied.
emerging food	the CAC	ensure that the	manner	- # of standards
issues.		standards and work		revised and # of
		areas of highest		new standards
		priority are		developed based on
		progressed in a		these criteria.
		timely manner.		

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. This activity is relevant to all Codex Committees including the CCFFP.

Does the Committee use any specific criteria for standards development?

No, the Committee applies the priority setting criteria laid down in Procedural Manual, section criteria for the establishment of work priorities, and the decision-making criteria for the development of standards and guidelines laid down in this manual, particularly in the section 'procedures for the elaboration of Codex standards and related texts'.

Does the Committee intend to develop such criteria?

The EUMS fail to identify any specific need that would justify specific decision-making and priority-setting criteria for the CCFFP works and would be of the opinion to continue to refer to the general ones laid down in the Procedural Manual.

1

1.2: Proactively	1.2.1: Develop a	Timely	- Committees
identify emerging	systematic approach	Codex	implement
issues and	to promote	response to	systematic
Member needs	identification of	emerging	approaches for
and, where	emerging issues	issues and to	identification of
appropriate,	related to food	the needs of	emerging issues.
develop relevant	nt safety, nutrition, and M	Members.	- Regular reports
food standards.	fair practices in the		on systematic
	food trade.		approach and
			emerging issues
			made to the
			CCEXEC through
			the Codex
			Secretariat.

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes, as emerging issues could be related to issues under the remit of the CCFFP.

How does the Committee identify emerging issues and members needs? Is there a systematic approach? Is it necessary to develop such an approach?

Emerging issues can be reported by the members directly to the CCFFP or by other Committees. This process then leads to the revision or the development of Standards and Guidelines. Unless there is evidence of some failure in this process, the EUMS do not see benefits in the development of a systematic approach for the CCFFP.

	1.2.2: Develop and	Improved	- Input from
	revise international	ability of	
	and regional	Codex to	identifying and
	standards as needed,	develop	prioritizing needs
	in response to needs	standards	of Members.
	identified by	relevant to	- Report to
	Members and in	the needs of	CCEXEC from
	response to factors	its Members.	committees on how
	that affect food		standards
	safety, nutrition and		developed address
	fair practices in the		the needs of the
	food trade.		Members as part of
			critical review
			process.
Included in question to 1.2.			
•			
2: Ensure the 2.1: Ensure	2.1.1: Use the	Scientific	# of times the
application of consistent use of	scientific advice of	advice	need for scientific
risk analysis risk analysis	the joint FAO/WHO	consistently	advice is:
principles in principles and	expert bodies to the	taken into	- identified,
the scientific advice.	fullest extent	account by	- requested and,
development	possible in food	all relevant	- utilized in a
of Codex	safety and nutrition	committees	timely manner.
standards.	standards	during the	•
	development based	standard	

on the "Working	setting	
Principles of Risk	process.	
Analysis for		
Application in the		
Framework of the		
Codex		
Alimentarius".		

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee? *Yes.*

Does the committee request scientific advice in course of its work, how often does it request such advice?

For certain topics CCFFP requests scientific advice (e.g. biotoxins, histamine). The periodicity of such request is difficult to establish.

Does the committee always use the scientific advice, if not, why not?

The Committee uses the scientific advice it has requested, but not all the subjects handled by the Committee need scientific advice. When scientific aspects are related to horizontal issues such as contaminants, food additives, the Committee refer to the relevant Committee/s as laid down in the Procedural Manual.

2.1.2:	Encourage	Increase in	- # of scientists and
engagem	nent of	scientific and	technical experts as
scientific	e and	technical	part of Member
technica	l expertise	experts at the	delegations.
of Me	mbers and	national level	- # of scientists and
their re	presentatives	contributing	technical experts
in the	development	to the	providing
of Codes	standards.	development	appropriate input to
		of Codex	country positions.
		standards.	

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. Scientific and technical expertise is often required to justify the positions advanced by the Members.

How do members make sure that the necessary scientific input is given into country positions and that the composition of the national delegation allows to adequately present and discuss this position?

It is up to each Member to organise and manage the necessary scientific input with a view to present its positions.

What guidance could be given by the Committee or FAO and WHO?

The EUMS do not believe that a specific guidance is needed on this point.

2.1.3: Ensure that all	Enhanced	- # of committee
relevant factors are	identification,	documents
fully considered in	and	identifying all
exploring risk	documentatio	relevant factors
management options	n of all	guiding risk
in the context of	relevant	management
Codex standard	factors	recommendations.
development.	considered by	- # of committee
	committees	documents clearly
	during the	reflecting how
	development	those relevant
	of Codex	factors were
	standards.	considered in the
		context of
		standards
		development.

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. In its capacity of risk manager, the Committee should ensure that all relevant factors in exploring risk management options are considered. Furthermore, this is indeed a prerequisite for Codex standard development.

How does the Committee ensure that all relevant factors have been taken into account when developing a standard and how are these documented?

The Procedural Manual already establishes Working Principles for Risk Analysis which stipulate that risk management should follow a structured approach including preliminary risk management activities, evaluation of risk management options, monitoring and review of the decision taken. These principles requests a transparent, consistent and fully documented risk management process, and a presentation of the conclusion of the risk assessment before making final proposals or decisions on the available risk management options. The Committee should therefore recall the importance of applying consistently these principles.

therefore recent the importance of up	prying consistently intese principles.		
	2.1.4: Communicate	Risk	- # of web
	the risk management	management	publication/
	recommendations to	recommendat	communications
	all interested parties.	ions are	relaying Codex
	_	effectively	standards.
		communicate	- # of media
		d and	releases
		disseminated	disseminating
		to all	Codex standards.
		interested	
		parties.	

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. However, currently this is mainly done through the publication of standards and related texts on the Codex website. The development of a communication strategy would have a positive impact on this activity.

When taking a risk management decision, does the committee give guidance to members how to communicate this decision? Would more consideration of this be helpful to members?

No. Once the Co.	dex general (communication	strategy will	be developed,	more consideration
could be given to t	this issue.				
2. E114-4- 41	2.1. 1	. 4. 215.7	D - 4144	A -4:	D

3: Facilitate the	3.1: Increase the	3.1.5: To the extent	Active	- Report on
effective	effective	possible, promote	participation of	number of
participation of	participation of	the use of the	Members in	committees and
all Codex	developing	official languages	committees and	working groups
Members.	countries in	of the Commission	working groups.	using the
	Codex.	in committees and		languages of the
		working groups.		Commission

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes, the promotion of effective participation of developing countries is of interest for all Committees, including CCFFP.

Is the use of official languages in working groups of the committee sufficient?

The EUMS would recommend using as many languages as possible in WGs in order to enhance participation of members.

What are the factors determining the choice of languages?

This mainly depends on the Member/s chairing the WG.

How could the situation be improved?

The EUMS are open to suggestions on how to improve the situation. A suggestion could be to promote co-hosting arrangements by countries with different languages.

	3.2:	Promote	3.2.3:	Where	Enhance	ment of	# of	activiti	es
	capacit	.y	practical,	the use of	the oppo	rtunities	hosted	on tl	he
	develo	pment	Codex me	eetings as	to	conduct	margins		of
	progra	ms that	a foru	ım to	concurre	nt	Codex r	neeting	zs.
	assist	countries	effectively	y conduct	activities	s to			
	in	creating	education	al and	maximiz	e use of			
	sustain	able	technical	capacity	the reso	urces of			
	nationa	al Codex	building a	ctivities.	Codex	and			
	structu	res.			Member	s.			

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes, the promotion of such capacity development programs is of interest for all Committees, including CCFFP.

Does the Committee organize technical capacity activities or other activities in the margins of Committee sessions? If yes – how many and with which topics have been organized in the past. The EUMS believe that any capacity building activity should be coordinated by the parent organisations in order to avoid inconsistencies and duplication of work.

If no – could this be useful and what topics could be addressed?

The EUMS are open to any initiative in this area.

4: Implement	4.1: Strive for an	4.1.4: Ensure timely	Codex	- Baseline Ratio
effective and	effective,	distribution of all	documents	(%) established
efficient work	efficient,	Codex working	distributed in	for documents
management	transparent, and	documents in the	a more timely	distributed at
systems and	consensus based	working languages	manner	least 2 months
practices.	standard setting	of the	consistent	prior to versus
	process.	Committee/Commis	with timelines	less than 2
		sion.	in the	months prior to a
			Procedural	scheduled
			Manual.	meeting.
				- Factors that
				potentially delay
				the circulation of
				documents
				identified and
				addressed.
				- An increase in
				the ratio (%) of
				documents
				circulated 2
				months or more
				prior to
Or antion to the Co				meetings.

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. The EUMS strongly believe that it is essential to maintain consensus-based decision making in the framework of Codex Alimentarius. This is necessary to ensure the legitimacy, credibility and worldwide acceptance of Codex standards. The obligation to strive for consensus-based decision making is clearly spelled out in Rule XII of the Rules of Procedure of the CAC. Furthermore, every possible effort should be made to ensure the timely distribution of documents.

Does the Committee have a mechanism in place to ensure timely distribution of documents? What could be done to further improve the situation?

The requirement for timely distribution of documents already exists and is included in the Procedural Manual. However, all members should be more disciplined in ensuring its implementation.

	4.1.5: In	ncrease	the	Imp	roved		- #	of	physical
	schedulin	ng of '	Work	effic	ciency	,	worki	ng	group
	Group r	neeting	gs in	in	use	of	meeti	ngs	in
	conjuncti	ion	with	reso	urces		conju	nctio	n with
	Committe	ee		by	Coc	lex	comm	ittee	
	meetings			com	nmitte	es	meeti	ngs,	where
				and			appro	priate	e.
				Mei	mbers				

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

CCFFP already schedules Work Group meetings in conjunction with Committee meetings when necessary.

Does the Committee hold physical working groups independent of Committee sessions? If yes – why is this necessary?

The EUMS believe that in general the system in place today, e-working groups combined with physical working groups organised in conjunction with Committee sessions, is sufficient to ensure the efficiency of the work of the Committee. There does not seem to be any added value of working groups independent of Committee sessions, unless it is fully justified by specific needs. The EUMS are rather concerned about the additional resources that such organisation would require.

al	
+0	
to	
achieve consensus developed and	
nd	
in	
of	
the Commission to	
ar	
of	
to	
gh	
ct	
ng	
in	
th	
to	
ng	
ex	
nd	
nd	
ce	
to	
ch	
if	

Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. Concerning the consensus-based approach in Codex, please see the reply to point 4.1 above. It is the role of the chair to explore all possible means to reach consensus before taking any final decision on progressing a standard on the basis of a vote.

Are there problems with finding consensus in the Committee? If yes – what are the impediments to consensus? What has been attempted and what more could be done?

Problems may arise in this Committee, as well as in any other Committees. All efforts should be made to ensure that all decisions of the Committee are taken on the basis of consensus, or the standard should not be forwarded to the CAC.