



ANIMAL HEALTH ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Working Group of the Advisory Group on Sustainability of Food Systems

Brussels, 20 November 2024

Alignment of ABP rules, Commission Regulation (EU) No 142/2011 with Official Control Regulation (Reg. (EU) 2017/625) and Animal Health Law (Reg.(EU) 2016/429)

*DG Health and Food Safety
Unit G2, Matjaz KLEMENCIC*

Alignment of Regulation (EU) No 142/2011 with Official Control Regulation and Animal Health Law

Animal by-products legislation:

Regulation (EC) No 1069/2009 of the Parliament and of the Council

 **Commission Regulation (EU) No 142/2011** 

Alignment of Regulation (EU) No 142/2011 with Official Control Regulation and Animal Health Law

Objectives

Technical alignment of:

- **Article 3 (list of endpoints) by the new legal basis in Article 5(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1069/2009 - new Commission Delegated Regulation;**
- outdated references and replacement with references to the animal health and official control legislation; or other EU legislation;
- the old models of certificates and commercial documents with the new TRACES model; and

Follow-up on the most recent EFSA scientific opinions

Article 3 (list of endpoints)

According to revised Article 5 of Regulation (EC) No 1069/2009, a new end point for derived products intended for petfood and technical products should be determined by a Commission Delegated act.

The former Article 3 of Regulation (EU) No 142/2011 will be repealed and replaced by a new Commission Delegated Regulation on end points for petfood and technical products.

The list of end points in the manufacture chain of certain organic fertilisers and soil improvers stays in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2023/1605.

Methods 2 to 5 and method 7 for the production of porcine PAP

EFSA scientific opinion on use of methods 2 to 5 and method 7 for the production of PAP of porcine species for feeding farmed animals other than ruminants

EFSA assessed the efficacy of Methods 2 to 5 and method 7 to inactivate relevant pathogens when producing PAP of porcine origin intended to feed poultry and aquaculture animals (published in EFSA journal: 5 July 2023)

<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2023.8093>

EFSA scientific opinion on use of methods 2 to 5 and method 7 for the production of PAP of porcine species for feeding farmed animals other than ruminants

EFSA risk assessment:

Process	Combination t/T				Consensus judgement
Method 2 – coincidental mode	100°C x 5'	110°C x 70'	120°C x 50'	–	99–100%
Method 3 – coincidental	100°C x 40'	110°C x 42'	120°C x 13'	–	99–100%
Method 4 – coincidental	100°C x 3'	110°C x 5'	120°C x 5'	130°C x 3'	66–100%
Method 5 – coincidental	80°C x 60'	100°C x 60'	–	–	80–99%
Method 7 – scenario 1	80°C x 14'	–	–	–	0–5%
Method 7 – scenario 2	95°C x 90'	–	–	–	25–75%
Method 7 – scenario 3	115°C x 56'	–	–	–	98–100%
Method 7 – scenario 4	125°C x 10'	–	–	–	66–100%
Method 7 – scenario 5	133°C x 5'	–	–	–	66–100%

EFSA scientific opinion on use of methods 2 to 5 and method 7 for the production of PAP of porcine species for feeding farmed animals other than ruminants

The Commission will authorise safe methods **(green)** and conditionally safe methods **(yellow)**.

The Commission cannot deviate from EFSA risk assessment and work on negatively assessed methods **(red)**.

Tunnel composting method

EFSA Evaluation of alternative methods of tunnel composting (submitted by the European Composting Network)-II

EFSA assessed a new alternative processing method for composting of catering waste (published in EFSA journal: 26 April 2023)

<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2024.8745>

Objective:

- Introduction of a new alternative method for composting of Category 3 catering waste
- Determination of a new end point for a new alternative processing method by Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2023/1605 for organic fertilisers.

Imports of animal by-products
for the production of processed
animal protein – follow-up to the
partial revision of the feed ban

EFSA scientific opinion on the revision of the quantitative risk assessment (QRA) of the BSE risk posed by processed animal protein

EFSA assessed the quantitative risk assessment (QRA) of the BSE risk posed by processed animal proteins (published in EFSA journal: 7 June 2018)

- (<https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5314>)

Following the partial revision of the feed ban laid down in Regulation (EC) No 999/2001 introduced by Commission Regulation (EU) 2021/1372, it is necessary to follow-up the partial revision of the feed ban also in the ABP legislation and allow imports of animal by products of poultry and porcine animals for the production of processed animal protein in the EU.

Alignment of Regulation (EU) No 142/2011 with Official Control Regulation and Animal Health Law

Timeline?

1. Working Groups of ABP experts in 2024:

- 14 March Working Group meeting;
- 19 June Working Group meeting;
- 02 December Working Group meeting;

2. After (1), possibly in Q1 of 2025:

- Public feed-back for 4 weeks;

3. After (2), possibly in Q2 of 2025:

- Technical vote at the Standing Committee and notification to WTO/SPS of 60 days;
- Final Vote at the Standing Committee

4. After publication:

- appropriate transitional period for implementation of new certificates for imports of ABP from third countries.

Questions?