_1. INTRODUCTION 1.1 What is the name of your organisation?

Agriculture and Horticulture Organisation Netherlands section Trees and perennials (LTO Vakgroep Bomen en Vaste planten)-Nederland)

1.2 What stakeholder group does your organisation belong to?

Supplier of S&PM: User of S&PM: Professional user of raw material produced by agriculture. horticulture or forestry; Company operating on national level; International organisation

1.2.1 Please specify

1.3 Please write down the address (postal, e-mail, telephone, fax and web page if available) of your organisation

LTO Nederland, Vakgroep Bomen en Vaste planten Postbus 100 5201 AC 's-Hertogenbosch Tel: 073-2173000 Fax: 073-2173001 E-mail: john.janssen@zlto.nl.

2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

2.1 Are the problems defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

2.2 Have certain problems been overlooked?

No

2.2.1 Please state which one(s)

2.3 Are certain problems underestimated or overly emphasized? Rightly estimated

- 2.3.1 Please indicate the problems that have not been estimated rightly
- 2.4 Other suggestions or remarks

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW

3.1 Are the objectives defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing? Yes

3.2 Have certain objectives been overlooked?

- 3.2.1 Please state which one(s)
- 3.3 Are certain objectives inappropriate?

Nο

3.3.1 Please state which one(s)

3.4 Is it possible to have a regime whereby a variety is considered as being automatically registered in an EU catalogue as soon as a variety protection title is granted by CPVO? Yes

3.5 If there is a need to prioritise the objectives, which should be the most important ones? (Please rank 1 to 5, 1 being first priority)

Ensure availability of healthy high quality seed and propagating material

1

Secure the functioning of the internal market for seed and propagating material

Empower users by informing them about seed and propagating material

Contribute to improve biodiversity, sustainability and favour innovation

Promote plant health and support agriculture, horticulture and forestry $^{\it \Lambda}$

3.6 Other suggestions and remarks

__

4. OPTIONS FOR CHANGE

- **4.1** Are the scenarios defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing? Yes
- 4.2 Have certain scenarios been overlooked?

No

- 4.2.1 Please state which one(s)
- 4.3 Are certain scenarios unrealistic?

No

- 4.3.1 Please state which one(s) and why
- 4.4 Do you agree with the reasoning leading to the discard of the "no-changes" and the "abolishment" scenarios?

Yes

4.5 Other suggestions and remarks

5. ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS

- 5.1 Are the impacts correctly analysed in the context of S&PM marketing? Yes
- 5.2 Have certain impacts been overlooked?

NO

- 5.2.1 Please state which one(s)
- **5.3 Are certain impacts underestimated or overly emphasized?** Rightly estimated

- 5.3.1 Please provide evidence or data to support your assessment:
- 5.4 How do you rate the proportionality of a generalised traceability/labelling and fit-for-purpose requirement (as set out in scenario 4)?

2 = fairly proportional

5.5 How do you assess the possible impact of the various scenarios on your organisation or on the stakeholders that your organisation represents?

Scenario 1

Very negative

Scenario 2

Rather negative

Scenario 3

Neutral

Scenario 4

Fairly beneficial

Scenario 5

Neutral

5.5.1 Please state your reasons for your answers above, where possible providing evidence or data to support your assessment:

The impact for breeders, producers and users of seed and plant propagating material may be different in the various scenarios, but higher costs also give opportunity for better quality an distinctness.

6. ASSESSMENT OF SCENARIOS

6.1 Which scenario or combination of scenarios would best meet the objectives of the review of the legislation?

Scenario 3

- 6.1.1 What are your views with regards to combining elements from the various scenarios into a new scenario?
- 6.1.1 Please explain the new scenario in terms of key features
- 6.2 Do you agree with the comparison of the scenarios in the light of the potential to achieve the objectives?

Yes

6.2.1 Please explain:

7. OTHER COMMENTS

7.1 Further written comments on the seeds and propagating material review:

The review of the EU Legislation should be considered first in relation to its initial objectives. The objectives may be changed. The objectives should be chosen in order to long term advantages, more then the impact on employment and administrative burden. Impact on innovation and research en environmental impact are becoming more and more important. For this reason also

the directive of ornamentals should be considered in this review.

7.2 Please make reference here to any available data/documents that support your answer, or indicate sources where such data/documents can be found: