# Options Animal Welfare at the time of killing

Second meeting of the animal welfare killing subgroup



### Today's option

- 1. Pre-approval for restraining and stunning equipment
- 2. Electrical prods
- 3. Simplification of small slaughterhouses
- 4. Carbon dioxide at high concentration for pigs
- 5. Waterbath stunning for poultry
- 6. Farm fish
- 8. Prohibiting the killing of day old chicks
- 9. Other options [if any proposed]



#### What is the problem?

- Missing instructions of restraining and stunning equipment
  - on species, categories of animals, maximum troughput, key paramete, monitoring procedure, maintenance and calibration
- Slaughterhouse staff misuses equipment
- Manufacturers outside the scope of competent authorities
- Local inspectors have limited time and competence
- Equipment conception ignores animals' needs and behaviour
- · High risk of overall bad welfare of animals



#### The baseline

- Conception and design of equipment improve over time
- Economics tend to increase throughput against animal welfare
- Slaughterhouse operators increase their demand for well designed equipment and improve their use
- The evolution depend on the type of equipment and manufacturers (SMEs vs big companies?)

#### You agree:

very much++, much+, no change 0, the opposite -, much the opposite--



#### What are the options?

 Option 1: pre-approval system at Member State level, by their scientific national support

 Option 2: pre-approval system at EU level, by the European Animal Welfare Reference Centers



#### 1. Pre-approval at Member State level

- Certificate for restraining/stunning equipment is compulsory
- Scientific national support prepare the approval decision
- Fees collected by the scientific national support
- Member State competent authority grants the approval valid for the EU
- Member State competent authority publishes the list of approved equipment in all EU languages



- On the problem
  - Missing instructions of equipment
  - Competence of the staff
  - Competence of the local inspectors
  - Conception of equipment
  - Overall welfare of the animals

What evidence for your assessment?

You believe the impact to be:



- On other aspects
  - on slaughterhouse operators (big/small)
  - on equipment manufacturers (big/small)
  - on slaughterhouses staff
  - on local inspectors
  - On EU reference centres
  - on other players?

What evidence for your assessment?

You believe the impact to be:



### How to refine the option?

- Any way to complement or refine the option to
  - improve its positive impacts?
  - decrease its negative impacts?



#### 2. Pre-approval at EU level

- Certificate for restraining/stunning equipment is compulsory
- EU reference centre prepares the approval decision based on documentation, possible on-site visits or tests
- Fees collected by the centre
- Commission grants the approval valid for the EU
- Commission publishes the list of approved equipment in all EU languages



- On the problem
  - Missing instructions of equipment
  - Competence of the staff
  - Competence of the local inspectors
  - Conception of equipment
  - Overall welfare of the animals

What evidence for your assessment?

You believe the impact to be:



- On other aspects
  - on slaughterhouse operators (big/small)
  - on equipment manufacturers (big/small)
  - on slaughterhouses staff
  - on local inspectors
  - On EU reference centres
  - on other players?

What evidence for your assessment?

You believe the impact to be:



## How to refine the option?

- Any way to complement or refine the option to
  - improve its positive impacts?
  - decrease its negative impacts?

