## CODEX COMMITTEE ON FATS AND OILS

(24<sup>TH</sup> Session)

# Melaka, Malaysia, 9-13 February 2015

### **European Union Comments on**

### Agenda item 2

Matters Referred by the Codex Alimentarius Commission and other Committees

# <u>Part A. MATTERS ARISING FROM THE 36<sup>TH</sup> AND 37<sup>TH</sup> SESSIONS OF THE CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION</u>

#### Codex Strategic Plan 2014-2019

#### Mixed Competence Member States Vote

Strategic Goal	Objective	Activity	Expected Outcome	Measurable Indicators/Output
1: Establish international food standards that address current and emerging food	1.1: Establish new and review existing Codex standards, based on priorities of the CAC	apply decision- making and priority- setting criteria across	New or updated standards are	Indicators/Output s  - Priority setting criteria are reviewed, revised as required and applied # of standards
issues.		standards and work areas of highest priority are progressed in a timely manner.		revised and # of new standards developed based on these criteria.

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. This activity is relevant to all Codex Committees including the CCFO.

Does the Committee use any specific criteria for standards development?

No, the Committee applies the priority setting criteria laid down in Procedural Manual, section Criteria for the establishment of work priorities, and the decision-making criteria for the development of standards and guidelines laid down in this manual, particularly in the section 'procedures for the elaboration of Codex standards and related texts'.

Does the Committee intend to develop such criteria?

The EUMS fail to identify any specific need that would justify specific decision-making and priority-setting criteria for the CCFO works and would be of the opinion to continue to refer to

the general ones laid down in the Procedural Manual.

The EUMS consider that the Committee should ensure that the provisions included in the relevant parts of the Procedural Manual are **strictly applied** and that no proposal for new work is submitted to the CAC if this has not been the case. The EUMS would like to emphasise that more guidance and objective criteria are necessary to assist the CCFO when considering if data on international trade of a given commodity are sufficient or adequate to justify proposals for new work to the CAC.

1.2: Proactively	1.2.1: Develop a	Timely	- Committees
identify emerging	_	-	implement
issues and	to promote		systematic
Member needs	identification of	emerging	approaches for
and, where	emerging issues	issues and to	identification of
appropriate,	related to food	the needs of	emerging issues.
develop relevant	safety, nutrition, and	Members.	- Regular reports
food standards.	fair practices in the		on systematic
	food trade.		approach and
			emerging issues
			made to the
			CCEXEC through
			the Codex
			Secretariat.

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes, as emerging issues can be related to issues under the remit of the Committee.

How does the Committee identify emerging issues and members needs? Is there a systematic approach? Is it necessary to develop such an approach?

Emerging issues can be reported by the members directly to the CCFO or by other Committees. This process then leads to the revision or the development of Standards and Guidelines. Unless there is evidence of some failure in this process, the EUMS do not see benefits in the development of a systematic approach for the CCFO.

de veropinent of a systematic approach for the ear of				
	1.2.2: Develop and	Improved	- Input from	
	revise international	ability of	committees	
	and regional	Codex to	identifying and	
	standards as needed,	develop	prioritizing needs	
	in response to needs	standards	of Members.	
	identified by	relevant to	- Report to	
	Members and in	the needs of	CCEXEC from	
	response to factors	its Members.	committees on how	
	that affect food		standards	
	safety, nutrition and		developed address	
	fair practices in the		the needs of the	
	food trade.		Members as part of	
			critical review	
			process.	
Included in question to 1.2.				

2: Ensure the	2.1: Ensure	2.1.1: Use the	Scientific	# of times the
application of	consistent use of	scientific advice of	advice	need for scientific
risk analysis	risk analysis	the joint FAO/WHO	consistently	advice is:
principles in	principles and	expert bodies to the	taken into	- identified,
the	scientific advice.	fullest extent	account by	- requested and,
development		possible in food	all relevant	- utilized in a
of Codex		safety and nutrition	committees	timely manner.
standards.		standards	during the	
		development based	standard	
		on the "Working	setting	
		Principles of Risk	process.	
		Analysis for		
		Application in the		
		Framework of the		
		Codex		
		Alimentarius".		

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes

Does the committee request scientific advice in course of its work, how often does it request such advice?

For certain topics CCFO requests scientific advice (e.g. list of acceptable previous cargoes). The periodicity of such request is difficult to establish.

The EUMS also note that, according to the Procedural Manual, the decision to undertake new work or to revise standards shall be taken by the Commission taking into account a critical review conducted by the Executive Committee, which should include a preliminary assessment of the need for expert scientific advice and the availability of such advice from FAO, WHO or other relevant expert bodies, and the prioritisation of that advice.

Does the committee always use the scientific advice, if not, why not?

The Committee uses the scientific advice it has requested, but not all the subjects handled by the Committee need scientific advice. When scientific aspects are related to horizontal issues such as contaminants, food additives, the Committee refer to the relevant Committee/s as laid down in the Procedural Manual. In addition, the Committee uses other sources of scientific advice that are internationally recognised.

, E			
	2.1.2: Encourage	Increase in	- # of scientists and
	engagement of	scientific and	technical experts as
	scientific and	technical	part of Member
	technical expertise	experts at the	delegations.
	of Members and	national level	- # of scientists and
	their representatives	contributing	technical experts
	in the development	to the	providing
	of Codex standards.	development	appropriate input to
		of Codex	country positions.
		standards.	

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. Scientific and technical expertise is often required to justify the positions advanced by the Members.

How do members make sure that the necessary scientific input is given into country positions and that the composition of the national delegation allows to adequately present and discuss this position?

It is up to each Member to organise and manage the necessary scientific input with a view to present its positions.

What guidance could be given by the Committee or FAO and WHO? The EUMS do not believe that a specific guidance is needed on this point.

2.1.3: Ensure that all	Enhanced	- # of committee
relevant factors are	identification,	documents
fully considered in	and	identifying all
exploring risk	documentatio	relevant factors
management options	n of all	guiding risk
in the context of	relevant	management
Codex standard	factors	recommendations.
development.	considered by	- # of committee
	committees	documents clearly
	during the	reflecting how
	development	those relevant
	of Codex	factors were
	standards.	considered in the
		context of
		standards
		development.

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. In its capacity of risk manager, the Committee should ensure that all relevant factors in exploring risk management options are considered. Furthermore, this is indeed a prerequisite for Codex standard development.

How does the Committee ensure that all relevant factors have been taken into account when developing a standard and how are these documented?

The Procedural Manual already establishes Working Principles for Risk Analysis which stipulate that risk management should follow a structured approach including preliminary risk management activities, evaluation of risk management options, monitoring and review of the decision taken. These principles requests a transparent, consistent and fully documented risk management process, and a presentation of the conclusion of the risk assessment before making final proposals or decisions on the available risk management options. The Committee should therefore recall the importance of applying consistently these principles.

	2.1.4: Communicate	Risk	- # of web	
	the risk management	management	publication/	
	recommendations to	recommendat	communications	
	all interested parties.	ions are	relaying Codex	
	_	effectively	standards.	
		communicate	- # of media	
		d and	releases	
		disseminated	disseminating	

	to	o all	Codex standards.
	in	nterested	
	pa	arties.	

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. However, currently this is mainly done through the publication of standards and related texts on the Codex website. The development of a communication strategy would have a positive impact on this activity.

When taking a risk management decision, does the committee give guidance to members how to communicate this decision? Would more consideration of this be helpful to members?

No. Once the Codex general communication strategy will be developed, more consideration could be given to this issue.

3: Facilitate the	3.1: Increase the	3.1.5: To the extent	Active	- Report on
effective	effective	possible, promote	participation of	number of
participation of	participation of	the use of the	Members in	committees and
all Codex	developing	official languages	committees and	working groups
Members.	countries in	of the Commission	working groups.	using the
	Codex.	in committees and		languages of the
		working groups.		Commission

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes, the promotion of effective participation of developing countries is of interest for all Committees, including CCFO.

Is the use of official languages in working groups of the committee sufficient?

The EUMS would recommend using as many languages as possible in WGs in order to enhance participation of members.

What are the factors determining the choice of languages?

This mainly depends on the Member chairing the WG.

How could the situation be improved?

The EUMS are open to suggestions on how to improve the situation. A suggestion could be to promote co-hosting arrangements by countries with different languages.

1 (	, ,		<u> </u>	
	3.2: Promote	3.2.3: Where	Enhancement of	# of activities
	capacity	practical, the use of	the opportunities	hosted on the
	development	Codex meetings as	to conduct	margins of
	programs that	a forum to	concurrent	Codex meetings.
	assist countries	effectively conduct	activities to	
	in creating	educational and	maximize use of	
	sustainable	technical capacity	the resources of	
	national Codex	building activities.	Codex and	
	structures.		Members.	

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes, the promotion of such capacity development programs is of interest for all Committees, including CCFO.

Does the Committee organize technical capacity activities or other activities in the margins of Committee sessions? If yes – how many and with which topics have been organized in the past.

The EUMS believe that any capacity building activity should be coordinated by the parent organisations in order to avoid inconsistencies and duplication of work.

If no – could this be useful and what topics could be addressed?

The EUMS are open to any initiative in this area.

4: Implement	4.1: Strive for an	4.1.4: Ensure timely	Codex	- Baseline Ratio
effective and	effective,	distribution of all	documents	(%) established
efficient work	efficient,	Codex working	distributed in	for documents
management	transparent, and	documents in the	a more timely	distributed at
systems and	consensus based	working languages	manner	least 2 months
practices.	standard setting	of the	consistent	prior to versus
	process.	Committee/Commis	with timelines	less than 2
		sion.	in the	months prior to a
			Procedural	scheduled
			Manual.	meeting.
				- Factors that
				potentially delay
				the circulation of
				documents
				identified and
				addressed.
				- An increase in
				the ratio (%) of
				documents
				circulated 2
				months or more
				prior to
	•,,			meetings.

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. The EUMS strongly believe that it is essential to maintain consensus-based decision making in the framework of Codex Alimentarius. This is necessary to ensure the legitimacy, credibility and worldwide acceptance of Codex standards. The obligation to strive for consensus-based decision making is clearly spelled out in Rule XII of the Rules of Procedure of the CAC.

Furthermore, every possible effort should be made to ensure the timely distribution of documents.

Does the Committee have a mechanism in place to ensure timely distribution of documents? What could be done to further improve the situation?

The requirement for timely distribution of documents already exists and is included in the Procedural Manual. However, all members should be more disciplined in ensuring its implementation.

4.1.5: Increase the	Improved	- # of physical
scheduling of Work	efficiency	working group
Group meetings in	in use of	meetings in
conjunction with	resources	conjunction with
Committee	by Codex	committee
meetings.	committees	meetings, where
	and	appropriate.
	Members	

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

CCFO already schedules Work Group meetings in conjunction with Committee meetings when necessary.

Does the Committee hold physical working groups independent of Committee sessions? If yes – why is this necessary?

The EUMS believe that in general the system in place today, e-working groups combined with physical working groups organised in conjunction with Committee sessions, is sufficient to ensure the efficiency of the work of the Committee. There does not seem to be any added value of working groups independent of Committee sessions, unless it is fully justified by specific needs. The EUMS is rather concerned about the additional resources that such organisation would require.

100000000000000000000000000000000000000	4.0. E.l.	4 O 1. T	M 1	T
	4.2: Enhance	4.2.1: Improve the	Members	- Training material
	capacity to arrive	understanding of		on guidance to
	at consensus in	Codex Members and		achieve consensus
	standards setting	delegates of the	awareness	developed and
	process.	importance of and	of the	made available in
		approach to	importance	the languages of
		consensus building	of	the Commission to
		of Codex work.	consensus	delegates.
			in the	- Regular
			Codex	dissemination of
			standard	existing material to
			setting	Members through
			process	Codex Contact
			improved.	Points.
			_	- Delegate training
				programs held in
				association with
				Codex meetings.
				- Impediments to
				consensus being
				achieved in Codex
				identified and
				analyzed and
				additional guidance
				developed to
				address such
				impediments, if
				necessary.
Organtian to the C	ا ۲۵۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰			J -

#### Question to the Committee:

Is this activity relevant to the work of the Committee?

Yes. Concerning the consensus-based approach in Codex, please see the reply to point 4.1 above.

It is the role of the chair to explore all possible means to reach consensus before taking any final decision on progressing a standard on the basis of a vote.

Are there problems with finding consensus in the Committee? If yes – what are the impediments to consensus? What has been attempted and what more could be done?

Problems may arise in this Committee, as well as in any other Committees. All efforts should be made to ensure that all decisions of the Committee are taken on the basis of consensus, or the standard should not be forwarded to the CAC.

#### Part B. MATTERS ARISING FROM OTHER CODEX COMMITTEES

European Union Competence

European Union Vote

# Endorsement of methods of analysis provisions submitted by CCFO: Standard on Olive Oil and Olive Pomace Oil (CODEX STAN 33-1981)

12. CCMAS34 agreed to replace the current IUPAC method for erythrodiol + uvaol content with COI/T.20/doc. No 30-2013 as proposed by the CCFO. As regards the use of this method for the determination of sterol composition and total sterols, CCMAS34 questioned whether the IOC method was <u>equivalent</u> to ISO 12228:1999 (current method) and agreed to ask CCFO for clarification in this respect.

13. The Committee is invited to consider the above request

Taking into account that ISO 12228:1999 does not exist anymore and it was replaced in 2014 by both:

- ISO 12228-1:2014 Determination of individual and total sterols contents -- Gas chromatographic method -- Part 1: Animal and vegetable fats and oils which scope says "This part of ISO 12228 specifies a procedure for the gas chromatographic determination of the content and composition of sterols in animal and vegetable fats and oils. However, for the determination of the contents and composition of sterols in olive and olive pomace oils, Part 2 of this standard shall be used."
- ISO 12228-2 Determination of individual and total sterols contents Gas chromatographic method Part 2: Olive oils and olive pomace oils.

The EU considers that instead of ISO 12228:1999 the new ISO 12228-2:2014 has to be used as a reference to an ISO standard for the analysis of sterols in olive oil.

Finally, considering that in the scope of ISO 12228-2:2014 is mentioned that "*This part of ISO 12228 is technically identical to IOC Standard COI/T.20/Doc. No. 30*", the EU is of the view that ISO 12228-2:2014 and COI/T.20/Doc. No. 30 are equivalent.