# \_1. INTRODUCTION 1.1 What is the name of your organisation?

A.G.P.L.

## 1.2 What stakeholder group does your organisation belong to?

User of S&PM

#### 1.2.1 Please specify

# 1.3 Please write down the address (postal, e-mail, telephone, fax and web page if available) of your organisation

A.G.P.L. Bat 3. Esc A 15 rue du Louvre 75001 PARIS Tel: 01.40.41.11.66 Fax: 01.40.41.11.55 contact@lin.asso.fr

#### 2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

#### 2.1 Are the problems defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

### 2.2 Have certain problems been overlooked?

Yes

#### 2.2.1 Please state which one(s)

tle genetic progress (we are not talking about GMO) are available to reach a sustainable agricultur

#### 2.3 Are certain problems underestimated or overly emphasized?

Overestimated

# 2.3.1 Please indicate the problems that have not been estimated rightly

the costs are surestimated

#### 2.4 Other suggestions or remarks

#### 3. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW

# 3.1 Are the objectives defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

#### 3.2 Have certain objectives been overlooked?

Yes

#### 3.2.1 Please state which one(s)

The research in the seeds could permit to us to improve the quality ant he quantity without using much more pesticids

#### 3.3 Are certain objectives inappropriate?

Yes

#### 3.3.1 Please state which one(s)

For users as farmers as us (we represent 5.000 farmers cultivating flax), the costs are not so expensive!

# 3.4 Is it possible to have a regime whereby a variety is considered as being automatically registered in an EU catalogue as soon as a variety protection title is granted by CPVO?

No

3.5 If there is a need to prioritise the objectives, which should be the most important ones? (Please rank 1 to 5, 1 being first priority)

Ensure availability of healthy high quality seed and propagating material

Secure the functioning of the internal market for seed and propagating material

Empower users by informing them about seed and propagating material  ${\it \Delta}$ 

Contribute to improve biodiversity, sustainability and favour innovation

Promote plant health and support agriculture, horticulture and forestry

3.6 Other suggestions and remarks

#### 4. OPTIONS FOR CHANGE

4.1 Are the scenarios defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

Nο

4.2 Have certain scenarios been overlooked?

Yes

#### 4.2.1 Please state which one(s)

The sustainable agriculture can be obtain by an ambitious scenario

#### 4.3 Are certain scenarios unrealistic?

Yes

#### 4.3.1 Please state which one(s) and why

we need rules and scenarios 3 and 4 don't agree to us

4.4 Do you agree with the reasoning leading to the discard of the "no-changes" and the "abolishment" scenarios?

Yes

4.5 Other suggestions and remarks

#### 5. ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS

5.1 Are the impacts correctly analysed in the context of S&PM marketing?

5.2 Have certain impacts been overlooked?

Yes

#### 5.2.1 Please state which one(s)

Scenarios 3 and 4 would have for consequences anarchy

5.3 Are certain impacts underestimated or overly emphasized?

No opinion

- 5.3.1 Please provide evidence or data to support your assessment:
- 5.4 How do you rate the proportionality of a generalised traceability/labelling and fit-for-purpose requirement (as set out in scenario 4)?

5 = not proportional at all

5.5 How do you assess the possible impact of the various scenarios on your organisation or on the stakeholders that your organisation represents?

Scenario 1

Neutral

Scenario 2

Neutral

Scenario 3

Very negative

Scenario 4

Very negative

#### Scenario 5

Neutral

5.5.1 Please state your reasons for your answers above, where possible providing evidence or data to support your assessment:

the rules must be the same for every producer

- 6. ASSESSMENT OF SCENARIOS
- 6.1 Which scenario or combination of scenarios would best meet the objectives of the review of the legislation?

Scenario with new features

- 6.1.1 What are your views with regards to combining elements from the various scenarios into a new scenario?
- 6.1.1 Please explain the new scenario in terms of key features

Sorry, i have no time to explain, it's a pity not to answer in french

6.2 Do you agree with the comparison of the scenarios in the light of the potential to achieve the objectives?

No

6.2.1 Please explain:

the 4 is not available

- 7. OTHER COMMENTS
- 7.1 Further written comments on the seeds and propagating material review:
- 7.2 Please make reference here to any available data/documents that support your answer, or indicate sources where such data/documents can be found: