



EUROPEAN COMMISSION

HEALTH AND FOOD SAFETY DIRECTORATE-GENERAL

sante.ddg2.g.5(2017)6290482

**SUMMARY REPORT OF THE  
STANDING COMMITTEE ON PLANTS, ANIMALS, FOOD AND FEED  
HELD IN BRUSSELS ON 08 NOVEMBER 2017 - 10 NOVEMBER 2017  
(Section Phytopharmaceuticals - Plant Protection Products - Legislation)**

*CIRCABC Link:* <https://circabc.europa.eu/w/browse/58944e37-9e49-4410-ba3c-16c224e8a84b>

**B.01 Exchange of views and possible opinion of the Committee on a draft Commission Implementing Regulation renewing the approval of the active substance glyphosate in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market, and amending the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 (Draft Review Report Doc. SANTE/10441/2017 Rev2).**

The Commission introduced the changes to the draft Regulation made since the meeting held on 25 October 2017 (i.e. the addition of a reference to the Resolution of the European Parliament (EP) of 24 October 2017 in Recital 13 and importantly a change in the proposed period of renewal to 5 years).

Additionally, the Commission presented to the Committee a slightly modified text (revision 3) that included a number of technical changes and corrections, as follows:

- Amendment of Article 3 such that entry into force is the day after publication (rather than the standard 20 days) – this is necessary due to the short time available before the expiry of approval;
- Addition of a new Recital (23) to support this change in Article 3;
- Correction of the reference to the European Parliament Resolution of 24 October 2017 in footnote 9, as well as the weblink of the Resolution;
- A correction in footnote 13 (to replace the word 'implementation' with the word 'implementing').

The Commission then explained the reasons why it had proposed to reduce the period for renewal of approval to 5 years.

These include the outcome of the thorough scientific assessment which clearly supported a renewal of approval, the comments of Member States in the meeting held on 25 October 2017, the Resolutions of the European Parliament, the European Citizens' Initiative and the legal and administrative requirements set out in Regulation

(EC) 1107/2009. According to the Commission's analysis a renewal is the correct instrument rather than an extension of the existing approval as there are no reasons to justify any further extension, given that all scientific issues have been thoroughly examined and scrutinised, including the issue of carcinogenicity, and the evaluation process is thus completed. A renewal of approval also ensures that Member States have to review each existing authorisation of plant protection products on the market to ensure that the updated conditions of approval are implemented and that an up-to-date scientific assessment is carried out. This provides for an opportunity for Member States to amend existing authorisations and to include any necessary restrictions of use or to withdraw them completely, if warranted.

One Member State, supported by two others, asked the Commission to also gauge all Member States' positions on a proposal for a 3-year extension or renewal. In response, the Commission reiterated the explanations given earlier in the meeting about why an extension was not legally sound and explained that a renewal for 3 years would also be legally problematic as this would mean that potential applicants would have to submit new applications on the day after the adoption of the Commission Regulation. This would not be practical and would, furthermore, preclude the inclusion of new evidence.

The Commission then proceeded to the form a vote with the following outcome:

- 14 Member States voted in favour (representing 36.95 % of the EU population)
- 9 Member States voted against (representing 32.26 % of the EU population)
- 5 Member States abstained (representing 30.79 % of the EU population)

As a consequence, the Chair informed the Committee that the draft Decision will be submitted to the Appeal Committee.

**Vote taken:** No opinion.