Appendix 4. Insect Protected Maize Farmer Questionnaire - User's Manual

Insect Protected Maize Farmer Questionnaire USER'S MANUAL

November 2011

General introduction to the insect protected maize farmer questionnaire

Purpose

The purpose of General Surveillance is to identify unanticipated adverse effects that may arise from the presence of a genetically modified (GM) crop in the agricultural environment. The insect protected maize farmer questionnaire ('farmer questionnaire', Annex 1) is one instrument for the implementation of general surveillance for GM crop cultivation. It is largely based on routine observations by farmers cultivating GM crops.

Data collection and analysis

The survey of farmers should be conducted annually after harvest of the maize crop, with farmers across the European Union that have been cultivating GM maize.

Farmers will be asked to complete the farmer questionnaire in a personal interview that is carried out by phone or in person. Interviewers will be third parties that will contact the farmers on behalf of the authorisation holders. In countries with limited market penetration, the interview may at first be carried out by representatives of the authorisation holders.

The number of farmers to be questioned will depend on the level of market penetration, and will be decided on an annual basis by the authorisation holders. Regional distribution will be taken into account when selecting the farmers to be interviewed; the selection of farmers for survey should reflect as much as possible the distribution of the areas cultivated to GM maize.

Completed farmer questionnaires should be returned to BioMath at the end of 2011. The analysis of the data will be carried out by BioMath on behalf of the authorisation holders. BioMath will pool the questionnaires from all countries and analyse them by event. The results from the event-specific analyses will be presented to each authorisation holder in a report.

Questionnaire design

The farmer questionnaire is designed to collect factual data that not only allows the identification of unanticipated adverse effects, but also the formulation of cause and effect hypotheses in order to confirm if an observed effect is indeed linked to the presence of the *Bt* maize and not to other factors.

The questions to the farmer are organised to collect data on four specific areas.

Section 1: Maize growing area

Responses to this section will enable records of general, basic data on maize cultivation, cultivation area and local pest and disease pressure (independent from *Bt* or conventional cultivation – background and possible influencing factors). It includes questions on 'fixed factors', e.g. soil characteristics, and 'random factors', e.g. diseases, pests and weeds.

Section 2: Typical agronomic practices to grow maize on the farm

Questions in this section aim to establish the agricultural practices to cultivate conventional maize. The data collected in this section constitutes a baseline against which insect protected maize cultivation can be compared. It includes questions on 'adjustable factors', e.g. irrigation, soil tillage, planting technique, weed and pest control practices, fertiliser, etc.

Section 3: Observations of the insect protected maize event

Questions in this section collect information to assess the specific insect protected maize practices, observations and performance. It includes questions on 'monitoring parameters' for comparison with conventional maize, e.g. germination, time to emergence, etc.

Section 4: Implementation of insect protected maize event specific measures

Questions in this section are intended to survey the implementation of the recommendations for insect protected maize cultivation.

General instructions to interviewers

- Interviewers should explain to the farmer the purpose of the farmer questionnaire and the meaning of the questions, where necessary, in order to ensure that the answers provided are accurate and factual.
- All questions should be answered. Answers should be as complete and precise as possible.
- When applicable, answers should be selected from multiple choices presented on the questionnaire. If the answer "Do not know" is <u>not</u> listed, farmers are expected to know the answer.
- The farmer questionnaire is to be performed in the farmers' local language: however, instant translation to English in the grey areas provided is recommended. If this is not possible, accurate translation can be performed at a later stage before sending the farmer questionnaire back for analysis.
- When providing responses, the farmer should focus on the most representative maize crop area (Part 1), the most representative non-GM maize crop area (Part 2) or the most representative MON 810 maize crop area (Part 3). During the analysis of the results, Part 1 and Part 2 will serve as a comparator for Part 3.
- For the purpose of this questionnaire, the 'most representative' will be the non-GM maize/MON 810 maize field with characteristics, observations or agricultural practices most common to that farm.
- Specific observations in fields that were not selected as the 'most representative' MON 810 field may have been noticed, but not reported in the farmer questionnaire. Farmers should be encouraged to comment on specific observations in other MON 810 fields in Section 3.9.
- The reference numbers boxes (Year Event Partner Country -Interviewer- Farmer Area) must be filled on the top of <u>each</u> page. Questionnaires should be filled with a pen, not a pencil.

Personal and confidential data

The farmer questionnaire, including its first page, must be sent to BioMath who will be performing the analysis.

The personal data of the farmer will be handled in accordance with applicable data protection legislation. The personal data of the farmers may be used for the purpose of interviews necessary for the survey if the farmers have authorised this use as per the data protection legislation.

The questionnaires will be encoded to protect farmers' identity in the survey and confidentiality agreements will be put in place between the different parties (i.e. authorisation holders, licensees, interviewers and analysts) to further enforce this. The identity of a farmer will only be revealed to the authorisation holders if an adverse effect linked to their trait has been identified and needs to be investigated.

Furthermore, the agreements between the different parties will also ensure that any information collected in the questionnaires will not be improperly shared or used.

Detailed instructions for conducting the farmer questionnaire

Section 1: Maize growing area

Responses to this section will enable records of general, basic data on maize cultivation, cultivation area and local pest and disease pressure (independent from GM or non-GM cultivation – background and possible influencing factors).

Question 1.1: Location

For each European country, 'county' corresponds to the administrative level between the region size and the town. [Level should be specified in each country manual]

Question 1.2: Surrounding environment

Describe how the land surrounding the field selected for the survey/or surrounding the farm is used. This could include use as farmland, forest or wild habitat, or residential /industrial.

Question 1.3: Size and number of field of the maize cultivated area

- In responding to this question, the farmer should indicate as precisely as possible, the total area of maize cultivated on his/her farm, the total area of MON 810 maize cultivated on his/her farm, and the number of fields cultivated with MON 810 maize.
- Areas indicated should be for the current planting season.

Question 1.4: Maize varieties grown

- Varieties should be ranked according to area planted. List the variety planted to the largest area planted to the smallest area planted.
- The variety name is important because certain plant behaviour can be influenced by germplasm.
- The GM maize varieties should be found in the attached list in Annex 2, or should be listed in the EU catalogue¹. If not listed, please specify.
- Annex 3 lists the conventional varieties per country. If a variety is not in the list, the European catalogue of varieties should be consulted¹. If not listed, please specify.
- The farmer should list any other GM maize varieties grown on the farm that are not specified above.

Question 1.5: Soil characteristics of the maize grown area

- The farmer should select one response from the options given and should not indicate two different soil types in response to this question.
- When answering this question, the response should describe soil type from the most representative conventional maize field.

¹ http://ec.europa.eu/food/plant/propagation/catalogues/comcat agricultural/80.html

Question 1.6: Local pest and disease pressure in maize

- The objective of this question is to understand the local conditions of pest, disease and weed pressure in conventional maize.
- The farmer should indicate whether pest, disease and weed pressure is lower, as usual, or higher than normally observed. Diseases would include fungal and/or viral infections and pests would include insects, mites and/or nematodes. Note that confusion occurs often between the disease and pest terms, thus it should be indicated what is included in the terms.
- When answering this question, the farmer should consider the pest, disease and weed pressure in the most representative conventional maize field on the farm.

Section 2: Typical agronomic practices to grow maize on your farm

Questions in this section aim to establish agricultural practices employed to cultivate conventional maize. The data collected in this section constitutes a baseline against which insect protected maize cultivation can be compared.

In responding to questions in this section, the most representative conventional maize field should be considered.

Question 2.1: Irrigation of maize grown area

- In response to this question, the farmer should indicate whether conventional maize was irrigated (yes or no). If 'yes', what type of irrigation was used on the conventional maize area (gravity, sprinkler, pivot, other).
- The most representative conventional maize field should be considered.

Question 2.2: Major rotation of the maize grown area

- Specify the major rotation, or crop grown in the previous year, and two years ago, on the area planted to conventional maize.
- The most representative conventional maize field should be considered.

Question 2.3: Soil tillage practices

- The farmer should specify whether soil tillage practices were used in the conventional maize planted area (yes or no).
- If soil tillage was used, the farmer should specify the timing of the tillage, i.e. winter or spring.
- The most representative conventional maize field should be considered.

Question 2.4: Maize planting technique

- The farmer should specify whether conventional maize was planted conventionally, by mulching, or by direct sowing.
- The most representative conventional maize field should be considered.

Question 2.5: Typical weed and pest control practices in maize on your farm

- The farmer should indicate whether insecticides are used in conventional maize on the farm (tick the box). In addition, the farmer should indicate whether any insecticides for maize borer are used in conventional maize on the farm (yes or no).
- The farmer should indicate whether other weed and pest control practices, i.e. biocontrol treatments, herbicides, mechanical weed control, or fungicides are used in conventional maize on the farm (tick the box). If other is checked, the farmer should elaborate on the response in the space provided.
- The most representative conventional maize field should be considered.

Question 2.6: Application of manure to maize grown area

- The farmer should specify whether manure is applied in conventional maize (yes or no).
- The most representative conventional maize field should be considered.

Question 2.7: Typical time of maize sowing range

- The time of sowing should be given in Day/Month for planting of conventional maize on the farm, i.e. start date to end date.
- The most representative conventional maize field should be considered.

Question 2.8: Typical time of maize harvest range

- The time of maize harvest should be given in Day/Month for harvest of conventional maize on the farm, i.e. start date to end date.
- Dates of harvest of grain maize should be given separately to dates of harvest of forage maize.
- The most representative conventional maize field should be considered.

Section 3: Observations of MON 810

This section focuses on insect protected maize cultivation and on the specific observations that relate to it.

Question 3.1: Agricultural practices applied to MON 810 (compared to conventional maize)

- All responses to this question should take into account the agricultural practices in the most representative MON 810 field on the farm. Only practices up to harvest are covered by question 3.1.
- The farmer should specify whether the crop rotation in MON 810 changed in comparison with conventional maize (as usual or changed). If 'changed', then the farmer should describe why the rotation was changed.
- The farmer should indicate whether MON 810 was planted earlier, later or at the same time as conventional maize on the farm. If applicable, the farmer should give reasons why MON 810 was planted later.
- The farmer should indicate whether soil tillage or maize planting techniques were as usual or changed compared when planting MON 810. If applicable, the farmer should describe why the techniques were changed.
- The farmer should give a list of the full² commercial name of insecticides applied in the MON 810 field (including seed treatments). After the name of each insecticide, it should be indicated between brackets if it was applied as seed treatment, spray, microgranules or other such as bio-control agents. Insecticides should be selected from the list of authorised insecticides in each country given in Annex 4. If the name is not listed in the Annex 4, the interviewer should seek clarification (e.g. active ingredient). Note that sometimes farmer use a commercial name and indicate an active ingredient that is no longer valid.
- The farmer should give a list of the full² commercial name of herbicides applied in the MON 810 field. Herbicides should be selected from the list of authorised herbicides available in each country given in Annex 5. If the name of the herbicide is not listed in the Annex 5, the interviewer should seek clarifications (e.g. active ingredient).
- The farmer should give a list of the full commercial name of fungicides applied in the MON 810 field.). After the name of each fungicide, it should be indicated between brackets if it was applied as seed treatment or spray but note that fungicides are usually not used in maize and if used it would be seed treatment. Fungicides should be selected from the list of authorised fungicides available in each country given in Annex 6. If the name of the fungicide is not listed in the Annex 6, the interviewer should seek clarifications (e.g. active ingredient).
- The farmer should indicate whether insecticides, herbicides, or fungicides applied in the MON 810 field were similar or different to those used in conventional maize on the farm. If the answer is different, the farmer should include a relevant explanation to help understand the reasons for the difference. When asking this question, the interviewer should keep in mind the farmer's response to Question 2.5 (Typical weed and pest control practices in maize on your farm), as well as the list of insecticides applied by the farmer in the MON 810 field (for the question about insecticide). If there is a discrepancy between this answer and the response to those questions, the interviewer should seek clarification. Seed treatments can be

-

² Note that the full name is needed as names are sometimes closely related.

applied by the seed producer or by the farmer. Thus, if any difference in insecticide or fungicide treatment is mentioned, the interviewer should inquire if the difference is limited to the seed treatment applied by the seed producer.

- The farmer should indicate whether maize borer control practices in the MON 810 field were similar or changed compared to conventional maize. If maize borer control practices applied in MON 810 were changed from those used in conventional maize, then the interviewer should include a relevant explanation to help understand the reasons for the difference. In most cases, responses will indicate a difference between MON 810 and conventional maize and an explanation will be necessary and will be related to the efficacy of MON 810 against maize borer.
- The farmer should indicate if fertilizer application practices applied to MON 810 maize compared to conventional maize are similar or different to those used in conventional maize on the farm. If the answer is different, the farmer should include a relevant explanation to help understand the reasons for the difference.
- The farmer should indicate whether irrigation practices applied in the MON 810 field were as usual or changed compared to conventional maize on the farm. If the answer is changed, the farmer should include a relevant explanation to help understand the reasons for the difference.
- The farmer should indicate whether the MON 810 field was harvested at the same time, earlier or later than conventional maize on the farm. If MON 810 was harvested later, the farmer should include a relevant explanation to help understand the reasons for the difference.
- Simultaneous translation in English is recommended to help preserve the accuracy of the reasons given by the farmer for any differences observed in the questions above. If this is not possible, accurate translation can be performed at a later stage before sending the farmer questionnaire back for analysis.

Question 3.2: Characteristics of MON 810 in the field (compared to conventional maize)

- The interviewer should explain to the farmer that this is a comparative assessment between MON 810 maize and conventional maize to determine whether there were any observed differences in growth and development characteristics in the most representative MON810 field compared to conventional maize.
- An understanding of the disease/pest/weed pressure in the current year may be valuable in interpreting the results of the characteristics of MON 810 (compared to conventional maize) in the field. This can be achieved by consulting data from plant protection or extension services.
- If the answer to any of the growth and development characteristics in MON 810 maize to conventional maize are different from 'as usual', the farmer should specify potential reasons for the difference, e.g. influencing factors such as choice of varieties could be a reason for differences in maturity, yield, etc. The interviewer should judge the quality of the justification and may, if necessary, try to get a more detailed explanation.
- Any unusual observations regarding MON 810 maize during its growth should be explained in detail by the farmer.

Note: Simultaneous translation to English is recommended to try to help preserve the accuracy of the reasons for the differences given above. If this is not possible, accurate translation can be performed at a later stage before sending the farmer questionnaire back for analysis.

Question 3.3: Characterise the MON 810 susceptibility to disease (compared to conventional maize)

- The farmer should provide an overall assessment of disease susceptibility of the most representative MON 810 field compared to conventional maize (fungal, viral diseases), e.g. as usual, more susceptible, less susceptible.
- If the answer if different from 'as usual', the interviewer should ask the farmer to identify the disease(s) to which the susceptibility is different (fungal / viral diseases).
- The interviewer should as much as possible, ensure that the disease is accurately named and recorded. The table given in the questionnaire is a tool to aid this exercise additional answers are possible.
- Additional comments can be given in the dedicated space below.

Note: Simultaneous translation to English is recommended to try to help preserve the accuracy of the reasons for the differences given above. If this is not possible, accurate translation can be performed at a later stage before sending the farmer questionnaire back for analysis.

Question 3.4: Characterise the insect pest control in MON 810 fields (compared to conventional maize)

- The farmer should indicate the overall efficacy of MON 810 on European corn borer (Ostrinia nubilalis) and Pink borer (Sesamia spp), e.g. very good, good, weak, don't know.
- Additional comments can be given in the space given.

Note: Simultaneous translation to English for the 'additional comments' section is recommended to preserve the accuracy of the responses. If this is not possible, accurate translation can be performed at a later stage before sending the farmer questionnaire back for analysis.

Question 3.5: Characterise the MON 810 susceptibility to OTHER pests (compared to conventional maize)

- The farmer should provide an overall pest susceptibility (insect, mite, nematodes) of MON810 compared to conventional maize (as usual, more susceptible, less susceptible).
- If the answer is different from 'As usual', the interviewer should ask the farmer to identify the pests that are observed to be more or less susceptible.

Note: The interviewer should, as far as possible, ensure that the pests are recorded accurately, and if possible, should be recorded by their Latin / Scientific name.

Question 3.6: Characterise the weed pressure in MON 810 fields (compared to conventional maize)

- Provide an overall assessment of the weed pressure (as usual, more weeds, less weeds) and the three most abundant weeds in the most representative MON 810 field, compared to conventional maize.
- If there were any unusual observations regarding the occurrence of weeds in the MON 810 field, the interviewer should try to identify the cause. Possible causes could be, for example, the field history, the performance of the weed control program, etc.

Note: The interviewer should, as far as possible, ensure that the pests are recorded accurately, and if possible, should be recorded by their Latin / Scientific name.

Simultaneous translation to English is recommended to preserve the accuracy of the response. If this is not possible, accurate translation can be performed at a later stage before sending the farmer questionnaire back for analysis.

Question 3.7: Occurrence of wildlife in MON 810 fields (compared to conventional maize)

- The general impression of the occurrence of mammals, birds and insects, in the most representative MON 810 field compared to conventional maize, based on the observations of the farmer, should be given (as usual, more, less, do not know).
- If the answer is different from 'as usual' the interviewer should ask the farmer for a detailed explanation of the difference.
- The interviewer should judge the quality of the justification and may, if necessary, try to get more in depth explanations to best assess to what extent the change is related to the MON 810 variety or to some other character, such as location (e.g. proximity of a pond, forest see Question 1.2).

Note: Simultaneous translation to English is recommended to preserve the accuracy of the response. If this is not possible, accurate translation can be performed at a later stage before sending the farmer questionnaire back for analysis.

Question 3.8: Feed use of MON 810

- This section should be considered only if the farmer had experience in the previous year with MON 810.
- If the answer in response to the general impression of the performance of the animals fed MON 810 compared to animals fed conventional maize is "different", the interviewer should ask the farmer to describe in detail, relevant information in the dedicated area below. The interviewer has to judge the quality of the justification and may, if necessary, try to get more in depth explanations to best assess to what extent the change is related to the MON 810 variety, or to some other character.

Note: Simultaneous translation of the explanation to English is recommended to preserve the accuracy of the response. If this is not possible, accurate translation can be performed at a later stage before sending the farmer questionnaire back for analysis.

Question 3.9: Any additional remarks or observations

- Any general remarks or comments related to effects of MON 810 are to be made in this section.
- In addition, any field specific differences in MON 810 fields not included as the 'most representative' field should be described here. The interviewer should obtain a detailed explanation from the farmer about field specific differences.

Note: Simultaneous translation to English is recommended to preserve the accuracy of the response. If this is not possible, accurate translation can be performed at a later stage before sending the farmer questionnaire back for analysis.

Section 4: Implementation of Bt-maize specific measures

The purpose of questions in Section 4 is product stewardship.

Question 4.1: Have you been informed on good agricultural practices for YieldGard® maize?

No specific remarks.

Question 4.2: Seed

No specific remarks.

Question 4.3 Prevention of insect resistance

In case of a yes answer, it is suggested to ask the farmer to briefly describe the refuge.

Annex 1

MON 810 Farmer Questionnaire 2011

EuropaBio Monitoring WG Farmer Questionnaire

Product: insect protected YieldGard® maize

Farmer personal and confidential data

Name of farmer:	 		
Address of farmer:			
City:			
Postal code:			
Name of interviewer:			
Date of interview (DD		/	

The personal data of the farmer will be handled in accordance with applicable data protection legislation. The personal data of the farmers may be used for the purpose of interviews necessary for the survey if the farmers have authorised this use as per the data protection legislation.

The questionnaires will be encoded to protect farmers' identity in the survey and confidentiality agreements will be put in place between the different parties (i.e. authorisation holders, licensees, interviewers and analyst) to further enforce this. The identity of a farmer will only be revealed to the authorisation holders if an adverse effect linked to their trait has been identified and needs to be investigated.

Furthermore, the agreements between the different parties will also ensure that any information collected in the questionnaires will not be improperly shared or used.


[®] Registered trademark of Monsanto Technology LLC.

Code:			
Year \square		Event Partner Country Country Interviewer	
Farmer		rea 🔲 🔲	
Coding expl	anatio	ns:	
2 0 1 Year	0	Event Partner ¹ Country Interviewer ² Farmer Are	1
rour		Code Code Code Code Code Code	
Codes:			
Event:	01 02 03	MON 810 NK 603	
Partner ¹ :	MAR	Monsanto Markin Agro.Ges	
Country:	ES PT PL	Spain Portugal Poland	
Interviewer ²	: 01 A 02 B 03		
Farmer: incr	ement	al counter within the interviewer	
Area: incren	nental	counter within the farmer	


 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Partner is the organization that implements the survey $^{\rm 2}$ Interviewer is the employee from the Partner that is contacting the farmers

4 Moi-to group area
1 Maize grown area 1.1 Location:
1.1 LOCAUOII.
Country:
County:
1.2 Surrounding environment:
Which of the following would best describe the land usage in the surrounding of the areas planted with YieldGard® maize
O Farmland
O Forest or wild habitat
O Residential or industrial
1.3 Size and number of fields of the maize cultivated area:
Total area of all maize cultivated on form (ba)
Total area of all maize cultivated on farm (ha)
Total area of YieldGard [®] maize cultivated on farm (ha)
Number of fields cultivated with YieldGard [®] maize
1.4 Maize varieties grown:
List up to five YieldGard® maize varieties planted this season:
1
2
3
4
5
J
List up to five conventional varieties planted this season:
1
2
3
4
5
Are you growing any other GM maize varieties this season? ³
O Yes O No

 $[\]overline{\ }^{3}$ Note: This question does not need to be asked in the 2011 season.



1.5	Soil characteristics	s of the	maize grown	n area:	
Marl	k the predominant soi	I type of	the maize gro	own area (soil text	ure):
	O very fine (clay)				
	O fine (clay, sandy clay) O medium (sandy clay) O medium-fine (silty) O coarse (sand, loam) O no predominant sool do not know	y loam, cla clay loam y sand, sa	ay loam, sandy s , silt loam)loam) andy loam)		area on the farm)
Cha	racterize soil quality o	of the ma	aize grown are	ea (fertility):	
	O below average O average - norm O above average	naĺ			
Orga	anic carbon content (%)			
1.6	Local pest and dis	ease pr	essure in ma	nize:	
Cha	racterize this season'	s genera	al pest pressu	re on the maize cu	ıltivated area:
	Diseases (fungal, vin Pests (insects, mites nematodes) Weeds		O Low O Low	O As usual O As usual O As usual	O High O High O High
2	Typical agronomic p	oractice	s to grow ma	aize on your farm	
2.1	Irrigation of maize			•	
	O Yes O N	o			
If ye	s, which type of irriga	tion tech	nnique do you	apply:	
	O Gravity O S	prinkler	O Pivo	t O Other	
2.2	Major rotation of th	ne maize	e grown area):	
	previous year: two years ago:				
2.3	Soil tillage practice	es:			
	O No O Yes	(mark	the time of tilla	age: O Winter	O Spring)
2.4	Maize planting tech	hnique:			
	O Conventional plar O Mulch O Direct sowing	nting			



2.5	Mark all typical weed and pest control practices in maize at your farm:
	O Herbicide(s)
	O Insecticide(s) If box checked, do you treat against maize borers? O Yes O No
	D Fungicide(s) D Mechanical weed control D Use of bio control treatments (e.g. Trichogramma) D Other, please specify:
2.6	Application of fertilizer to maize grown area:
	O Yes O No
2.7	Typical time of maize sowing range (DD:MM – DD:MM):
2.8	Typical time of maize harvest range (DD:MM – DD:MM):
	Grain maize://
3.1	bservations of YieldGard [®] maize Agricultural practices in YieldGard [®] maize (compared to conventiona maize)
Jid y	
Did y conv spec	Agricultural practices in YieldGard [®] maize (compared to conventional maize) ou change your agricultural practices in YieldGard [®] maize compared to ntional maize? If any of the answers is different from «As usual», please
Did y conv spec	Agricultural practices in YieldGard® maize (compared to conventional maize) ou change your agricultural practices in YieldGard® maize compared to ntional maize? If any of the answers is different from «As usual», please y the change. lid you perform your crop rotate for YieldGard® maize compared with
Did y conv spec	Agricultural practices in YieldGard® maize (compared to conventional maize) ou change your agricultural practices in YieldGard® maize compared to ntional maize? If any of the answers is different from «As usual», please y the change. lid you perform your crop rotate for YieldGard® maize compared with ntional maize?
Did y conv spec	Agricultural practices in YieldGard® maize (compared to conventional maize) ou change your agricultural practices in YieldGard® maize compared to ntional maize? If any of the answers is different from «As usual», please y the change. lid you perform your crop rotate for YieldGard® maize compared with ntional maize?
Did y conv spec	Agricultural practices in YieldGard® maize (compared to conventional maize) ou change your agricultural practices in YieldGard® maize compared to ntional maize? If any of the answers is different from «As usual», please y the change. lid you perform your crop rotate for YieldGard® maize compared with ntional maize? O As usual O Changed, because (describe the rotation):
Did y conv spec	Agricultural practices in YieldGard® maize (compared to conventional maize) ou change your agricultural practices in YieldGard® maize compared to ntional maize? If any of the answers is different from «As usual», please yethe change. Itid you perform your crop rotate for YieldGard® maize compared with ntional maize? O As usual O Changed, because (describe the rotation):



2	0	1	1	-	0	1	-	М	Α	R	-	Е	S	-	0	1	-	0	1	-	0	1
	Υe	ear		-	Εv	ent	-	Р	artne	er	-	Cou	ntry	-Ir	nterv	riewe	er-	Far	mer	-	Ar	ea

Full commercial seed treatments		ecticides you ap	plied in Y	′ieldGard [®] maize field, inclu	uding
1				_	
4				_	
Full commercial	name of he	bicides you app	lied in Yie	eldGard [®] maize field:	
1				_	
3				_	
Full commercial	name of fun	gicides you app	lied in Yie	eldGard [®] maize field:	
In 2011, how we compared to co			ol practice	es in YieldGard [®] maize whe	en
Insecticides:	O Similar	O Different, be	cause:		
Herbicides:	O Similar	O Different, be	cause:		
E	0.01.011.0	O D'''			
Fungiciaes:	O Similar	O Different, be	cause:		
In 2011, did you compared to co			practices	s in YieldGard [®] maize wher	n
O Similar	O Cha	nged, because:			
In 2011, how we compared to co			ractices ir	n YieldGard [®] maize when	
O Similar	O Cha	nged, because:			_



2	0	1	1	-	0	1	-	М	Α	R	-	Е	S	-	0	1	-	0	1	-	0	1
	Υe	ear		-	Εv	ent	-	Р	artne	er	-	Cou	ntry	-Ir	nterv	iewe	er-	Far	mer	-	Ar	ea

	011, how were the irrigat rentional maize?	ion practices in	YieldGard [®] maize wh	en compared to
	O Similar O Cha	nged, because:_		
Did y	ou harvest YieldGard®	maize earlier or	later than conventions	al maize?
	O Similar O Earlier	O Later	Because:	
3.2	Characteristics of Yie maize)	eldGard [®] maize	in the field (compar	ed to conventional
	Germination vigour	O As usual	O More vigorous	O Less vigorous
	Time to emergence	O As usual	O Accelerated	O Delayed
	Time to male flowering	O As usual	O Accelerated	O Delayed
	Plant growth and development	O As usual	O Accelerated	O Delayed
	Incidence of stalk/root lodging	O As usual	O More often	O Less often
	Time to maturity	O As usual	O Accelerated	O Delayed
	Yield	O As usual	O Higher yield	O Lower yield
	Occurrence of voluntee from previous year planting (if relevant)		O More often	O Less often
If ar	ny of the answers a	bove is differe	ent from «As usual	», please specify:
	se detail any additiona e during its growth:		vations regarding the	YieldGard [®] maize


3.3	Characterise the conventional ma		maize susce _l	otibility to disease	e (compared to
	rall assessment of ventional maize (fu			ldGard [®] maize com	npared to
	O As usual	O More susce	eptible ⁴ O	Less susceptible ⁴	
	e above answer is ase susceptibility in			ease specify the di y section below:	fference in
	 Fusarium sp Ustilago may xxx xxx xxx xxx 0ther: 			O More O More O More O More O More O More	O Less O Less O Less
Addi	tional comments:			·	
				(2)	
	(compared to co	nventional ma	aize)	ol in YieldGard® naize, overall effic	
On varie	(compared to co	onventional ma	<i>aize)</i> YieldGard [®] m		
On varie	the two insects of the two insec	controlled by Notes	aize) YieldGard [®] m nubilalis):		
On varie	the two insects of the two insec	controlled by Notes on the controlled by Notes o	aize) YieldGard [®] m nubilalis):	naize, overall effic	
On varie	the two insects of eties on: . European corn to O Very good. 2. Pink borer (Sesa	controlled by Notes on the controlled by Notes o	aize) YieldGard [®] m nubilalis): O Weak	naize, overall effic	
On varie 1	the two insects of eties on: . European corn to O Very good	controlled by Note of the Controlled by Note	aize) YieldGard [®] m nubilalis): O Weak O Weak	naize, overall effic	acy of the GM
On varie 1	the two insects of eties on: . European corn to O Very good	controlled by Note of the Controlled by Note	aize) YieldGard [®] m nubilalis): O Weak O Weak	naize, overall effica O Don't Know O Don't Know	acy of the GM
On varie 1	the two insects of eties on: . European corn to O Very good	controlled by Note of the Controlled by Note	aize) YieldGard [®] m nubilalis): O Weak O Weak	naize, overall effica O Don't Know O Don't Know	acy of the GM
On varie 1	the two insects of two insects of the two insects of the two insects of the two insects of the two insects of two insects of the two insects of two inse	controlled by Note on the controlled by Note	aize) YieldGard® m nubilalis): O Weak O Weak	O Don't Know O Don't Know	acy of the GM
On varied Addi	the two insects of the two insec	controlled by Note on the Controlled by Note of the Controlled by Note	rieldGard® mubilalis): O Weak O Weak maize sonventional incove, overall as	O Don't Know O Don't Know	acy of the GM OTHER pests

 $^{^4}$ More susceptible than conventional maize or Less susceptible than conventional maize




2	0	1	1	-	0	1	-	М	Α	R	-	Е	S	-	0	1	-	0	1	-	0	1
	Υe	ar		-	Εv	ent	-	Р	artne	er	-	Cou	ntry	-Ir	nterv	iewe	er-	Far	mer	-	Ar	ea

If the above answer is different from «As usual», please pest susceptibility in the list and the commentary section		lifference in
1	O More	e O Less
2.	O More	e O Less
3	O More	e O Less
4	O More	e O Less
5	O More	e O Less
Additional comments:		
	(a)	
3.6 Characterise the weed pressure in YieldGard conventional maize)	" maize field	s (compared to
Overall assessment of the weed pressure in YieldGard® conventional maize:	maize compa	ared to
O As usual O More weeds O Less we	eeds	
List the three most abundant weeds in your YieldGard®	maize field:	
1		
2		
3		
Were there any unusual observations regarding the occ YieldGard [®] maize?	currence ot we	eds in
3.7 Occurrence of wildlife in YieldGard® macconventional maize)	aize fields	(compared to
General impression of the occurrence of wildlife (insects YieldGard® maize compared to conventional maize field		nammals) in
Occurrence of insects (arthropods):		
O As usual O More O Less O Do	o not know	
If the answer above is «More» or «Less», please specify	y your observ	ation:



Occurr	ence of birds	. .				
			O Loss	O Do not kno	2147	
If the answer above is «More» or «Less», please specify your observation:						
Occurr	ence of mam	nmals:				
0	As usual	O More	O Less	O Do not kno	ow .	
If the answer above is «More» or «Less», please specify your observation:						
3.8 F	iood uso of '	VioldGard [®] m:	nizo (if provio	us voar oxporio	ence with this event)	
		_		nimal feed on yo	-	
	u use the The Yes	O No	inarvestior a	niinarieed on yo	ur iaiiir!	
			l :	£ 46 a a a ufa uu a a a		
If "Yes", please give your general impression of the performance of the animals fed YieldGard® maize compared to animals fed conventional maize.						
0	As usual	O Differe	nt O Do	not know		
If the	answer	above is «	:Different», p	olease specify	your observation:	
	•	nal remarks on that were not s			fields planted with	



4	Implementation of Bt-maize specific measures
4.1	Have you been informed on good agricultural practices for YieldGard® maize?
	O Yes O No
Only	if you answered "Yes", would you evaluate these technical sessions as:
	O Very useful O Useful O Not useful
4.2	Seed
	s the seed bag labelled with accompanying specific documentation indicating the product is genetically modified maize YieldGard [®] maize?
	O Yes O No
Did	you comply with the label recommendations on seed bags?
	O Yes O No, because:
4.3	Prevention of insect resistance
Did :	you plant a refuge in accordance to the technical guidelines?
	O Yes O No, because the surface of YieldGard® maize planted on the farm is < 5 ha O No, because

Annex 2

List of YieldGard® (MON 810) Maize varieties

Annex 3

Top varieties cultivated in the country

Annex 4 Insecticides listed in the country

Annex 5 Herbicides listed in the country

Annex 6 Fungicides listed in the country