European Union Reference Laboratory for Zootechnics Work Plan 2013

INTERBULL CENTRE, Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics, SLU (Uppsala, Sweden)

8/31/2013



European Union Reference Laboratory for Zootechnics (Bovine Breeding)

INTERBULL CENTRE

Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences - SLU

Gerda Nilssons väg 2, room 257, PO Box 7023, 750 07 Uppsala, Sweden Phone: +46(0)18-67 20 98, Fax: +46(0)18-67 28 48

Contact person: João Walter Dürr, Director

E-mail: Joao.Durr@slu.se

www.interbull.org

European Union Reference Laboratory for Zootechnics (Bovine Breeding) Work Plan 2013

The following work plan presents the work programme for the period January to December 2013, according to the new instructions adopting performance indicators (Ref. Ares(2012)939264 – 02/08/2012). Most activities are of a continuous operational nature and follow previous work plans and activity reports. As requested, a hierarchical structure of activity, sub-activity, objectives, expected outputs and performance indicators is provided in Table 1. The performance indicators proposed for all EURLs in the field of animal health cannot be applied to the EURL in Zootechnics given the nature of the entrepreneurship, which differs substantially from the other EURLs. Therefore, a customized set of indicators is proposed using as a legal reference the 96/463/EC: Council Decision of 23 July 1996 designating the reference body responsible for collaborating in rendering uniform the testing methods and the assessment of the results for pure-bred breeding animals of the bovine species.

Developments on genomic evaluations continue to be the major topic on the dairy cattle breeding industry, both at the national and international levels. Interbull has invested significant resources to discuss and develop methodologies and strategic issues related to the incorporation of genomic information on international genetic evaluations of dairy cattle since 2009. In an effort to provide guidance to importing and exporting countries within and outside EU, Interbull has implemented the novel validation of genomically enhanced breeding values (GEBVs) in August 2010. This initiative establishes ground according to the requirements of the EC regulation 427/2006 for evaluation of the genetic merit and the correspondent reliability for young bulls without progeny which have been genomically evaluated. The procedure was officially acknowledged by communication from the Director Bernhard Van Goethem of October 25, 2010, to all member states (D1/SPG/eg (10) D/764080/Ars(2010)789624) and has been instrumental for the commercialization of semen from genomically proven bulls within Europe.

Another major genomic-related area that the EURLZ is involved with is the development of tools that can handle genomic data coming from many different sources and in different formats. This has been referred to as genomic multi-trait across country evaluations (GMACE), which is a modification of the method used by the EURLZ for international comparisons of conventional breeding values. A procedure to compare young bulls (without progeny) using GMACE methodologies is scheduled to be implemented in the April 2013 routine evaluation.

The project referred to as "Intergenomics" has the objective of creating an international genotype database for cattle at the Interbull Centre and improving the prediction ability of the genomic equations which is particularly important for minor breeds, since genomic predictions are highly dependent on the size of the reference populations. The Brown Swiss breed is being used as the pilot population given the diligent cooperation established among the breed representatives worldwide, and the routine international genomic evaluations for Brown Swiss cattle started already on December 2011. This is a key project to enable the EURLZ to continue providing guidance and cutting-edge methodologies to access genetic value of breeding livestock.

There has been a clear evolution on the concept of sharing genotypes internationally, and most countries recognize now the need of a common repository of bovine genotypes at the Interbull Centre as the means to:

Reduce costs and optimize investments on genotyping bovine animals

- Improve reference populations for prediction of genomically enhanced genetic merit, especially for low heritability health and functional traits, such as somatic cell count, mastitis, calving difficulty, longevity and female fertility.
- Make it possible to screen large populations for recessive alleles detection (recent study from North America identified 5 lethal recessives using this type of data – VanRaden et al., 2011¹)
- Maintain a worldwide parentage verification data base, using the SNP based methods that are about to be officially recommended by ISAG and ICAR
- Use the genomic data to study diversity within the bovine populations in a more complete way than is possible with the methods based on pedigree information only.

A three-year ICAR project to develop a system for international evaluations of beef breeds and traits commenced in 2007 and ended in May 2010. A new compromise to ensure the continuation of the research and development project has been established between ICAR, SLU and the participating countries. This system is operating at the Interbull Centre from the beginning of 2012 and currently involves two major beef breeds: Charolais and Limousin.

All the EURL activities have basically doubled the amount of work and also the responsibilities of the EURLZ in an extremely short period of time (4 years). It is important to notice that all the activities existent prior to the "genomic revolution" are still taken place, meaning that this is not a simple update in methods, but in fact 100% additional services. The conventional MACE evaluations that have been provided by the EURLZ over the years as a means for international comparisons, have actually acquired a more strategic importance than ever before, since they provide the only means for countries to utilize information on foreign animals in their reference populations. In other words, national genomic evaluations are highly dependent on the international breeding values regularly supplied by the EURLZ.

The support received by the EURLZ has been extremely instrumental in developing the new methods and infrastructure (data base and genotype exchange and harmonization). At this stage, although important steps of the new developments are completed, there are still fundamental questions to be addressed for the adoption of genomic technologies in animal breeding schemes and assure that international trading of bovine genetics can count on sound methodologies and unbiased comparisons between cattle population within Europe and with other continents. The EC funding plays a key role to make these advances possible, since development costs cannot be directly transferred into service fees.

Therefore, the EURLZ is requesting the maintenance of the financial support of € 150,000 for 2013, in order to assure the minimum leverage needed to establish and maintain the necessary framework to quickly respond to the novel technologies being applied in bovine breeding worldwide.

-

¹ VanRaden et al. Reporting of Haplotypes with Recessive Effects on Fertility. Proc. Interbull Meeting, Stavanger, Norway, August 26-28, 2011. Interbull Bulletin 44, 117-121.

Table 1 – Work programme for the European Union Reference Laboratory for Zootechnics (Bovine Breeding) in 2013. Activities and sub-activities defined according to the 96/463/EC Council Decision, Annex II.

| Activity | Sub-activity | Objective | Expected outputs | Performance indicators | Resources (%) | Resources (d) |
|---|---|---|---|---|------------------|------------------|
| | 1.1. Regularly receive the results of genetic assessments and the data on | 1.1.1. Receive, verify and store national information | Keep the international pedigrees for dairy and beef breeds up to date; receive national dairy EBVs 5 times a year; keep the international genotype database for Intergenomics up to date; receive beef phenotypic data once a year. | Number of records added to the international database | 10 | 162 |
| Be the documentation and information centre for the methods of testing and | which they were based | 1.1.2. Maintain a publicly available documentation on national evaluation systems | Genetic evaluation forms containing details on national genetic evaluation system and methods are made available in the EURL home page | | 1 | 16 |
| assessing the genetic value of pure-bred breeding animals of the bovine species for the Member States of the European Union | 1.2. Comparing the various methods of testing and assessing the genetic value of pure-bred breeding animals of the bovine species | 1.2.1. Carry out validation tests to assess unbiaseness of national genetic evaluations | Validation for both national conventional EBVs and GEBVs are carried out during test runs (January and September 2013) | Number of population-trait-method combinations to be validated (% of all combinations) | 1 | 16 |
| | | 1.2.2. Carry out full test international evaluations to evaluate the impact of methodological changes implemeted at the EURL and by the State Members, as well as estimate new genetic correlations among countries | January and September 2013 runs | Number of test evaluations performed by the EURL (breed-population-trait combinations) to evaluate changes/updates in NRL methodologies | 5 | 81 |
| | | 2.1.1. Develop new methodologies | GMACE, truncated MACE, beef new traits | | 11 | 178 |
| 2. Provide assistance in order to contribute to the harmonization of the various methods of testing and assessing the genetic value of pure-bred breeding animals of the bovine species | 2.1. Recommend the calculation methods to be used | 2.1.2. Promote the harmonisation of methods used among Member States | Organize a session on the annual meeting for national reports on methods improvement | Number of new methods tested with participation of the NRLs | 9 | 146 |
| | | 2.1.3. Develop of information infrastructure for data storage and exchange | Implement new modules in existing database and a full platform for genotype storage and exchange | Number of new users by database functionality | 21 | 340 |

| | 3.1. Develop control protocols, to enable assessments to be made in the various Member States | 3.1.1. Develop and implement formal protocols for data exchange | Implement a completely new system for uploading of national EBVs and GEBVs into the EURL database | Number of protocols published or | 1 | 16 |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|-----|
| | so as to improve the relevance of results and the effectiveness of selection programmes | 3.1.2. Develop and implement formal protocols for publication of results | Implement new publication rules for international GEBVs of young bulls | reviewed | 1 | 16 |
| | 3.2. Carry out an | 3.2.1. Carry out routine international genetic evaluations of dairy breeds | April, August, December 2013 runs | Number of official international evaluations performed by the EURL | 4 | 65 |
| Provide assistance in order to permit the comparison of the results of the methods of | international assessment of livestock on the basis of the genetic assessments made in the various Member States | 3.2.2. Carry out routine genetic evaluations of beef breeds | September 2013 run | (breed-population-trait combinations) to provide comparisons of multi-country populations standardized to each | 2 | 32 |
| testing and assessing the genetic value of animals in the various Member States | | 3.2.3. Carry out routine genomic evaluations of dairy breeds | April, August, December 2013 runs | Member State genetic base. | 2 | 32 |
| | 3.3. Disseminate the individual results of the international assessments | 3.3.1. Distribute results from international evaluations standardized into every Member State scale | April, August, December 2013 routine runs + January and September test runs Number of international breeding values distributed in each Member State scale | | 3 | 49 |
| | 3.4. Publish the conversion formulae and all related genetic work | 3.4.1. Publish statistics on the global status of bovine genetics | General statistics published in April, August, December 2013 routine runs + January and September test runs | Number of articles from the EURL | 1 | 16 |
| | | 3.4.2. Publish research results | The Interbull Bulletin is an online open journal maintained by the EURL, containing the proceedings of all workshops to date | published in the Interbull Bulletin | 2 | 32 |
| 4. Help the the bodies responsible for setting the rules for performance recording and assessing the genetic value and for publication of the evaluation results of purebred breeding animals of the bovine species | | 4.1. Organize an annual international workshop with all participating national organizations | Interbull annual meeting, Nantes, France, Aug 23-25, 2013 | Number of participating NRLs in the annual workshop (attendance rate) | 7 | 113 |
| | | 4.2. Organize issue specific technical workshops | Interbull strategic planning workshop, Uppsala, Jan 7-8, 2013 | , | 5 | 81 |
| appointed by Member States to take part in a comparison of the results of the assessment of genetic value at international level | | 4.3. Assist Member States and countries which have become members of EU to improve national evaluation systems | Participating Member States are expected to join evaluations for additional breed-trait combinations | Number of NRLs joining evaluations for additional breed-trait combinations | 2 | 32 |

| | | 4.4. Publish international guidelines | Publish a review of the Interbull guidelines for the ICAR standard methods of genetic evaluation | Number of official EURL documents | 1 | 16 |
|--|--|--|--|---|-----|------|
| | | 4.5. Promote harmonisation of evaluations for health, reproduction and other functional traits | Implement new methodology for overall conformation comparisons | with reccommendations reviewed | 1 | 16 |
| 5. Evaluate the problems of assessing pure- bred breeding animals and attempt to resolve the problems linked to the genetic assessments carried out in the various Member States | | 5.1. Investigate adoption of new technologies | Implement international comparisons for genomically enhanced EBVs (GMACE) | | 8 | 129 |
| | | 5.2. Investigate problems in harmonisation of methods | Test the impact of tracing back pedigreesto more distant ancestors in MACE | Number of NRLs adopting the recommended new methods | 2 | 32 |
| | | | | Total (%): | 100 | |
| | | | | Total (d): | | 1617 |

Uppsala, August 31st, 2013.

João Walter Dürr Interbull Centre Director

ANNEX I a)

Estimated budget per activity.

| Estimated | | | Ly. | <u> </u> | 1 | 1 | | 1 | |
|------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|----------|----------|---------------------|--------|
| | Staff costs | Subcontr. | Capital Equipt | Consumables | Comparative tests | Missions | Meetings | Training activities | TOTAL |
| Activity 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-activity 1.1 | 60106 | 0 | 0 | 75000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135106 |
| Sub-activity 1.2 | 32244 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32244 |
| Total activity 1 | 92350 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92350 |
| Activity 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-activity 2.1 | 229138 | 0 | 3125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232263 |
| Total activity 2 | 229138 | 0 | 3125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232263 |
| Activity 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-activity 3.1 | 11827 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11827 |
| Sub-activity 3.2 | 42810 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42810 |
| Sub-activity 3.3 | 14243 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14243 |
| Sub-activity 3.4 | 16381 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16381 |
| Total activity 3 | 85261 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85261 |
| Activity 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total activity 2 | 112379 | 0 | 0 | 12500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124879 |
| Activity 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total activity 5 | 57969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57969 |
| TOTAL | 577098 | 0 | 3125 | 12500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 592723 |

Estimated budget per activity and staff member.

| Name | Catanan | Annual Salary | | Activ | ity 1 | Activity 2 | | Activ | rity 3 | | Activity | Activity | Tatal/Staff |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|----------|-------------|
| Name | Category | (€) ⁱ | | Sub- act 1.1 | Sub- act 1.2 | Sub- act 2.1 | Sub- act 3.1 | Sub- act 3.2 | Sub- act 3.3 | Sub- act 3.4 | 4 | 5 | Total/Staff |
| Carl Wasserman | Data base administrator, IT | 71175 | Eligible costs (€) | 3235 | 0 | 67940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71175 |
| Cari wasserman | specialist | /11/5 | Time spent (d) | 10 | 0 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 |
| Dan Englund | System administrator, IT | 63947 | Eligible costs (€) | 0 | 0 | 2907 | 0 | 0 | 14243 | 2035 | 0 | 0 | 19184 |
| Dan Englund | specialist | 03947 | Time spent (d) | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 66 |
| Eva Hjerpe | Junior scientist, MSc in | 69173 | Eligible costs (€) | 11319 | 5660 | 26412 | 1887 | 9433 | 0 | 943 | 5031 | 8489 | 69173 |
| сча пјегре | Genetics | 691/3 | Time spent (d) | 36 | 18 | 84 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 27 | 220 |
| Erling Strandberg | Department Chair, PhD in | 121220 | Eligible costs (€) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6061 | 0 | 6061 |
| Lilling Strandberg | Genetics | 121220 | Time spent (d) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| Hossein Jorjani | Senior scientist, PhD in | 85855 | Eligible costs (€) | 12878 | 4293 | 15610 | 1561 | 7805 | 0 | 5073 | 7415 | 31220 | 85855 |
| nossem Jorjam | Genetics | 83833 | Time spent (d) | 33 | 11 | 40 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 13 | 19 | 80 | 220 |
| João Dürr | Centre Director, PhD in | 109877 | Eligible costs (€) | 0 | 0 | 35460 | 3496 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70921 | 0 | 109877 |
| Joao Duli | Genetics | 103877 | Time spent (d) | 0 | 0 | 71 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 220 |
| Jette Jakobsen | Senior scientist, PhD in | 78293 | Eligible costs (€) | 11744 | 8185 | 25623 | 1779 | 6406 | 0 | 3915 | 10320 | 10320 | 78293 |
| Jette Jakobsell | Genetics | 78233 | Time spent (d) | 33 | 23 | 72 | 5 | 18 | 0 | 11 | 29 | 29 | 220 |
| Mohammad Nilforooshan | Senior scientist, PhD in | 69863 | Eligible costs (€) | 10479 | 8574 | 24452 | 953 | 13020 | 0 | 3493 | 953 | 7939 | 69863 |
| Wonaminau Willorooshan | Genetics | 09803 | Time spent (d) | 33 | 27 | 77 | 3 | 41 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 25 | 220 |
| Valentina Palucci | Junior scientist, MSc in | 67617 | Eligible costs (€) | 10450 | 5532 | 30735 | 2151 | 6147 | 0 | 922 | 11679 | 0 | 67617 |
| vaiciitiia raiutti | Genetics | 07017 | Time spent (d) | 34 | 18 | 100 | 7 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 38 | 0 | 220 |
| | | | ts/Sub-activity (€): | 60106 | 32244 | 229138 | 11827 | 42810 | 14243 | 16381 | 112379 | 57969 | 577098 |
| | | Time sper | nt/Sub-activity (d): | 179 | 97 | 664 | 32 | 129 | 49 | 48 | 258 | 161 | 1617 |

ⁱ Figures include salary, social costs, vacations and pensions.

ANNEX I b)

ESTIMATED BUDGET FOR LABORATORIES' EXPENDITURE IN RESPECT OF UNION ACTIVITIES, INCLUDING THE ORGANISATION OF WORK SHOPS FROM 1 JANUARY TO 31 DECEMBER

Name and address of the EU Reference Laboratory: EURL for Zootechnics - Interbull Centre Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics, SLU, Box 7023, 750 07 Uppsala, Sweden

Bank account to which the financial aid should be transferred: Account no. 5439-1003422, SWIFT-address: ESSESSS

IMPORTANT: All costs are expressed in euro (€)

| 1. STAFF | | | | |
|--|----------|-------------------------|--|----------------------|
| CATEGORY | STATUS | GROSS MONTHLY SALARY | TIME SPENT ON PROJECT (number of days) | TOTAL ELIGIBLE COSTS |
| Department Chair, PhD in Genetics (ESG) | Official | 121220 | 11 | 6061 |
| Centre Director, PhD in Genetics (JDR) | Official | 109877 | 220 | 109877 |
| Senior scientist, PhD in Genetics (JJN) | Official | 78293 | 220 | 78293 |
| Senior scientist, PhD in Genetics (HJI) | Official | 85855 | 220 | 85855 |
| Senior scientist, PhD in Genetics (MNI) | Official | 69863 | 220 | 69863 |
| Junior scientist, MSc in Genetics (EHE) | Official | 69173 | 220 | 69173 |
| Junior scientist, MSc in Genetics (VPI) | Official | 67617 | 220 | 67617 |
| Data base administrator, IT specialist (CWN) | Official | 71175 | 220 | 71175 |
| System administrator, IT specialist (DED) | Official | 63947 | 66 | 19184 |
| | | 737020 | 1617 | 577098 |

| 2. SUBCONTRACTING | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----|------------|
| DESCRIPTION | COST EXCLUDING VAT | VAT | TOTAL COST |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| 3. 0 | 3. CAPITAL EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|--|---------------------------------|------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | DESCRIP- TION | COST / VALUE EXCL. VAT | VAT | TOTAL COST/ VALUE | DATE OF PURCHASE OR RENTAL | DATE OF DELIVERY | DEPRE- CIATION PERIOD (36 or 60 months) | % USE FOR PRO- JECT | ANNUAL DEPRE- CIATION COST |
| 3.1. | Equipment to be acquired during the period in question | Two (2) data servers for storage of genomic data and data processing | 15000 | 3750 | 18750 | 01/03/2013 | 15/03/2013 | 60 | 100 | 3125 |
| 3.2. | Equipment acquired before the period in question | | | | | | | | | |

| 4. CONSUMABLES | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| DESCRIPTION BY TYPE | COST EXCLUDING VAT | VAT | TOTAL COST | | | | |
| Printing information material | 10000 | 2500 | 12500 | | | | |
| Genotype database + software | 60000 | 15000 | 75000 | | | | |

| 5. THE SHIPMENT OF SAMPLES FOR COMPARATIVE TESTS | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|-----|------------|--|--|--|--|
| DESCRIPTION | COST EXCLUDING VAT | VAT | TOTAL COST | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| 6. MISSIONS | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------|-------|-----------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| DESCRIPTION | TRAVEL COST | HOTEL | DAILY ALLOWANCE | TOTAL | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| 7. MEETINGS | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------|-------|-----------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| DESCRIPTION | TRAVEL COST | HOTEL | DAILY ALLOWANCE | TOTAL | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| 8. TRAINING ACTIVITIES | | |
|--|------|--|
| | COST | |
| PARTICIPANTS' TRAVEL EXPENSES: | | |
| HOTEL EXPENSES | | |
| PARTICIPANTS' DAILY ALLOWANCES: | | |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE FOR TRAINING ACTIVITIES: | | |

| 9. OVERHEADS AND TOTAL EXPENDITURE FOR ACTIVITIES | |
|---|--------|
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE (total items 1 to 8): | 667723 |
| OVERHEADS: 7% | 46741 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE FOR ACTIVITIES: | 714463 |

| 10. WORKSHOPS | | |
|---------------------------------|------|--|
| | COST | |
| PARTICIPANTS' TRAVEL EXPENSES: | | |
| HOTEL EXPENSES | | |
| PARTICIPANTS' DAILY ALLOWANCES: | | |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE FOR WORKSHOP: | | |

| FINAL ESTIMATED BUDGET | |
|--|--------|
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE REGARDING ACTIVITIES: 71 | |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE REGARDING THE WORKSHOP: | 0 |
| FINAL ESTIMATED BUDGET: | 714463 |

Certification by the laboratory:

We certify that:

- the expenditure listed above will be incurred in connection with the tasks defined in the work programme and will be necessary to the performance of those tasks,
- the expenditure will actually be incurred, accurately accounted for and eligible under the provisions of this Regulation,
- all supporting documents relating to the expenditure will be available for inspection,
- no other Union contribution will be requested for the Union reference laboratories activities regarding the financial report to be submitted,
- the grant will not have the purpose or effect of producing a profit for the beneficiary regarding the financial report to be submitted.

Date: August 31, 2012

Technical director:

João Walter Dürr

Date: August 31, 2012

Finance Officer:

Erling Strandberg

ANNEX II

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR THE EURL IN ZOOTECHNICS

(mandatory for submission, but possibly in a modified form reflecting more specifically the situation in the EURLs; details could be annexed and described in a comprehensive way)

CORE BUSINESS (Strategic issues)

Sector-specific requirements and sectoral regulation applies. Indicators that measure the implementation of requirements of sector-specific legislation go beyond the scope of this exercise.

| Main | Activity-based indicators | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| requirements | , | |
| of EURL in | | |
| Zootechnics set | | |
| in the | | |
| | | |
| 96/463/EC | | |
| Council | | |
| Decision | | |
| (Annex II) | | |
| 1. Be the | Number of records added to the international | Expected ex-ante: |
| documentation | database | 10000 pedigrees |
| and | | 1000 pedigrees 1000 genotypes (SNP) |
| information | | 1000 genotypes (SNF) |
| centre for the | | |
| methods of | | Achieved ex-post: |
| testing and | | |
| assessing the | | |
| genetic value | | |
| of pure-bred | | |
| breeding | | |
| animals of the | Number of population-trait-method | Expected ex-ante: |
| bovine species | combinations to be validated (% of all | 60 (conventional EBVs) |
| for the | combinations) | 100 (genomic EBVs) |
| Member States | | |
| Wichiber States | | Achieved ex-post: |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Now have after a contract to the state of | Formarked an auto- |
| | Number of test evaluations performed by the | Expected ex-ante: |
| | EURL (breed-population-trait combinations) | 172 X 2 = 344 |
| | to evaluate changes/updates in NRL methodologies | |
| | Internodologies | |
| | | Achieved ex-post: |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Qualification i | l ndicators* |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| AH.PT.QI | Presence of | Expected ex-ante: |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| additional specific quality a | | |
| (examples could be: qualific specific technical procedure) | | |
| | | Achieved ex-post: |
| | | |
| *Additional schemes are w supplementary cost. | relcome, but there is no | o obligation to acquire them at high |

| Main requirements of EURL in Zootechnics set in the 96/463/EC Council | Activity-based indicators | |
|---|--|--|
| Decision (Annex II) | | |
| 2. Provide assistance in order to contribute to the harmonization of the various methods of testing and assessing the genetic value of pure-bred breeding animals of the bovine species | Number of new methods tested with participation of the NRLs Number of new users by database functionality | Expected ex-ante: 4 (GMACE, truncated MACE, carcass traits and calving traits for beef) Achieved ex-post: Expected ex-ante: 60 (Genolist + EBV modules) Achieved ex-post: |
| | Qualification i | indicators |
| | AH.ANA.QI Presence of additional specific quality assurance schemes (examples could be: qualifications of staff, specific technical procedures, etc) | Expected ex-ante: Achieved ex-post: |

| Main requirements of EURL in Zootechnics set in the 96/463/EC Council Decision (Annex II) 3. Provide assistance in order to | Activity-based Number of protocols published or reviewed | Expected ex-ante: 7 (new formats for national EBVs) |
|---|--|---|
| permit the comparison of the results of the methods of testing and assessing the | | Achieved ex-post: |
| genetic value of animals in the various Member States | Number of official international evaluations performed by the EURL (breed-population-trait combinations) to provide comparisons of multi-country populations standardized to | Expected ex-ante: 172 X 3 = 510 |
| | each Member State genetic base. | Achieved ex-post: |
| | Number of international breeding values | Expected ex-ante: |
| | distributed in each Member State scale | 80 million X 3 = 240 million |
| | | Achieved ex-post: |
| | | |
| | Number of articles from the EURL published in the Interbull Bulletin | Expected ex-ante: 5 |
| | | Achieved ex-post: |
| | Qualification | indicators |
| | AH.NRL.QI Presence of additional specific quality assurance schemes (examples could be: qualifications of staff, specific technical procedures, etc) | Expected ex-ante: Achieved ex-post: |

| Main | Activity-based indicators | | |
|------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| requirements | | | |
| of EURL in | | | |
| Zootechnics set | | | |
| in the | | | |
| 96/463/EC | | | |
| Council | | | |
| Decision | | | |
| (Annex II) | | | |
| 4. Help the the | Number of participating NRLs in the annual | Expected ex-ante: | |
| bodies | workshop (attendance rate) | 20 (90%) | |
| responsible for | , | 20 (90%) | |
| setting the | | | |
| rules for | | | |
| performance | | Achieved ex-post: | |
| recording and | | | |
| assessing the | | | |
| genetic value | | | |
| and for | | | |
| publication of | Number of NDI ciaising qualitations for | Expected ov anta: | |
| the evaluation | Number of NRLs joining evaluations for | Expected ex-ante: | |
| results of pure- | additional breed-trait combinations | 8 (5%) | |
| bred breeding | | | |
| animals of the | | | |
| bovine species | | Achieved ex-post: | |
| appointed by | | · | |
| Member States | | | |
| to take part in | | | |
| a comparison | | | |
| of the results | | | |
| of the | Number of official EURL documents with | Expected ex-ante: | |
| assessment of | recommendations reviewed | 2 (Code of Practice + Guidelines) | |
| genetic value | | | |
| at | | | |
| international | | Achieved ex-post: | |
| level | | richiered ex post. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Qualification indicators | | |
| | AH.COM.QI | Expected ex-ante: | |
| | Presence of additional specific quality | | |
| | assurance schemes (examples could be: | | |
| | qualifications of staff, specific technical | | |
| | procedures, etc) | Ashioved av post | |
| | | Achieved ex-post: | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Main requirements of EURL in Zootechnics set in the 96/463/EC Council Decision | Activity-based indicators | |
|---|--|---|
| (Annex II) 5. Evaluate the | Number of NRLs adopting the recommended | Expected ex-ante: |
| problems of assessing pure- bred breeding animals and attempt to resolve the problems linked to the genetic assessments carried out in the various Member States | new methods | 10 (Member States sending GEBVs for GMACE) Achieved ex-post: |
| | Qualification i | |
| | AH.OIE.QI Presence of additional specific quality assurance schemes, systems, procedures as regards qualifications of staff in terms of consultancy expertise relevant to be provided to OIE/FAO/WHO at the request of the COM | Achieved ex-post: |

| Other Activities | | |
|------------------|---|-------------------|
| Potential | Are these activities routine or do they involve a | Expected ex-ante: |
| issues: | large amount of development? | |
| - Number of | | |
| relevant | | |
| publications in | | |
| peer-reviewed | | |
| journals? | | Achieved ex-post: |

| - Number of |
|-----------------|
| invitations as |
| speaker to |
| scientific |
| conferences? |
| - Number of |
| presentations |
| or |
| posters/papers |
| presented at |
| conferences? |
| - Validation of |
| newly |
| established |
| international |
| standard sera |
| or reference |
| material? |

| Additional Comments | |
|--|---|
| Potential issues: e.g. Why success rate in PTs has been different from envisaged success rate? | The performance indicators proposed do not apply to the EURL for Zootechnics. Therefore, a new set of indicators is presented here, as indicated in separate correspondence to Mrs. Corina VASILESCU. Neither the EURL or the NRLs comply with CEN ISO 17025, given the nature of the work developed. The inclusion of additional criteria based on ISO 9001 does not seem to be the case here. |